

UNITED STATES SENATE  
COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR JUDICIAL NOMINEES

PUBLIC

1. **Name**: State full name (include any former names used).

Jinsook (Jin) Ohta  
Jin Sook Lee (Jin S. Lee, Jin Lee)

2. **Position**: State the position for which you have been nominated.

United States District Judge for the Southern District of California

3. **Address**: List current office address. If city and state of residence differs from your place of employment, please list the city and state where you currently reside.

San Diego Superior Court  
1100 Union Street  
San Diego, California 92101

4. **Birthplace**: State year and place of birth.

1976; Seoul, South Korea

5. **Education**: List in reverse chronological order each college, law school, or any other institution of higher education attended and indicate for each the dates of attendance, whether a degree was received, and the date each degree was received.

1998 – 2001, New York University School of Law; J.D., 2001

2000, European University Institute; study abroad, no degree received

1994 – 1998, Yale University; B.A., 1998

6. **Employment Record**: List in reverse chronological order all governmental agencies, business or professional corporations, companies, firms, or other enterprises, partnerships, institutions or organizations, non-profit or otherwise, with which you have been affiliated as an officer, director, partner, proprietor, or employee since graduation from college, whether or not you received payment for your services. Include the name and address of the employer and job title or description.

2020 – present  
San Diego Superior Court

1100 Union Street  
San Diego, California 92101  
Judge

2011 – 2020  
California Attorney General's Office  
600 West Broadway, Suite 1800  
San Diego, California 92101  
Supervising Deputy Attorney General (2019 – 2020)  
Deputy Attorney General (2011 – 2019)

2007 – 2008; 2001 – 2002  
United States District Court for the Southern District of California  
333 West Broadway  
San Diego, California 92101  
Law Clerk for the Honorable Barry Ted Moskowitz

2006 – 2007  
Thomas Jefferson School of Law  
710 B Street, Suite 110  
San Diego, California 92101  
Visiting Assistant Professor of Law

2003 – 2006  
Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP  
501 West Broadway  
San Diego, California 92101  
Associate

2002 – 2003; 2000  
O'Melveny & Myers LLP  
400 South Hope Street, 18th Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90071  
and  
Two Embarcadero Center, 28th Floor  
San Francisco, California 94111  
Associate (2002 – 2003)  
Summer Associate (2000)

Spring 2001  
United States Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of New York  
271 Cadman Plaza East  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
Extern

Summer 2000  
Roberts Sheridan & Kotel  
East 49th Street, Suite 30  
New York, New York 10017  
Summer Associate

Spring 2000  
New York University School of Law  
40 Washington Square South  
New York, New York 10012  
Teaching Assistant to Professor Alan B. Morrison

Summer 1999  
Roberts Sheridan & Kotel  
East 49th Street, Suite 30  
New York, New York 10017  
Summer Associate

Other Affiliations (uncompensated):

2019 – 2020  
Lawyers Club of San Diego  
402 West Broadway, Suite 1260  
San Diego, California 92101  
Board of Directors

2012 – 2018  
KIPP San Diego (KIPP Adelante)  
426 Euclid Avenue  
San Diego, California 92114  
Board of Directors (2012 – 2018)  
Secretary (approximately 2014 – 2018)

2008 – 2009  
Korean American Bar Association of San Diego  
P.O. Box 122831  
San Diego, California 92112  
Board of Directors

7. **Military Service and Draft Status:** Identify any service in the U.S. Military, including dates of service, branch of service, rank or rate, serial number (if different from social security number) and type of discharge received, and whether you have registered for selective service.

I did not serve in the U.S. Military. I was not required to register for the selective service.

8. **Honors and Awards:** List any scholarships, fellowships, honorary degrees, academic or professional honors, honorary society memberships, military awards, and any other special recognition for outstanding service or achievement.

Daily Journal, Top Verdicts, 2020

California Lawyers Association, Outstanding Achievement in Public Law, 2020

California Attorney General's Award for Excellence in Prosecution, 2020

California Attorney General's Award for Excellence as a Team, 2020

Daily Journal, Top Health Care Lawyers, 2019

NYU School of Law Dean's Scholarship, 1998 – 2001 (full-tuition scholarship)

9. **Bar Associations:** List all bar associations or legal or judicial-related committees, selection panels or conferences of which you are or have been a member, and give the titles and dates of any offices which you have held in such groups.

Korean American Bar Association of San Diego  
Board of Directors, 2008 – 2009

Lawyers Club of San Diego  
Board of Directors, 2019 – 2020  
Co-Chair, Women's Advocacy Committee, 2019 – 2020  
Co-Chair, Diverse Women's Committee, 2018 – 2019

Pan Asian Lawyers of San Diego

San Diego County Bar Association

10. **Bar and Court Admission:**

- a. List the date(s) you were admitted to the bar of any state and any lapses in membership. Please explain the reason for any lapse in membership.

California, 2002

I was administratively suspended from August 16, 2007 to August 28, 2007 for inadvertent late payment of dues. The suspension has been expunged. There have been no other lapses in membership.

- b. List all courts in which you have been admitted to practice, including dates of admission and any lapses in membership. Please explain the reason for any lapse

in membership. Give the same information for administrative bodies that require special admission to practice.

Supreme Court of the United States, 2015

United States District Court for the Central District of California, 2003

United States District Court for the Central District of Illinois, 2012

There have been no lapses in membership.

**11. Memberships:**

- a. List all professional, business, fraternal, scholarly, civic, charitable, or other organizations, other than those listed in response to Questions 9 or 10 to which you belong, or to which you have belonged, since graduation from law school. Provide dates of membership or participation, and indicate any office you held. Include clubs, working groups, advisory or editorial boards, panels, committees, conferences, or publications.

Parent Teacher Association of Francis Parker School (2019 – present)

Parent Teacher Association of Mission Bay Montessori School (2017 – 2021)

Parent Teacher Association of Valley Elementary School (2012 – 2017)

- b. The American Bar Association's Commentary to its Code of Judicial Conduct states that it is inappropriate for a judge to hold membership in any organization that invidiously discriminates on the basis of race, sex, or religion, or national origin. Indicate whether any of these organizations listed in response to 11a above currently discriminate or formerly discriminated on the basis of race, sex, religion or national origin either through formal membership requirements or the practical implementation of membership policies. If so, describe any action you have taken to change these policies and practices.

To the best of my knowledge, none of these organizations currently discriminates or formerly discriminated on the basis of race, sex, religion, or national origin either through formal membership requirements or the practical implementation of membership policies.

**12. Published Writings and Public Statements:**

- a. List the titles, publishers, and dates of books, articles, reports, letters to the editor, editorial pieces, or other published material you have written or edited, including material published only on the Internet. Supply four (4) copies of all published material to the Committee.

*Lawyers Club Newsletter*, "Getting the Job Done: Women of Color at the

Forefront of Social Change” (Oct. 2020). Copy supplied.

*Lawyers Club Newsletter*, “Candidate Statement” (approximately Mar. 2020). Copy supplied.

*Lawyers Club Newsletter*, “California Moves Forward: Expanding Reproductive Choice and Increasing Protections Against Sexual Harassment” (Feb. 2020). Copy supplied.

*Lawyers Club Blog*, “Lawyers Club of San Diego Signs Supreme Court Amicus Brief Regarding a Challenge to Abortion Rights” (Jan. 21, 2020). Copy supplied.

*Lawyers Club Newsletter*, “The United States Supreme Court is Poised to Hear Its First Challenge to Abortion Rights Since Justice Kavanaugh’s Appointment” (Dec. 2019). Copy supplied.

*Lawyers Club Newsletter*, “Taking Action in Our Communities at Lawyers Club Women’s Advocacy Committee Luncheon” (Jan. 2018). Copy supplied.

- b. Supply four (4) copies of any reports, memoranda or policy statements you prepared or contributed in the preparation of on behalf of any bar association, committee, conference, or organization of which you were or are a member. If you do not have a copy of a report, memorandum or policy statement, give the name and address of the organization that issued it, the date of the document, and a summary of its subject matter.

I have searched my files and electronic databases in an effort to locate all reports, memoranda, and policy statements responsive to this question. I have located the materials listed below, but it is possible that there are a few that I have been unable to identify.

Lawyers Club of San Diego Letter in Support of SB24 to Hon. Jim Wood (May 29, 2019). Copy supplied.

Lawyers Club of San Diego Letter in Support of SB24 to Hon. Jose Medina (May 29, 2019). Copy supplied.

Lawyers Club of San Diego Letter in Support of SB24 to Hon. Richard Pan (Feb. 14, 2019). Copy supplied.

Lawyers Club of San Diego Letter in Support of SB24 to Hon. Connie Levya (Feb. 8, 2019). Copy supplied.

Lawyers Club of San Diego Public Comment Letter Regarding Victims of Sexual Assault on College Campuses (approximately Jan. 2019). This letter opposed proposed changes to college campus rules regarding sexual assault. I am unable

to obtain a copy of the letter.

Lawyers Club of San Diego Public Comment Letter Regarding Public Charge (Dec. 10, 2018). Copy supplied.

Lawyers Club of San Diego Letter Regarding Military Spouse Attorney to Admissions (Oct. 31, 2018). Copy supplied.

Lawyers Club of San Diego Letter in Support of SB320 to Gov. Edmund G. Brown (Sept. 6, 2018). Copy supplied.

The following Lawyers Club of San Diego Newsletters identify me as a member of the Board of Directors. Unless noted in 12(a) above, I did not author the articles or vote on the publication of those articles.

*Lawyers Club Newsletter* (Dec. 2020). Copy supplied.

*Lawyers Club Newsletter* (Nov. 2020). Copy supplied.

*Lawyers Club Newsletter* (Oct. 2020). Copy supplied.

*Lawyers Club Newsletter* (Sept. 2020). Copy supplied.

*Lawyers Club Newsletter* (Jul./Aug. 2020). Copy supplied.

*Lawyers Club Newsletter* (Jun. 2020). Copy supplied.

*Lawyers Club Newsletter* (May 2020). Copy supplied.

*Lawyers Club Newsletter* (Apr. 2020). Copy supplied.

*Lawyers Club Newsletter* (Mar. 2020). Copy supplied.

*Lawyers Club Newsletter* (Feb. 2020). Copy supplied.

*Lawyers Club Newsletter* (Jan. 2020). Copy supplied.

*Lawyers Club Newsletter* (Dec. 2019). Copy supplied.

*Lawyers Club Newsletter* (Nov. 2019). Copy supplied.

*Lawyers Club Newsletter* (Oct. 2019). Copy supplied.

*Lawyers Club Newsletter* (Sept. 2019). Copy supplied.

*Lawyers Club Newsletter* (Jul./Aug. 2019). Copy supplied.

- c. Supply four (4) copies of any testimony, official statements or other communications relating, in whole or in part, to matters of public policy or legal interpretation, that you have issued or provided or that others presented on your behalf to public bodies or public officials.

As a Board Member and Secretary of KIPP Adelante/San Diego charter school, I attended and participated in public board meetings. These board meetings occurred on a monthly or bi-monthly basis. I have both searched my files and electronic databases and contacted the school in an effort to obtain meeting minutes responsive to this question. I was only able to obtain minutes for the October 13, 2014 meeting. Copy supplied.

The following are public statements issued by the Lawyers Club of San Diego while I was a member of the Board of Directors. I did not author these public statements but, as a Board Member, I voted on issuing them with an understanding of the general content. I have searched my files and electronic databases in an effort to locate all statements responsive to this question, but it is possible that I have not been able to identify some responsive materials.

Lawyers Club of San Diego Awards \$25,000 to Seven Local Non-Profits (Nov. 30, 2020). Copy supplied.

Lawyers Club of San Diego Endorses Two State, Local Ballot Measures (Oct. 31, 2020). Copy supplied.

Lawyers Club of San Diego Calls for a State Holiday Honoring Supreme Court Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg (Sept. 21, 2020). Copy supplied.

United States Supreme Court Decision on Contraceptive Coverage Harms Women (July 8, 2020). Copy supplied.

Lawyers Club Applauds Supreme Court Decision Striking Down Louisiana Abortion Law (June 30, 2020). Copy supplied.

Lawyers Club of San Diego Stands In Solidarity with the African American Community and Those Who Seek Justice for the Killing of George Floyd (June 2, 2020). Copy supplied.

Lawyers Club of San Diego Announces New July Date for Annual Dinner Event with Keynote Speaker, Former Georgia House Minority Leader Stacey Abrams (Apr. 14, 2020). Copy supplied.

Lawyers Club Denounces Use of Derogatory Terms in Response to COVID-19 (Mar. 26, 2020). Copy supplied.



Stacey Abrams to Address Lawyers Club at May 14, 2020 Annual Dinner (Feb. 20, 2020). Copy supplied.

Lawyers Club Endorses/Supports Four Candidates for San Diego Superior Court Judge (Jan. 8, 2020). Copy supplied.

Lawyers Club of San Diego and Community Leaders Call for Employers to Join Workplace Equity & Civility Initiative (Sept. 24, 2019). Copy supplied.

The following are letters to elected officials or public comments submitted by the Lawyers Club of San Diego while I was a member of the Board of Directors that I was able to obtain. I have searched my files and electronic databases in an effort to locate all statements responsive to this question but it is possible that I have not been able to identify some responsive materials.

Lawyers Club of San Diego Letter Regarding Appointment of Successor of U.S. Senator Kamala Harris (Dec. 2, 2020). Copy supplied.

Lawyers Club of San Diego Letter in Support of State Holiday Honoring Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg (Sept. 24, 2020). Copy supplied.

Lawyers Club of San Diego Inviting Jennifer Siebel Newsom to Speak at Event (Dec. 31, 2019). Copy supplied.

Lawyers Club of San Diego Letter Regarding Superior Court Judicial Appointments to Governor Newsom (Dec. 5, 2019). Copy supplied.

Lawyers Club of San Diego Inviting Justice Sotomayor to Speak at Event (Dec. 3, 2019). Copy supplied.

Lawyers Club of San Diego Inviting Governor Newsom to Speak at Event (Sept. 30, 2019). Copy supplied.

While I was a member of the Board of Directors, the Lawyers Club of San Diego also signed on to an amicus brief in *June Medical Services LLC v. Russo*, 591 U.S. \_\_\_, (2020) submitted by the National Women's Law Center on December 2, 2019 on behalf of 72 organizations. 2019 WL 6609230.

- d. Supply four (4) copies, transcripts or recordings of all speeches or talks delivered by you including commencement speeches, remarks, lectures, panel discussions, conferences, political speeches, and question-and-answer sessions. Include the date and place where they were delivered, and readily available press reports about the speech or talk. If you do not have a copy of the speech or a transcript or recording of your remarks, give the name and address of the group before whom the speech was given, the date of the speech, and a summary of its subject matter.

If you did not speak from a prepared text, furnish a copy of any outline or notes from which you spoke.

I have searched my files and electronic databases in an effort to locate all materials responsive to this question but it is possible that I have not been able to identify some items.

September 28, 2021: Speaker, Greeting to Jurors, San Diego Superior Court, San Diego, California. I gave brief remarks thanking jurors for their service and explaining court procedures. I have no notes, transcript, or recording. The address of San Diego Superior Court is 1100 Union Street, San Diego, California 92101.

August 24, 2021: Speaker, Greeting to Jurors, San Diego Superior Court, San Diego, California. I gave brief remarks thanking jurors for their service and explaining court procedures. I have no notes, transcript, or recording. The address of San Diego Superior Court is 1100 Union Street, San Diego, California 92101.

April 16, 2021: Panelist, Pathways to Success for Every Stage of Your Career, Pan Asian Lawyers of San Diego, San Diego, California. Along with other panelists, I provided career advancement advice for people at different stages of their legal careers. I have no notes, transcript, or recording. The address of Pan Asian Lawyers of San Diego is P.O. Box 82784, San Diego, California 92138.

March 22, 2021: Panelist, Judicial Panel, Asian Pacific American Law Students Association and Women's Law Caucus of University of San Diego Law School, San Diego, California. Along with other panelists, I discussed pathways to the bench and various legal career options. I have no notes, transcript, or recording. The address of University of San Diego School of Law is 5998 Alcalá Park, San Diego, California 92110.

February 25, 2021: Panelist, Pathways to the Bench: Current Perspectives, Lawyers Club of San Diego, San Diego, California. The panelists discussed different pathways to the bench and how to prepare for a judicial career. I have no notes, transcript, or recording, but press coverage is supplied. The address of the Lawyers Club of San Diego is 402 West Broadway, Suite 1260, San Diego, California 92101.

January 22, 2021: Panelist, Asian Pacific American Judges Reception, Pan Asian Lawyers of San Diego, San Diego, California. The judge panelists spoke in small groups about their careers and pathways to the bench. I have no notes, transcript, or recording. The address of Pan Asian Lawyers of San Diego is P.O. Box 82784, San Diego, California 92138.

December 10, 2020: Speaker, Virtual Swearing-in Ceremony as Superior Court

Judge, San Diego Superior Court, San Diego, California. Notes supplied.

October 15, 2020: Panel Moderator, Women at the Forefront of Social Change, Diverse Women's Committee for Lawyers Club of San Diego, San Diego, California. Recording supplied.

May 2018: Panelist, Dish Network: A Multistate Prosecution Case Study (approximate title), National Association of Attorneys General's Consumer Protection Conference, Washington, District of Columbia. The presentation involved a case study of the Dish Network litigation, a case prosecuted jointly by the United States and California, North Carolina, Illinois, and Ohio. I have no notes, transcript, or recording. The address of the National Association of Attorneys General is 1850 M Street, Northwest, 12th Floor, Washington, District of Columbia 20036.

January 18, 2018, Panelist, Careers in the Humanities Workshop (Law), University of California, San Diego. I spoke on a career panel for undergraduate students about pathways to a career in the law for humanities majors. I have no notes, transcript, or recording. The address of the University of California, San Diego is 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, California 92093.

April 2017 (date unknown): Panelist, The Preemption Defense in Consumer Protection Actions, California District, California District Attorneys Association's Annual Consumer Protection Prosecution Conference, Long Beach, California. PowerPoint supplied.

January 19, 2017, Panelist, Implicit Bias, Association of Business Trial Lawyers, San Diego, California. I presented information on implicit bias in the legal workplace. I have no notes, transcript, or recording. The address for the Association of Business Trial Lawyers is 8030 La Mesa Boulevard, Suite 127, La Mesa, California 91942.

April 2013 (date unknown): Panelist, Emerging Areas of Concern in Health Fraud, California District Attorneys Association's Annual Consumer Protection Prosecution Conference, Santa Barbara, California. PowerPoint supplied.

From 2012 to 2018, I gave various small-group presentations to KIPP Adelante students and alumni on school and career topics at the KIPP Adelante school in San Diego, California but I am unable to identify the specific dates. As a Board Member of this school, I gave talks to groups of students about topics ranging from my legal career and my academic journey starting as an ESL student. I also spoke on career advice panels to KIPP alumni applying on topics such as professionalism, creating resumes, and preparing for job interviews. I have no notes, transcripts, or recordings. The address for KIPP Adelante is 426 Euclid Avenue, San Diego, California 92114.

- e. List all interviews you have given to newspapers, magazines or other publications, or radio or television stations, providing the dates of these interviews and four (4) copies of the clips or transcripts of these interviews where they are available to you.

*Lawyers Club Newsletter*, “Women in Leadership at 14th Annual Bench Bar Luncheon” (Apr. 2020). Copy supplied.

13. **Judicial Office:** State (chronologically) any judicial offices you have held, including positions as an administrative law judge, whether such position was elected or appointed, and a description of the jurisdiction of each such court.

I was appointed as a San Diego Superior Court judge on December 8, 2020, by Governor Gavin Newsom. I currently preside over family law cases, including divorce, parentage, domestic violence, child custody, and child support.

- a. Approximately how many cases have you presided over that have gone to verdict or judgment?

I have presided over approximately 20 cases that have gone to verdict or judgment.

- i. Of these cases, approximately what percent were:

jury trials:	0%
bench trials:	100%

- ii. Of these cases, approximately what percent were:

civil proceedings:	100%
criminal proceedings:	0%

- b. Provide citations for all opinions you have written, including concurrences and dissents.

I have written no opinions. As a state court family law judge, I make findings and issue rulings on the record which are then reduced to a judgment or Findings and Order After Hearing by the attorneys. The attorneys prepare and submit these documents for review and signature by the court. On a few occasions, the court issues brief *ex parte* minute orders. Generally, family law judges in San Diego Superior do not issue written opinions unless a party requests and is legally entitled to a Statement of Decision. I have not issued any Statements of Decision as of this date.

- c. For each of the 10 most significant cases over which you presided, provide: (1) a capsule summary of the nature of the case; (2) the outcome of the case; (3) the

name and contact information for counsel who had a significant role in the trial of the case; and (4) the citation of the case (if reported) or the docket number and a copy of the opinion or judgment (if not reported).

Because the family law cases I preside over are ongoing and involve sensitive information, I have provided a brief, general summary rather than detailed information regarding the nature and outcome of these cases.

1. *Mrozowski v. Mrozowski* (19FL006935C)

I presided over the bench trial of a dissolution action and ruled on issues including the validity of a prenuptial agreement and various issues of asset division. Per standard practice, I made findings and issued rulings on the record to be reduced to a judgment submitted by the attorneys and signed by the court.

Counsel for Petitioner

Lori Clark Viviano  
2728 Fifth Avenue  
San Diego, CA 92103  
(619) 296-1594

Counsel for Respondent

Matthew Blado  
12348 High Bluff Drive, Suite 220  
San Diego, CA 92130  
(858) 793-8884

2. *Bacsal v. Bacsal* (20FL002358C)

I presided over the bench trial for a dissolution action and ruled on issues of domestic violence, spousal support, child support, and child custody. Per standard practice, I made findings and issued rulings on the record to be reduced to a judgment submitted by the attorneys and signed by the court.

Counsel for Petitioner

Michael Juarez  
6136 Mission Gorge Road, Suite 202  
San Diego, CA 92120  
(619) 640-1444

Counsel for Respondent

Respondent was self-represented.

3. *Mercado v. Mercado* (19FL013304C)

I presided over the bench trial of a marriage dissolution action and ruled on

division of community property assets, spousal and child support, and child custody. Per standard practice, I made findings and issued rulings on the record to be reduced to a judgment submitted by the attorneys and signed by the court.

Counsel for Petitioner

William Trausch  
3475 Fourth Avenue  
San Diego, CA 92103  
(619) 497-0001

Counsel for Respondent

Respondent was self-represented.

4. *E.D. v. N.L.* (20FL009XXXC)

I presided over an evidentiary hearing and ruled on child custody and related issues such as substance abuse assessments and supervised visitation. Per standard practice, I made findings and issued rulings on the record to be reduced to a Findings and Order After Hearing submitted by the attorneys and signed by the court.

Counsel for Petitioner

Brianna S. Davis  
350 Tenth Avenue, Suite 1000  
San Diego, CA 92101  
(858) 866-9672

Counsel for Respondent

Robert Allison  
3065 Rosecrans Place, Suite 100  
San Diego, CA 92110  
(619) 523-9900

5. *Hutchins v. Hutchins* (D524418)

I presided over an evidentiary hearing in a complex child custody matter and made custody and visitation orders. Per standard practice, I made findings and issued rulings on the record to be reduced to a Findings and Order After Hearing submitted by the attorneys and signed by the court.

Counsel for Petitioner

Petitioner was self-represented.

Counsel for Respondent

Lori Clark Viviano  
2728 Fifth Avenue

San Diego, CA 92103  
(619) 296-1594

6. *J.Q. v. B.C.* (19FL0010XXXXC)

I presided over an evidentiary hearing and ruled on matters involving child custody. Per standard practice, I made findings and issued rulings on the record to be reduced to a Findings and Order After Hearing submitted by the attorneys and signed by the court.

Counsel for Petitioner

Raj Matani  
3131 Camino Del Rio North #1250  
San Diego, CA 92108  
(619) 398-3468

Counsel for Respondent

Respondent was self-represented.

7. *Isais v. Isais* (18FL010907C)

I presided over a bench trial in a dissolution action and ruled on issues of child custody, sanctions, attorney's fees, and the sale of the house. Per standard practice, I made findings and issued rulings on the record to be reduced to a judgment submitted by the attorneys and signed by the court.

Counsel for Petitioner

Erika Mayorquin  
3444 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 103  
San Diego, CA 92108  
(619) 955-5597

Counsel for Respondent

Lillian Loeffler  
4295 Gesner Street, Suite 1E  
San Diego, CA 92117  
(619) 821-4324

8. *C.K. v. C.G.* (20FDV05XXXXC)

I presided over an evidentiary hearing under the California Domestic Violence Prevent Act and issued a restraining order as well as temporary, emergency custody orders. Per standard practice, I made findings on the record and issued a domestic violence restraining order.

Counsel for Petitioner

Sami Hadad  
1744 Sixth Avenue  
San Diego, CA 92101  
(619) 880-0521

Counsel for Respondent

Respondent was self-represented.

9. *Doyle v. Doyle* (D559868)

I presided over an evidentiary hearing under the California Domestic Violence Prevent Act and denied issuance of a domestic violence restraining order. Per standard practice, I made findings on the record and denied the request for a restraining order.

Counsel for Petitioner

Kelli Blackburn  
4225 Executive Square, Suite 600  
La Jolla, CA 92037  
(858) 242-5617

Counsel for Respondent

Andrew Rosenberry  
402 West Broadway, 21st Floor  
San Diego, CA 92101  
(619) 696-1100

10. *Tang v. Tang* (20FL005548C)

I presided over a motion hearing regarding spousal support and ruled on a support amount. Per standard practice, I made findings and issued rulings on the record to be reduced to a Findings and Order After Hearing submitted by the attorneys and signed by the court.

Counsel for Petitioner

Jaime Learakos  
16644 West Bernardo Drive, Suite 201  
San Diego, CA 92127  
(858) 258-5766

Counsel for Respondent

Jeremy Boyer  
Moore, Schulman, Moore  
12636 High Bluff Drive, Suite 200  
San Diego, CA 92130



(858) 755-3300

- d. For each of the 10 most significant opinions you have written, provide: (1) citations for those decisions that were published; (2) a copy of those decisions that were not published; and (3) the names and contact information for the attorneys who played a significant role in the case.

I have issued no written opinions.

- e. Provide a list of all cases in which certiorari was requested or granted.

None.

- f. Provide a brief summary of and citations for all of your opinions where your decisions were reversed by a reviewing court or where your judgment was affirmed with significant criticism of your substantive or procedural rulings. If any of the opinions listed were not officially reported, provide copies of the opinions.

None.

- g. Provide a description of the number and percentage of your decisions in which you issued an unpublished opinion and the manner in which those unpublished opinions are filed and/or stored.

I have issued no written opinions.

- h. Provide citations for significant opinions on federal or state constitutional issues, together with the citation to appellate court rulings on such opinions. If any of the opinions listed were not officially reported, provide copies of the opinions.

None.

- i. Provide citations to all cases in which you sat by designation on a federal court of appeals, including a brief summary of any opinions you authored, whether majority, dissenting, or concurring, and any dissenting opinions you joined.

I have never sat by designation on any federal court of appeals.

14. **Recusal:** If you are or have been a judge, identify the basis by which you have assessed the necessity or propriety of recusal (If your court employs an "automatic" recusal system by which you may be recused without your knowledge, please include a general description of that system.) Provide a list of any cases, motions or matters that have come before you in which a litigant or party has requested that you recuse yourself due to an asserted conflict of interest or in which you have recused yourself sua sponte. Identify each such case, and for each provide the following information:

- a. whether your recusal was requested by a motion or other suggestion by a litigant or a party to the proceeding or by any other person or interested party; or if you recused yourself sua sponte;
- b. a brief description of the asserted conflict of interest or other ground for recusal;
- c. the procedure you followed in determining whether or not to recuse yourself;
- d. your reason for recusing or declining to recuse yourself, including any action taken to remove the real, apparent or asserted conflict of interest or to cure any other ground for recusal.

California law (Code of Civil Procedure Section 170.6) allows each party up to one preemptory challenge of a judge by filing an affidavit of prejudice without any specification of grounds for the alleged prejudice. The sitting judge reviews for timeliness and other procedural requirements but a timely, procedurally correct preemptory challenge under this section must be automatically granted.

Aside from preemptory challenges, I have not recused myself from a case nor has any party or attorney requested my recusal for cause. For each case, I initially examine the case, the parties and attorneys to determine whether a conflict of interest or appearance of conflict exists such that I would be required to recuse or disclose the conflict pursuant to the California Code of Civil Procedure, the Code of Judicial Ethics, and other guidance resources on judicial ethics.

**15. Public Office, Political Activities and Affiliations:**

- a. List chronologically any public offices you have held, other than judicial offices, including the terms of service and whether such positions were elected or appointed. If appointed, please include the name of the individual who appointed you. Also, state chronologically any unsuccessful candidacies you have had for elective office or unsuccessful nominations for appointed office.

I have not held public office. I have had no unsuccessful candidacies for public office or unsuccessful nominations for appointed office.

- b. List all memberships and offices held in and services rendered, whether compensated or not, to any political party or election committee. If you have ever held a position or played a role in a political campaign, identify the particulars of the campaign, including the candidate, dates of the campaign, your title and responsibilities.

I have not held any offices in or rendered services to any political party or election committee. I have not held a position or played a role in a political campaign.

16. **Legal Career:** Answer each part separately.

a. Describe chronologically your law practice and legal experience after graduation from law school including:

i. whether you served as clerk to a judge, and if so, the name of the judge, the court and the dates of the period you were a clerk;

I served as a clerk for the Honorable Barry Ted Moskowitz on the United States District Court for the Southern District of California from 2001 to 2002 and 2007 to 2008.

ii. whether you practiced alone, and if so, the addresses and dates;

I have never practiced alone.

iii. the dates, names and addresses of law firms or offices, companies or governmental agencies with which you have been affiliated, and the nature of your affiliation with each;

2002 – 2003  
O'Melveny & Myers LLP  
400 South Hope Street, 18th Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90071  
Associate

2003 – 2006  
Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP  
501 West Broadway  
San Diego, California 92101  
Associate

2006 – 2007  
Thomas Jefferson School of Law  
710 B Street, Suite 110  
San Diego, California 92101  
Visiting Assistant Professor of Law

2011 – 2020  
California Attorney General's Office  
600 West Broadway, Suite 1800  
San Diego, California 92101  
Supervising Deputy Attorney General (2019 – 2020)  
Deputy Attorney General (2011 – 2019)

iv. whether you served as a mediator or arbitrator in alternative dispute

resolution proceedings and, if so, a description of the 10 most significant matters with which you were involved in that capacity.

I have never served as a mediator or arbitrator.

b. Describe:

- i. the general character of your law practice and indicate by date when its character has changed over the years.

From 2002 to 2006, I was a litigation associate at the law firms of O'Melveny & Myers LLP in Los Angeles and Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP in San Diego. I typically represented corporations in litigation matters, specializing in employment law cases including wage and hour class actions and employment discrimination lawsuits in state and federal courts. As an associate, I was entrusted with primary responsibility for conducting and managing a heavy workload of discrimination cases and wage and hour class actions, which included complex discovery, efforts to defeat class certification, dispositive motion practice, trial preparation, and settlement negotiations.

From 2006 to 2007, I taught Employment Law and Legal Writing as a Visiting Assistant Professor of Law at Thomas Jefferson School of Law in San Diego, California.

From 2011 to 2020, after taking time off from my career as a full-time mother, I was a consumer protection attorney with the California Attorney General's Office. In this role, I investigated and civilly prosecuted corporations for illegal and deceptive business practices in violation of California's unfair competition laws.

- ii. your typical clients and the areas at each period of your legal career, if any, in which you have specialized.

As a consumer protection attorney at the California Attorney General's Office, I investigated and civilly prosecuted law enforcement actions for consumer abuses. My clients in these actions were the People of the State of California and, although I handled on other types of cases, I specialized in health fraud matters involving large corporations and their deceptive marketing of pharmaceutical and medical devices.

As an associate at national law firms, I specialized in defending wage and hour lawsuits and employment actions and typically defended large corporations.

- c. Describe the percentage of your practice that has been in litigation and whether

you appeared in court frequently, occasionally, or not at all. If the frequency of your appearances in court varied, describe such variance, providing dates.

i. Indicate the percentage of your practice in:

- |                             |     |
|-----------------------------|-----|
| 1. federal courts:          | 35% |
| 2. state courts of record:  | 65% |
| 3. other courts:            | 0%  |
| 4. administrative agencies: | 0%  |

ii. Indicate the percentage of your practice in:

- |                          |     |
|--------------------------|-----|
| 1. civil proceedings:    | 99% |
| 2. criminal proceedings: | 1%  |

d. State the number of cases in courts of record, including cases before administrative law judges, you tried to verdict, judgment or final decision (rather than settled), indicating whether you were sole counsel, chief counsel, or associate counsel.

I tried three cases to verdict. I was lead counsel and first chair for plaintiff California in a nine-week bench trial against Johnson & Johnson. I was lead counsel and first chair for the state plaintiffs California, Ohio, North Carolina, and Illinois in a five-week bench trial against Dish Network, LLC. I was associate counsel in prosecuting a criminal misdemeanor trial for the Redondo Beach City Attorney's Office.

i. What percentage of these trials were:

- |              |     |
|--------------|-----|
| 1. jury:     | 33% |
| 2. non-jury: | 66% |

e. Describe your practice, if any, before the Supreme Court of the United States. Supply four (4) copies of any briefs, amicus or otherwise, and, if applicable, any oral argument transcripts before the Supreme Court in connection with your practice.

I have never practiced before the Supreme Court of the United States.

17. **Litigation:** Describe the ten (10) most significant litigated matters which you personally handled, whether or not you were the attorney of record. Give the citations, if the cases were reported, and the docket number and date if unreported. Give a capsule summary of the substance of each case. Identify the party or parties whom you represented; describe in detail the nature of your participation in the litigation and the final disposition of the case. Also state as to each case:

a. the date of representation;

- b. the name of the court and the name of the judge or judges before whom the case was litigated; and
  - c. the individual name, addresses, and telephone numbers of co-counsel and of principal counsel for each of the other parties.
1. *People of the State of California v. Johnson & Johnson and Ethicon, Inc.*, 2020 WL 603964 (Cal. Super. Jan 30, 2020), 37-2016-00017229-CU-MC-CTL, (Sturgeon, J.)

Starting in May 2016, I represented the People of the State of California in a consumer protection law enforcement action alleging that Johnson & Johnson engaged in deceptive marketing and false advertising of pelvic mesh medical devices for women in violation of California unfair competition laws. As lead counsel representing the state of California, I led all investigation, litigation, and trial efforts, including supervising a team of 10 other attorneys, setting the litigation and trial strategy, taking and defending the depositions of the highest priority lay and expert witnesses, arguing the most important motions, and overseeing the drafting of all motions and the conduct of all discovery by team members. As first chair for the nine-week bench trial in 2019, I determined the strategic direction of the trial, delivered the opening statement and closing argument, conducted the direct and cross-examinations of the most crucial witnesses on both sides, and supervised the trial efforts of my team of attorneys through a trial that involved more than 40 total witnesses, 15 experts, and thousands of exhibits. Through these efforts, I was able to prove that Johnson & Johnson had, for more than 20 years, deceived doctors and patients about these devices' potential to cause debilitating, chronic pain and destroy patients' sexual, urinary, and defecatory functions. As a result, I obtained a \$343 million penalty verdict on behalf of the People of the State of California. I also continued, until approximately June 2020, to lead the team in post-trial litigation efforts including opposing a motion for new trial.

Opposing Counsel

Carolyn Kubota  
Covington & Burling  
1999 Avenue of the Stars  
Los Angeles, CA 90067  
(424) 332-4770

William Gage  
Butler Snow  
1020 Highland Colony Parkway, Suite 1400  
Ridgeland, MS 39157  
(601) 985-4561

Stephen Brody  
O'Melveny & Myers LLP  
1625 Eye Street, Northwest

Washington, DC 20006  
(202) 383-5167

Co-Counsel

The principal attorneys who worked on this trial from the California Attorney General's Office included the following:

Tina Charoenpong  
Monica Zi  
Gabriel Schaeffer  
300 South Spring Street, Suite 1700  
Los Angeles, CA 90013  
(213) 269-6350

Daniel Osborn  
1515 Clay Street  
Oakland, CA 94612  
(510) 879-3136

Devin Mauney  
1500 Pennsylvania Avenue, Northwest  
Washington, DC 20220  
(202) 868-0868

Adelina Acuna  
455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 510-3752

2. *United States, California, Illinois et al. v. Dish Network, LLC*, 256 F. Supp. 3d 810 (C.D. Ill. 2017) (Myerscough, J.); 954 F.3d 970 (7th Cir. 2020) (Easterbrook, Kanne, Brennan, JJ.)

Starting in 2012, I successfully represented the People of the State of California in a civil law enforcement action in a case against Dish Network, LLC (Dish). California and its co-plaintiffs United States, Illinois, North Carolina and Ohio proved that Dish Network LLC (Dish) engaged in widespread violations of state and federal telemarketing violations by causing "robocalls" and calls to numbers on the Do Not Call Registry. Through their retailers and directly, Dish persisted in these illegal calls despite numerous previous government investigations, consent decrees, and court orders in other actions enjoining this conduct. Pre-trial, I drafted the State Plaintiffs' portion of the motion for summary judgment, took and defended expert and other witness depositions, and led pre-trial motion practice on behalf of the State Plaintiffs. The five-week bench trial in this matter took place in 2016. While co-plaintiffs United States and the states of California, Illinois, North Carolina, and Ohio proceeded under the same nexus of facts, State Plaintiffs proceeded under different statutes than the United States and had different

issues of proof at trial than the United States. For example, the State Plaintiffs needed to prove that an agency relationship existed between Dish and its numerous retailers and that the illegal phone calls in question went to residential numbers in their respective states, while the United States took the primary responsibility for proving intent. As lead attorney representing the state of California and first chair at trial for the four State Plaintiffs, I led the coordinated pre-trial and trial efforts of the State Plaintiffs in this litigation, which included setting the strategic direction for proving the State issues at trial, conducting the direct examination of the Plaintiffs' key experts and witnesses, conducting the direct examination of Dish's key experts and corporate witnesses, arguing the mid-trial directed verdict motion, and spearheading the drafting of the written closing arguments for the State Plaintiffs. The co-plaintiffs were successful in obtaining a significant monetary penalties and damages award of \$280 million against Dish as well as robust injunctive relief from the trial court.

When Dish appealed this matter, I spearheaded the drafting of State Plaintiffs' appellate brief, as lead counsel for the State of California and the lead attorney for the coordinated appellate efforts of the Plaintiff States. I also successfully argued the appeal before the Seventh Circuit on behalf of the four Plaintiff States on September 17, 2018. The appeal was decided on March 26, 2020, and the parties settled the matter post-appeal to resolve a remand issue and to avoid further appeals.

Opposing Counsel

Elyse Echtman  
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe, LLP  
51 West 52nd Street  
New York, NY 10019  
(212) 506-3753

Counsel for Co-plaintiffs

Patrick Runkle  
United States Department of Justice  
P.O. Box 386  
Washington, DC 20044  
(202) 532-4723

Elizabeth Blackston  
Office of the Illinois Attorney General  
500 South Second Street  
Springfield, IL 62706  
(217) 782-4436

Erin Leahy  
Office of the Ohio Attorney General  
30 East Broad Street, 14th Floor  
Columbus, OH 43215  
(614) 752-4730



David Kirkman  
Office of the North Carolina Attorney General (now retired)  
(919) 389-1302

Terry Townsend  
Office of the North Carolina Attorney General  
114 West Edenton Street  
Raleigh, NC 27602  
(919) 716-6000

Co-counsel

Below are attorneys from the California Attorney General's Office who worked with me closely on this case:

Jon Worm  
600 West Broadway  
San Diego, CA 92101  
(619) 738-9325

Adelina Acuna  
California Attorney General's Office  
455 Golden Gate Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 703-1612

3. *People of the State of California v. Boston Scientific Corp.*, (RG21092570) Alameda Superior Court (Desautels, J.)

From 2014 to 2020, I successfully led a 48-state multistate investigation which resulted in a \$188.5 million court-approved settlement against Boston Scientific Corporation (Boston). California was the co-lead state, along with Washington, of a multistate investigation comprised of 48 participating states, concerning the deceptive marketing of pelvic mesh products manufactured by Boston. As lead attorney of this effort, I initiated the multistate investigation, seeking and obtaining the participation of 47 other states, and led the extensive investigative and negotiating efforts of this multistate group. These efforts involved years of intensive attorney work using the administrative subpoena process to obtain millions of company documents and extensive witness testimony. They also included delving into complex medical and scientific data and clinical studies, and other evidentiary sources. As lead attorney, I led these efforts to discover and marshal proof through the administrative subpoena process, prepared detailed presentations with investigative findings, set the strategic direction of negotiations, and led all negotiation presentations, discussions, and communications with opposing counsel until I left the Attorney General's Office for my appointment to the state court bench. Three months after I left the Attorney General's Office, the case resolved with the filing of a \$188.5 million settlement and stipulated injunctive relief approved by the court.

Opposing Counsel

Joseph Rebein  
Shook, Hardy & Bacon LLP  
2555 Grand Boulevard  
Kansas City, MO 64108  
(816) 559-2227

Counsel for Co-lead State Washington

Daniel Allen  
Washington Attorney General's Office  
800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 200  
Seattle, WA 98104  
(206) 849-6642

Co-counsel

Michelle Burkart  
300 South Spring Street, Suite 1700  
Los Angeles, CA 90013  
(213) 269-6553

4. *People of the State of California v. C.R. Bard, Inc.*, (37-2020-00034014-CU-MC-CTL) San Diego Superior Court (Meyer, J.)

From 2014 to 2020, I successfully led a 48-state multistate investigation which resulted in a \$60 million court-approved settlement against C.R. Bard, Inc. (Bard). California was the co-lead state, along with Washington, of a multistate investigation comprised of 48 participating states, concerning the deceptive marketing of pelvic mesh products manufactured by Bard. As lead attorney of this effort, I initiated the multistate investigation, seeking and obtaining the participation of 47 other states, and led the extensive investigative and negotiating efforts of this multistate group. These efforts involved years of intensive attorney work using the administrative subpoena process to obtain millions of company documents and extensive witness testimony. They also included delving into complex medical and scientific data and clinical studies, and other evidentiary sources. As lead attorney, I led these efforts to discover and marshal proof through the administrative subpoena process, prepared detailed presentations with investigative findings, set the strategic direction of negotiations including the content of offer and counteroffer positions both in terms of money and injunctive provisions, and led all negotiation presentations, discussions, and communications with opposing counsel. This case resolved with the filing of a \$60 million settlement and stipulated injunctive relief approved by the court.

Opposing Counsel

Barry Boise  
Troutman Pepper  
3000 Two Logan Square

Philadelphia, PA 19103  
(215) 981-4591

Counsel for Co-lead State Washington

Daniel Allen  
Washington Attorney General's Office  
800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 200  
Seattle, WA 98104  
(206) 849-6642

Co-counsel

Michelle Burkart  
300 South Spring Street, Suite 1700  
Los Angeles, CA 90013  
(213) 269-6553

5. *People of the State of California v. Facebook, Inc.*, (CPF-19-516916) San Francisco Superior Court (Schulman, J.)

From December 2019 to December 2020, I supervised a team of three attorneys in an investigation into Facebook's violations of privacy rights and misrepresentations regarding users' data. I oversaw the team's issuance and enforcement of administrative subpoenas, which are akin to civil discovery requests and deposition subpoenas. I supervised their meet and confer negotiations regarding the sufficiency of the company's production of documents, witnesses, and corporate testimony. I also trained and supervised the team in the taking of complex depositions of the corporation (person most knowledgeable depositions) as well individual depositions of high-level current and former executives and privacy personnel. When I left the office, the administrative discovery process was ongoing.

Opposing Counsel

Matthew T. Jones  
Wilmer Hale  
1875 Pennsylvania Avenue, Northwest  
Washington, DC 20006  
(202) 663-6018

6. *People of the State of California v. Johnson & Johnson, Medical Device Business Services, Inc. f/k/a DePuy, Inc.*, (19CIV00422) San Mateo Superior Court (Karesh, J.)

From 2015 to 2019, I represented the state of California as a participating state in a multistate investigation involving the deceptive marketing of hip implants in violation of state deceptive marketing laws. My role involved participating in the investigation and representing California's interests in the settlement and injunction. The negotiated resolution of this case involved a \$120 million payment from Johnson & Johnson to the states and court-approved injunctive relief prohibiting the continued deceptive

advertising around these devices.

Opposing Counsel

Stephen Brody  
O'Melveny & Myers LLP  
1625 Eye Street, Northwest  
Washington, DC 20006  
(202) 383-5167

7. *Kenemixay v. Nordstrom, Inc.*, (BC318850) Los Angeles Superior Court (Lichtman, J.)

From approximately September 2004 to December 2005, I represented Nordstrom in a wage and hour class action involving allegations that the department store chain incorrectly applied the commissioned sales exemption in calculating the wages of its employees and violated other wage and paystub requirements of the California Labor Code. As the associate with primary responsibility for managing this case, I conducted discovery and responded to discovery requests, drafted and argued various motions, attended court conferences, and collected extensive data and conducted analysis on class paystub information to position the case for mediation and favorable settlement. The case resolved with a negotiated resolution for a little over \$1 million to the plaintiff class.

Opposing Counsel

Mark Yablonovich  
700 Westmount Drive, # 203  
West Hollywood, CA 90069  
(424) 282-2640

Jacalyn Davis  
9595 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 900  
Beverly Hills, CA 90212  
(310) 388-9401

Co-counsel

Julie Dunne  
DLA Piper LLP  
401 B Street, Suite 1700  
San Diego, CA 92101  
(619) 699-2690

8. *Kravitz v. Nordstrom, Inc.*, (04CC00547) Orange County Superior Court (Dunning, J.)

From approximately September 2004 to December 2006, I represented Nordstrom in a wage and hour class action involving allegations that the department store chain incorrectly classified its department managers as employees exempt from overtime. As

the associate with primary responsibility for managing this case, I handled all discovery matters, handled issues of communications with putative class members, conducted fact-gathering to position case for opposing class certification, attended court conferences, and drafted and argued various discovery motions, including drafting a successful writ petition to the California Court of Appeal to challenge a discovery ruling by the Superior Court. I believe the case ultimately resolved with a negotiated resolution after I left the law firm.

Opposing Counsel

David Deason  
Deason & Archbold  
17011 Beach Boulevard Suite 900  
Huntington Beach, CA 92647  
(949) 794-9560

Co-counsel

Julie Dunne  
DLA Piper LLP  
401 B Street, Suite 1700  
San Diego, CA 92101  
(619) 699-2690

9. *Campbell v. Catalina Restaurant Group Inc.*, (GIN038913) San Diego Superior Court (Nugent, J.)

From 2004 to 2006, I represented Catalina Restaurant Group in an employment action involving allegations of wrongful termination and breach of contract. As the associate with primary responsibility for managing this case, I conducted discovery, drafted and argued various pre-trial motions, drafted the motion for summary judgment, and drafted motions *in limine*. The case ultimately resolved with a negotiated resolution shortly before trial.

Opposing Counsel

Louis Edleson  
Joanne Rezzo  
Edleson & Rezzo  
402 West Broadway, Suite 1520  
San Diego, CA 92101  
(619) 230-8402

Co-counsel

Julie Dunne  
DLA Piper LLP  
401 B Street, Suite 1700  
San Diego, CA 92101  
(619) 699-2690

10. *People of the State of California v. Aaron's, Inc.* (BC 559774) Los Angeles Superior Court (Hiroshige, J.)

From 2011 to 2013, I was associate counsel in a California investigation involving a rent-to-own company's deceptive marketing and false advertising of its lease prices and other business terms. My role involved included investigating the company through undercover operations, the administrative subpoena process, and analysis of sales and marketing documents. The investigation resulted in a negotiated resolution and settlement of \$25 million in restitution to California consumers and \$1.4 million in penalties to the Attorney General's Office.

Opposing Counsel

William H. Jordan  
Alston & Bird  
One Atlantic Center  
1201 West Peachtree Street, Suite 4900  
Atlanta, GA 30309  
(404) 881-7850

Co-counsel

Michael Elisofon  
1515 Clay Street  
Oakland, CA 94612  
(510) 879-0094

18. **Legal Activities:** Describe the most significant legal activities you have pursued, including significant litigation which did not progress to trial or legal matters that did not involve litigation. Describe fully the nature of your participation in these activities. List any client(s) or organization(s) for whom you performed lobbying activities and describe the lobbying activities you performed on behalf of such client(s) or organizations(s). (Note: As to any facts requested in this question, please omit any information protected by the attorney-client privilege.)

In addition to leading some of the most significant litigation and multistate work of the California Attorney General's Office, I devoted considerable efforts to teaching, mentoring, and supervising more than 20 other attorneys in the Consumer Protection Section of the California Attorney General's Office. For junior attorneys staffed on my cases, I taught them both the mechanics and a strategic grasp of complex civil litigation, including how discovery, depositions, motion practice, development of expert testimony, and trial practice need to build upon one another.

As a Supervising Deputy Attorney General, I continued my work of developing the talents and ensuring the success of the attorneys in the Consumer Protection Section. In addition to on-the-spot training and feedback, I taught internal section trainings on taking high-stakes depositions in complex matters, developing expert testimony, and preparing

experts for deposition, as well as a series of seminars on trial preparation and trial practice.

In addition, I also represented the State of California in the following investigations.

*People of the State of California v. Johnson & Johnson, and Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.*, (37-2017-00018708-CU-MC-CTL) San Diego Superior Court (Trapp, J.). From 2014 to 2017, I represented California as a participating state in a multistate investigation involving the harmful contamination and adulteration of common over-the-counter drugs such as Children's Tylenol, Benadryl, Motrin, Sudafed, Mylanta, and Roloids. My role involved included participating in the investigation and representing California's interests in the settlement and injunction. The 2017 resolution of this case involved a \$33 million payment to the states and injunctive relief.

*People of the State of California v. Amgen, Inc.*, (37-2015-00028026-CU-MC-CTL). From 2012 to 2015, I represented the state of California, which was a participating state, in a multistate investigation involving the deceptive marketing of prescription drugs Aranesp and Enbrel. My role involved included participating in the investigation and representing California's interests in the settlement and injunction. The 2015 resolution of this case involved a \$71 million payment from Amgen to the states and injunctive relief prohibiting the continued deceptive advertising around these pharmaceuticals.

19. **Teaching:** What courses have you taught? For each course, state the title, the institution at which you taught the course, the years in which you taught the course, and describe briefly the subject matter of the course and the major topics taught. If you have a syllabus of each course, provide four (4) copies to the committee.

From 2006 to 2007, I taught Employment Law at the Thomas Jefferson School of Law in San Diego, California. The course covered an overview of employment law including at-will employment, contractual and tort-based exceptions to at-will employment, employment discrimination, and wage and hour law. I am unable to locate a syllabus.

From 2006 to 2007, I also taught Legal Writing at the Thomas Jefferson School of Law. This course covered the basic mechanics of legal writing and analysis, including objective and persuasive legal writing, for first-year law students. I am unable to locate a syllabus.

20. **Deferred Income/ Future Benefits:** List the sources, amounts and dates of all anticipated receipts from deferred income arrangements, stock, options, uncompleted contracts and other future benefits which you expect to derive from previous business relationships, professional services, firm memberships, former employers, clients or customers. Describe the arrangements you have made to be compensated in the future for any financial or business interest.

None.

21. **Outside Commitments During Court Service:** Do you have any plans, commitments, or agreements to pursue outside employment, with or without compensation, during your service with the court? If so, explain.

None.

22. **Sources of Income:** List sources and amounts of all income received during the calendar year preceding your nomination and for the current calendar year, including all salaries, fees, dividends, interest, gifts, rents, royalties, licensing fees, honoraria, and other items exceeding \$500 or more (if you prefer to do so, copies of the financial disclosure report, required by the Ethics in Government Act of 1978, may be substituted here).

When my nomination is formally submitted to the Senate, I will file my Financial Disclosure Report and will supplement this Questionnaire with a copy of that Report.

23. **Statement of Net Worth:** Please complete the attached financial net worth statement in detail (add schedules as called for).

See attached Net Worth Statement.

24. **Potential Conflicts of Interest:**

- a. Identify the family members or other persons, parties, categories of litigation, and financial arrangements that are likely to present potential conflicts-of-interest when you first assume the position to which you have been nominated. Explain how you would address any such conflict if it were to arise.

My husband is a lawyer. I would place his name and the name of his law firm, Latham & Watkins, on the recusal list. I would also recuse myself from matters I handled, supervised, or advised on while at the California Attorney General's Office.

- b. Explain how you will resolve any potential conflict of interest, including the procedure you will follow in determining these areas of concern.

If confirmed, I would handle any matters involving actual or potential conflicts of interest by applying the Code of Conduct for United States Judges, 28 U.S.C. § 455, and any other relevant ethical canons or rules.

25. **Pro Bono Work:** An ethical consideration under Canon 2 of the American Bar Association's Code of Professional Responsibility calls for "every lawyer, regardless of professional prominence or professional workload, to find some time to participate in serving the disadvantaged." Describe what you have done to fulfill these responsibilities, listing specific instances and the amount of time devoted to each.

As an associate at O'Melveny & Myers in Los Angeles, from 2002 to 2003, I provided pro bono legal services to file adoption paperwork for families adopting foster children



through a nonprofit organization. I devoted about 20 hours to this pro bono adoption. I also successfully prosecuted a misdemeanor criminal jury trial as a pro bono special prosecutor for the City of Redondo Beach, through the Trial Advocacy Prosecution Program with the Redondo Beach City Attorney's Office, devoting about 40 hours to this trial. While at Sheppard Mullin, I continued my pro bono adoption work assisting foster families, devoting about 20 hours per year.

While at the California Attorney General's Office, I was not permitted to provide any pro bono legal representation to outside organizations or individuals. Instead, I served the community through my work on the board of KIPP Adelante charter school and subsequently with the Lawyers Club of San Diego.

26. **Selection Process:** Please describe your experience in the entire judicial selection process, from beginning to end (including the circumstances which led to your nomination and the interviews in which you participated). Is there a selection commission in your jurisdiction to recommend candidates for nomination to the federal courts? If so, please include that process in your description, as well as whether the commission recommended your nomination. List the dates of all interviews or communications you had with the White House staff or the Justice Department regarding this nomination. Do not include any contacts with Federal Bureau of Investigation personnel concerning your nomination.

On January 30, 2021 and February 15, 2021, I submitted my application for the United States District Court, Southern District of California to Senator Feinstein and Senator Padilla, respectively. On March 12, 2021, I was interviewed by Senator's Feinstein's selection committee. On April 13, 2021, I was interviewed by Senator Padilla's selection committee. On, April 29, 2021, I was interviewed by the statewide chair of Senator Feinstein's selection committee. On April 30, 2021, I was interviewed by the statewide chair of Senator Padilla's selection committee. On July, 21, 2021, I interviewed with attorneys from the White House Counsel's Office. Since that time, I have been in contact with officials from the Office of Legal Policy at the Department of Justice. On September 30, 2021, the President announced his intent to nominate me.

27. Has anyone involved in the process of selecting you as a judicial nominee discussed with you any currently pending or specific case, legal issue or question in a manner that could reasonably be interpreted as seeking any express or implied assurances concerning your position on such case, issue, or question? If so, explain fully.

No.