

Meadows, Mark R. EOP/WHO

From: Meadows, Mark R. EOP/WHO
Sent: Friday, January 1, 2021 6:56 PM
To: Jeff Rosen
Subject: 2020 Ballot Security - New Mexico Complaints.docx
Attachments: 2020 Ballot Security - New Mexico Complaints.docx

Can you forward this list to your team to review the allegations contained herein. Steve Pearce is the chairman of the Republican Party for NM (b) (6)

Sent from my iPhone

New Mexico List of Complaints

1. Poll Challengers removed from the Absentee Ballot Certification Process
 - a. RPNM notified the Secretary of State in timely fashion and she refused to allow challengers access to the process
 - b. RPNM took this complaint to the NM Supreme Court (4 Democrats, 1 Republican) in timely fashion; they refused to hear the case.
 - c. Local races were lost by a few votes in several counties where the Party was not present to verify the Absentee Ballots.
2. Poll Challengers were unable to adequately do their job
 - a. Some counties forced them away from the ballot counting process, sometimes as much as 50 feet away, making it impossible to verify correct procedures were used.
 - b. Republican Poll Challengers were met with outright hostility by some county clerks.
3. Dominion Machines are the only machines used in New Mexico
 - a. Many Anomalies were encountered
 - i. Vote dumps in the middle of the night when no counting was taking place
 - ii. In each instance of vote dump, the Democrat candidate was the beneficiary.
 - b. Three automatic recounts took place
 - i. Republican challengers were met with hostility and attempts to keep them out of the recount
 - ii. Dominion Representatives were allowed into each recount.
 - iii. Our data team had noticed a pattern in all the Dominion machines where vote totals below 120 votes had one pattern but when the total votes in the machine exceeded that number, the voting pattern was significantly different.
 - iv. In order to test their theory, RPNM instructed our challengers to request that the 100 sample ballots be fed thru the machine a second time.
 1. The Dominion Representatives objected strenuously
 2. The theory was never tested because the County Clerks in each instance gave in to the pressure from the Dominion Representatives.
 - c. Our Data Team has reviewed voter files back to 1992
 - i. They have identified anomalies that have become increasingly sophisticated through the years
 - ii. Recent data patterns suggest between 10-20% vote shifts in recent years, including the 2020 Presidential Election.
4. Absentee ballot requests
 - a. We have documented cases of absentee ballots being requested by someone other than the voter, the signature not the same name as the voter and live absentee ballots were mailed.
5. Other Irregularities
 - a. Multiple documented cases of dead people voting
 - b. Multiple cases of persons who moved out of the state years ago receiving ballots.
6. The Trump Legal team
 - a. Has filed a lawsuit against the SOS

- b. Has filed two IPRA requests to the SOS
 - i. The SOS responded that they would provide the information by 30 December, 2020
 - ii. On 31 December, she notified the Trump team she would not provide the information until January 14, 2021.
- 7. Notarized Affidavits
 - a. RPNM has in hand many signed and notarized affidavits of problems individual voters encountered.
 - b.