

1 UNITED STATES SENATE
2 COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY
3 WASHINGTON, D.C.
4
5
6
7

8 INTERVIEW OF RICHARD DONOGHUE
9
10
11
12

13 FRIDAY, AUGUST 6, 2021
14
15
16

17 The interview was convened, pursuant to notice, at 9:05
18 a.m, and was conducted via Zoom.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

PROCEEDINGS

9:05 a.m.

Ms. Zdeb. This is a transcribed interview of Richard Donoghue. Chair Durbin requested this interview in connection with the Judiciary Committee's investigation of efforts to involve the Justice Department in former President Trump's attempts to overturn the 2020 presidential election.

Would the witness please state his name for the record.

Mr. Donoghue. My name is Richard Peter Donoghue, D-o-n-o-g-h-u-e.

Ms. Zdeb. On behalf of Chair Durbin, I'd like to thank you, Mr. Donoghue, for appearing here today. We appreciate your willingness to appear voluntarily.

My name is Sara Zdeb. I'm the majority Chief Oversight Counsel for the Judiciary Committee. And I'll now ask everyone else on the Zoom to go around and please introduce themselves for the record, with the exception of Mr. Donoghue's counsel, who we will get to in just a minute. And maybe for the ease of this, we can start with my colleagues on the chair staff.

Mr. Charlet. I'm Joe Charlet, Counsel for the majority.

Ms. McClain Walton. Good morning. I'm Nicole McClain Walton, Counsel for the majority.

1 Mr. Flynn-Brown. Good morning, Mr. Donoghue.
2 My name is Josh Flynn-Brown. I'm Deputy Chief Investigative
3 Counsel for Senator Grassley. We want to thank you for your
4 time this morning and this afternoon. And
5 I'll turn it over to DeLisa for her introduction.

6 Ms. Ragsdale. I'm DeLisa Ragsdale. I'm
7 Chief Investigative Counsel for Senator Grassley.

8 Ms. Zdeb. Department counsel?

9 Mr. Weinsheimer. Brad Weinsheimer,
10 Department of Justice.

11 Ms. Loeb. Emily Loeb, Department of Justice.

12 Ms. Antell. Kira Antell, Department of
13 Justice.

14 Ms. Zdeb. Thank you.

15 The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure do not
16 apply to the committee's investigative activities, including
17 transcribed interviews. That said, we do follow some
18 guidelines that I'll go over now.

19 Our questioning will proceed in rounds. The
20 majority staff will ask questions for one hour, then the
21 minority staff will have the opportunity to ask questions for
22 an equal amount of time. We will go back and forth in this
23 way until there are no more questions.

24 We typically take a short break at the end of
25 each hour, but if you need to take a break at any other time,

1 please just let us know.

2 As I noted earlier, you're appearing
3 voluntarily, and we very much appreciate that. I want to note
4 that you have been authorized by the Department of Justice to
5 provide "unrestricted testimony, irrespective of potential
6 privilege" on topics within the scope of the committee's
7 investigation.

8 As such, we anticipate that our questions
9 will receive complete responses. As you can see, we have a
10 stenographer taking down everything that we say to make a
11 written record. And so we ask that you give verbal responses
12 to each question.

13 Do you understand?

14 Mr. Donoghue. Yes.

15 Ms. Zdeb. We encourage witnesses who appear
16 before the committee to consult freely with counsel if they
17 choose. You're appearing here today with counsel.

18 Counsel, could you please introduce
19 yourselves for the record.

20 Mr. Andres. Sure. Good morning. My name is
21 Greg Andres from Davis Polk. And together with me here in New
22 York are my colleagues, Kate Swan and Charles Klug. And on
23 the Zoom is Brook Jackling in Washington.

24 Thank you.

25 Ms. Zdeb. Mr. Donoghue, we want you to

1 answer our questions in a complete and truthful manner. So if
2 you don't understand one of our questions, please just ask.
3 You should understand that, although this interview is not
4 under oath, by law, you are required to answer questions from
5 Congress truthfully.

6 Do you understand that?

7 Mr. Donoghue. Yes, I do.

8 Ms. Zdeb. And specifically, witnesses who
9 knowingly provide materially false statements during the
10 course of a congressional investigation could be subject to
11 criminal prosecution under 18 USC 1001. And this statute
12 applies to your statements in this interview.

13 Do you understand that?

14 Mr. Donoghue. Yes, I do.

15 Ms. Zdeb. Is there any reason why you are
16 unable to provide truthful answers to today's questions?

17 Mr. Donoghue. No.

18 Ms. Zdeb. Finally, we ask that you please
19 not speak about what we discuss in this interview with anyone,
20 outside of those of us who are on the Zoom, in order to
21 preserve the integrity of our investigation.

22 Is there anything else that my colleagues
23 from Senator Grassley's staff would like to add at this point?

24 Mr. Flynn-Brown. I have nothing to add at
25 this point. Thank you.

1 Ms. Zdeb. In that case, this is the end of
2 my preamble.

3 Mr. Donoghue, do you have any questions
4 before we begin?

5 Mr. Donoghue. No. I'd just like to thank
6 the Senate and Senate staff for your professionalism
7 throughout, as well as the Davis Polk team that's helped me
8 prepare for today. Thank you.

9 Ms. Zdeb. With that, it is 9:10 a.m., and we
10 can begin our first round of questioning.

11 BY MS. ZDEB.

12 Q. Mr. Donoghue, what was your most recent role at the
13 Department of Justice?

14 A. My last official position at DOJ was as the
15 Principal Associate Deputy Attorney General. I served in that
16 position from mid-July 2020 through the transition. My detail
17 from the Eastern District of New York to D.C. ended at the end
18 of January. So I did remain on DOJ's roll because I was a
19 career employee. I officially retired from DOJ in early April
20 of this year.

21 Q. Congratulations.

22 So working backward from your -- well,
23 actually, strike that.

24 So at some point during that tenure during
25 which you were Principal Associate Deputy Attorney General,

1 you were elevated to the position of Acting Deputy Attorney
2 General; is that right?

3 A. Technically, I was not the Acting Deputy
4 Attorney General because, under federal personnel law, if
5 there is a Senate-confirmed individual who is still in the
6 Department, you should not use the term "Acting Deputy
7 Attorney General," or "Acting" in any capacity.
8 And since the Deputy Attorney General confirmed by the Senate
9 was still in the Department, I did not technically use that
10 term to describe my position, nor did others, but I was
11 playing that role.

12 I had the responsibilities and authorities of
13 the Deputy Attorney General from December 23 of 2020 through
14 the transition.

15 Q. And prior to -- prior to your appointment as
16 Principal Associate Deputy Attorney General, you were --
17 remind me, you were the U.S. Attorney in the Eastern District
18 starting when?

19 A. I started as U.S. Attorney in the Eastern District
20 in January of 2018 and remained in that position until mid-
21 July of 2020 when I went down to D.C. as the
22 Principal Associate DAG.

23 Q. Thank you.

24 And can you give us just a brief overview of
25 your professional experience prior to the time when you became

1 U.S. Attorney in the Eastern District?

2 A. Sure.

3 Briefly, I graduated law school in 1992. I
4 was admitted to the bar in December of '92. In January of
5 1993, I went on active duty in the U.S. Army Judge Advocate
6 General's Corps. I spent seven years on active duty.

7 I served in a variety of places and
8 capacities. Most importantly, I served in the

9 nd
82 Airborne Division in the XVIII Airborne Corps.

10 I was a prosecutor, defense counsel, military
11 magistrate judge, contract litigator, and a few other things
12 along the way. In January of 2000, I began serving as an
13 Assistant United States Attorney in the Eastern District of
14 New York. I remained in that office almost 12 years, leaving
15 in November of 2011.

16 During my time in the EDNY, I was a line
17 assistant. I was promoted to deputy chief of the section,
18 chief of the section, deputy chief of the criminal division.
19 And I was ultimately appointed chief of the criminal division
20 by Loretta Lynch while she was the U.S. Attorney. And as I
21 said, I served there until November of 2011.

22 I left at that point and went to work for a
23 Fortune 500 software company as chief of litigation.

24 And I remained in that role until I became the U.S.
25 Attorney in 2018.

1 Q. Thank you.

2 Turning back to the more recent period during
3 which you were Principal Associate Deputy Attorney General,
4 and, in particular, during the period of time after the 2020
5 election through the conclusion of your tenure in that role,
6 without getting into the details of any particular
7 investigation, can you give us just a general overview of what
8 your role would have been with respect to any investigations
9 into allegations of election fraud?

10 A. The allegations of election fraud were handled
11 primarily by the U.S. Attorney's Offices. So each U.S.
12 Attorney obviously had authority over their jurisdiction and a
13 responsibility to carry out investigations that they deemed
14 appropriate.

15 I, sitting in the Office of Deputy Attorney
16 General, to some extent, supervised these investigations, but
17 it was more a coordination role; making sure that the U.S.
18 Attorneys were aware of certain things, or making sure that
19 they were not in conflict with one another because we had more
20 than one office investigating the same thing, that sort of
21 thing.

22 So I had frequent contact with the U.S. Attorneys.
23 I was generally aware of the investigations they were doing;
24 although, I was not deeply involved in individual
25 investigations. I also made an effort to keep the Deputy

1 Attorney General, Jeff Rosen, up-to-date on what was going on,
2 as well as AG Barr. And also, we had officials within the
3 criminal division headquartered at Main Justice who were
4 involved in some of these investigations.

5 So sometimes there were issues between the U.S.
6 Attorney's Office and the criminal division that I became
7 aware of or got involved in.

8 Q. Given the role that you just described, again,
9 during this period of time postelection through the conclusion
10 of your tenure in that role, is it fair to say that if the
11 Criminal Division, a U.S. Attorney's Office, or the FBI had
12 concluded that there was evidence of election fraud sufficient
13 to have impacted the outcome of the 2020 election, you would
14 have been made aware of it?

15 A. Yes, I would have known that.

16 Q. On December 1, 2020, then-Attorney
17 General Barr publicly announced that the Justice Department
18 had found no evidence of widespread election fraud on a scale
19 that could have affected the outcome of the 2020 election. I
20 think he later reaffirmed that finding a couple of weeks later
21 on December 21.

22 Did you have any reason to doubt Attorney General
23 Barr's conclusion that the Department had found no evidence of
24 widespread election fraud sufficient to change the outcome of
25 the election?

1 A. No, none at all.

2 Q. At this point, I'd like to ask you a couple of
3 questions about a document that we have designated as Majority
4 1. And we can mark this as Exhibit 1.

5 (Exhibit 1, email, was
6 marked.) BY MS. ZDEB.

7 Q. It is an email. The beginning Bates number at the
8 bottom of the page is -680.

9 A. I have it.

10 Q. Terrific.

11 So this is an email that you sent to
12 Assistant Attorney Generals, copying Mr. Rosen, on November
13 11, 2020, attaching a couple of policy documents. I imagine
14 you're familiar with these policies?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. And there's a -- the dual policies that the
17 Department and the White House each maintain, and have
18 historically maintained, that govern limitations on contacts
19 between those two entities; is that right?

20 A. That's right.

21 Q. And at the time you circulated these, what was your
22 general understanding of the limitations they imposed?

23 A. The memos were designed to funnel communications in
24 a certain way, and I won't go through all the details of it,
25 obviously. But the goal of each memo was to minimize contact

1 between the White House and DOJ personnel, and to make sure
2 that any communications went through the proper channels so
3 that we can have appropriate insight and supervision over
4 those communications.

5 Q. So I think you've just described the component of
6 the policy that limits and prescribes who in the White House
7 and the Department can communicate with one another.

8 But as I read it, and tell me if you agree,
9 it seems like the policy also places limits not just on who
10 within the Department can communicate with the White House and
11 vice versa, but also when those sorts of communications can
12 occur.

13 So, for instance, at the bottom of the first
14 page of the document, Bates-numbered -681, there is some
15 language -- there's some language indicating that only when it
16 is important for the performance of the President's duties,
17 and important from a law enforcement perspective, is it
18 acceptable for there to be communications about pending or
19 contemplated investigations or prosecutions.

20 Is that consistent with your understanding?

21 A. Yes, it is.

22 Q. Why did you circulate these policies at the time,
23 which was about a week after the 2020 election?

24 A. Right. These are long-standing policies, but I
25 circulated them on that particular day pursuant to a

1 conversation I had with Will Levi, L-e-v-i. Will was
2 AG Barr's chief of staff at that point.

3 And I don't remember all the specifics,
4 obviously, but I recall Will calling me -- or we were on the
5 phone, for whatever reason, and he asked me to circulate these
6 policies back around to the AG -- AAGs, sorry -- Assistant
7 Attorneys General, as sort of just a precautionary measure. I
8 don't, again, remember exactly why he said to do this, but he
9 said something like, Let's just make sure nobody trips over a
10 line or anything to that effect. Let's make sure people are
11 aware of the policies.

12 And so I sent it out pursuant to that
13 discussion.

14 Q. Did you have some understanding of why that
15 precaution was needed at that time?

16 A. Not really. I don't remember him giving a specific
17 reason for it, but obviously we were in the post-election
18 period. There were challenges all over with regard to the
19 election, and it was clearly going to be controversial for
20 some period of time. It was a tumultuous period.

21 And I just generally remember Will saying
22 something like, Let's just remind our people of where the
23 lines are.

24 I think he had sent this out to other people
25 in the AG's staff, and he thought it might be a good idea for

1 me to send it to the AAGs. I agreed, and I sent it out.

2 Q. Did you take notes of that call,
3 incidentally? I know we've seen other notes of yours that
4 we'll get to a bit later, but I'm curious if you have any from
5 that conversation with Mr. Levi.

6 A. No, there are no notes from that. I spoke to him
7 often. And it was a very routine thing. I wouldn't have
8 taken notes on that.

9 Q. Fair enough.
10 Just to be clear, because it sounds like
11 you're describing this largely as a prophylactic measure and,
12 perhaps, in anticipation of future communications. But at the
13 time you sent this out -again, November 11, shortly after the
14 election -- were you aware at that point of any outreach from
15 the White House to the Departments that may have implicated
16 those policies?

17 A. No.

18 Ms. Zdeb. So I'd like to jump ahead about a
19 month or so, and ask you about a document that we have
20 designated as Majority 2, if you have that. And we can mark
21 this as Exhibit 2.

22 (Exhibit 2, email, was
23 marked.) BY MS. ZDEB.

24 Q. So this is an email from Theresa Watson in the
25 Office of the Attorney General. It has some documents

1 attached to it related to allegations of election fraud in
2 Antrim County, Michigan. You're not on the email, but she
3 sends these two documents to the two U.S. Attorneys in
4 Michigan, in the Eastern and the
5 Western District, and she says, "See attachments per
6 Rich Donoghue."

7 Do you recall how the -- how the attachments
8 to this email, that it seems like you requested to be sent to
9 the two U.S. Attorneys, how those attachments first came to
10 your attention?

11 A. Generally, yes. It's been, obviously, quite a
12 number of months at this point. But I do remember generally
13 how those documents were being discussed that day, and why
14 they went out to those U.S. Attorneys.

15 Q So can you describe that context that you're recalling?

16 A. Sure.

17 So the Allied Security Operations Group
18 report that is attached there is dated December 13. These
19 communications took place on December 14. I won't get into
20 all the details of that report, but amongst other things, it
21 claimed to be a forensic review of Dominion Voting machines
22 and Dominion Voting software used in Antrim, A-n-t-r-i-m,
23 County in
24 Michigan. And the report claimed that this forensic review
25 indicated that there was a 68 percent error rate in the

1 machines used in Antrim County. That made a lot of news that
2 day.

3 It was -- I think this was part of a civil
4 filing. The forensic review, as I recall correctly, arose
5 from some state litigation. There was some lawsuit filed, I
6 believe, by a private citizen. Pursuant to that action, the
7 state court had authorized its forensic review of the machines
8 and software in the county, and that's what this report
9 supposedly arose from.

10 December 14th was the day that Attorney General
11 Barr announced his resignation and submitted his resignation
12 letter to the President. Later that afternoon, I was up at AG
13 Barr's office, and he said,
14 "Have you heard about or seen this report from Michigan?"

15 It was getting a lot of coverage in the
16 media. And, obviously, if there really was a 68 percent
17 error rate in Dominion Voting machines and systems, we had a
18 huge problem on our hands. Not that we believed that, but
19 obviously that's what the report was claiming.

20 So I don't know if I had seen the report at
21 that point or just seen headlines or whatever it was.
22 But we had a brief discussion, and he said something to the
23 effect of, We have to get on top of this; make sure the U.S.
24 Attorneys are aware of this report, since they would have
25 primary authority and jurisdiction and responsibility for

1 addressing these things, and let's find out what this is all
2 about.

3 I think at that point, he had said that he
4 wanted DHS, Department of Homeland Security, to take a look at
5 the report and to let him know what their views were.

6 And so various things were going on with
7 regard to this report. And as I was leaving AG Barr's office,
8 I think he said Theresa -- who is Theresa Watson, his
9 secretary -- I think he said Theresa has the report. She has
10 electronic copies.

11 And so as I passed her desk, I said, "Theresa, do
12 you have these reports about this Michigan thing?"

13 She said, "Yeah, I've got them right here."

14 And I said, "Just do me a favor. Send them
15 out to the U.S. Attorneys in Michigan."

16 Which she obviously did pursuant -- as you
17 see in this email.

18 I think I also called the U.S. Attorneys
19 there. My recollection on this is not great, but I believe I
20 called Matt Schneider first. And I just said, hey, you know,
21 heads up. This report is out there.

22 And he was already aware of it. I don't
23 think he had seen the report. I don't recall exactly, but he
24 was aware of it.

25 And I said, all right, just, you know, get on

1 top of this. We're going to find out whether there's anything
2 to this.

3 And then I believe Matt then told me that Antrim
4 County is actually Andrew Birge's district. I called Andrew
5 and said, you know, basically the same thing. Heads up. This
6 thing's out there. We're going to try to take a look at this
7 and figure out if there's anything to this report.

8 And subsequently what happened was DHS did
9 look at the report. There were some communications back and
10 forth. The AG asked them to provide what he termed a "white
11 paper" to provide their views on the report. Ultimately, a
12 couple days later they had provided, I think, a one-page
13 summary. It was just sort of bullet points.

14 And they also came over with their experts to
15 discuss the report with AG Barr, which we did. We discussed
16 it with DHS as well as the FBI.
17 Director Wray was there. I believe the deputy director was
18 there and one or two other FBI personnel.

19 And the reason, just so you understand that
20 we were turning to DHS, is because DHS has the expertise with
21 regard to election hardware and software. Their election
22 support efforts are designed to help local, state and other
23 entities conduct elections. And so they had the expertise as
24 to these machines.

25 They came over. They briefed AG Barr. They

1 provided their report. And they were generally very critical
2 of this Allied Security Operations Group report. They
3 explained why they felt it was defective.

4 Ultimately, there was a hand recount ordered
5 in Antrim County that was pursuant to the state litigation
6 that was going on. And from what I recollect, the hand
7 recount revealed that there was only a one-ballot difference
8 between what the Dominion systems had counted and what the
9 hand recount showed.

10 There were over 15,000 votes cast in Antrim County. And so I
11 just kind of myself did the error rate calculation, and I
12 believe it was .0063.

13 So the difference was the Allied report
14 claiming a 68 percent error rate and the hand recount showing
15 a .0063 error rate.

16 Q. Thank you.

17 When the report first came in, did you have
18 any familiarity with Allied Security Operations Group?

19 A. No. I had never heard of them.

20 Q. And if you flip to the very last page of the so-
21 called forensic audit, you'll see a signature from an
22 individual named Russell Ramsland, who presumably authored the
23 report.

24 Did you have any familiarity with
25 Mr. Ramsland at the time?

1 A. No, none at all.

2 Q. I'd like to go back to something that you said a
3 minute or two ago, because it seems to me that when you were
4 describing this report, you said it "claimed" to be a forensic
5 review. You noted specifically the claimed 68 percent error
6 rate and said "not that we necessarily believed it," or
7 something to that effect. But you also indicated that
8 Attorney
9 General Barr said, "We need to get on top of this."

10 Can you give me a sense of why he felt it was
11 important to get on top of this, if you weren't necessarily
12 certain that it was at all credible?

13 A. So I think you have to understand the AG -by which I
14 mean AG Barr's -- general approach to this, which he had been
15 very consistent about for months.

16 Well before the election, I heard him say on
17 many occasions that we need to make sure the American people
18 can trust the outcome of this election. He was very, very
19 concerned that the election, because it was being conducted in
20 the midst of a pandemic, and there were new procedures, and
21 there were questions to be raised about the security of the
22 election, he was very concerned that we would potentially end
23 up in the situation where a large number of the American
24 people would not trust the outcome of the election. And, as a
25 result, we did various things.

1 One of which was he issued a memo shortly
2 after the election which authorized U.S. Attorney's Offices,
3 FBI and others to conduct real-time investigations, rather
4 than delay those investigations, which would have been the
5 more traditional department approach. And I heard him speak
6 about this pretty passionately a number of times, like, We
7 need to do our job. We need to be able to say to the American
8 people that they can trust this election. And if there's a
9 problem, we need to know what it is and what the scope of it
10 is.

11 So this was all in keeping with his approach. So
12 when you have purportedly a forensic audit of a single county,
13 the implications of that go far beyond the county. If, in
14 fact, there really was a 68 percent error rate in one county
15 in Michigan, because Dominion Voting machines and Dominion
16 Voting software was widely used across the United States, that
17 would mean that we had a huge problem across the country and
18 with the
19 Presidential election.

20 So he wanted to get on this quickly because,
21 if that was true, then we needed to understand what the
22 implications were. And if it was not true, we need to be in a
23 position to say that, if appropriate.

24 Q. So I'm just trying to understand his position a
25 little bit more.

1 Was it your sense that his position was that
2 in order to provide some assurance of the accuracy of the
3 election to the American people that it was the role of the
4 Department to look into all allegations of election fraud
5 irrespective of how farfetched they were?

6 A. I think each of these allegations had to be sort of
7 taken on its own facts, right. And that's why we analyzed
8 them one at a time. And so, obviously, hearing a 68 percent
9 error rate being reported, that seemed unlikely to us. But if
10 it was true, we had a huge problem.

11 And so I think that his concern at that point
12 was understanding, one, how they came up with this; and, two,
13 what DHS said about the reliability of this conclusion. So he
14 was very concerned about claims like this that people could
15 use to undermine the country's confidence in the election.

16 Q. And to your knowledge, did he or did you personally,
17 as part of this thought process, consider whether the act of
18 the Department taking public actions to look into allegations
19 like these might have the inadvertent effect of legitimizing
20 them for the very -the very people that it sounds like he was
21 trying to provide assurances?

22 A. Well, the appearance was always a concern, and it
23 was something that we took into consideration.

24 But, for instance, with regard to this,
25 right, we didn't do anything overtly. It's not as if we

1 issued grand jury subpoenas or began interviewing witnesses or
2 anything like that. At the time, we made a request to DHS.
3 DHS did their evaluations. They provided the paper. They
4 came over and briefed the AG, but no one outside the
5 government knew that.

6 And furthermore, we relied on the hand
7 recount, which we had nothing to do with. That was ordered by
8 the State court. We were not involved in that litigation. We
9 made no public statements about it, to my knowledge, anyway.

10 And so at the end of the day, everything that
11 we did with regard to this Antrim report, I think, was
12 nonpublic, but it did inform the AG's comments when he later
13 said that we've looked at a lot of these allegations,
14 including the most significant ones, and this definitely was a
15 significant allegation.

16 And we at the Department have concluded that
17 there's no fraud on the scale that would call into question
18 the outcome of the election. So all these things mattered.
19 We were very sensitive to the appearances, but you had to
20 balance the appearance against the ability of someone like the
21 Attorney General to say that we've looked at these things, and
22 we either have or have not found fraud that calls into
23 question the election.

24 But, thankfully, he was in the position,
25 because of the decisions he made earlier on, to be able to say

1 that.

2 Q. Turning back to the two attachments that you
3 forwarded to the two U.S. Attorneys, do you recall discussing
4 with Mr. Rosen how he received those emails earlier that day?

5 And to be more specific, were you aware that
6 they had been sent to Mr. Rosen by Molly Michael, a White
7 House special assistant, who told him that the documents were
8 "from POTUS"?

9 A. I believe I found it out later, but you should
10 understand that there's no way that I knew that before Theresa
11 Watson sent them out.

12 Just so the physical layout is clear, the
13 Attorney General's office is on the fifth floor.
14 DAG Rosen's office is on the fourth floor. I think, if
15 I remember correctly, the line of these emails, DAG Rosen
16 received that email from the President's secretary about two
17 minutes before Theresa sent them out. So that's
18 coincidental, and it's a result of the fact that this report
19 was all over the place that day. It was all over the
20 Internet. It was being sent to various places.

21 So although it's the same report, the email
22 that went to DAG Rosen was not the source of this email that
23 went from AG Barr's secretary out to the U.S. Attorneys. They
24 were overlapping, but there's no way that we got it in to DAG
25 Rosen's email box and, in less than two minutes, printed it

1 out, ran up to the fifth floor, ran down the hallway, had a
2 secretary scan it, and then sent it out to the U.S. Attorneys.
3 It didn't happen that way.

4 Q. And just to close the loop on the process
5 that you described in which DHS was pulled in, and I think you
6 described a meeting with DHS, the FBI director, the deputy
7 director and the Department, is it fair to say that the
8 conclusion of the DHS report, I think, as you said was highly
9 critical, and that -well, you tell me.

10 How was the DHS report received by that group
11 of individuals who assembled to hear the conclusions of DHS's
12 work?

13 A. Just as a general matter, DHS reported out that the
14 Allied report was unreliable; that it was riddled with errors
15 and that, amongst other things, they were looking at the wrong
16 version of the software.

17 So the software that Antrim County used was a
18 different version of the Dominion software than is described
19 in the report. I think that the one-page summary gives a lot
20 more detail, and I'll just defer to that. But I do recall
21 being up in the conference room on the sixth floor with the
22 Attorney General and the other assembled federal partners, and
23 sitting through that briefing and having DHS explain to the
24 AG, to Director Wray and to the others in the room why this
25 just did not support.

1 And I think it was within a day or two,
2 probably, that the hand recount was completed. And then, you
3 know, obviously, a strong level of confidence that the Allied
4 report was not accurate.

5 Q. So jumping ahead, we've been talking about -we've
6 been talking about, primarily, December 14, which is when that
7 report was first in the news and was the day when it was sent
8 out on your behalf to the two Michigan U.S. Attorneys.

9 Jumping ahead a day to December 15, do you
10 recall attending a meeting or meetings at the White House that
11 day, which, again, was the day after Attorney General Barr
12 announced that he would be resigning?

13 A. Yes, I do.

14 Q. And was it one meeting or two meetings? It's been a
15 little bit unclear to us from the documents.

16 A. There was one meeting in the Oval Office. I don't
17 recall right now as I sit here whether we had a short meeting
18 with the White House counsel or anyone else before we went to
19 the Oval Office, but I do remember the Oval Office meeting.

20 Q. So with respect to the Oval Office meeting, it
21 sounds like you attended, obviously.

22 Who else attended that meeting?

23 A. From what I recall, in the Oval Office, there was
24 obviously the President, Chief of Staff, White House counsel
25 Pat Cipollone, I believe White House Deputy counsel Pat

1 Philbin, myself, DAG Rosen, and I believe there was an
2 individual from DHS named Chad Mizelle.

3 After the meeting started, the Chief of Staff
4 excused himself and said he had to go work on something else.
5 And somewhere around that time, Ken Cuccinelli, who was the
6 number two at DHS, the acting number two at
7 DHS, came into the meeting.

8 Q. Who called the meeting? Was it a meeting that the
9 White House called? Was it a meeting that the Department
10 called?

11 A. The department did not call it. We were called over
12 to the White House.

13 Q Did you have a sense at the time of what the reason
14 for your being called over to the White House was?

15 A. I don't remember specifically how it was
16 communicated to me that we had to go over to the White House,
17 but I do think we understood at the time it had to do with
18 election matters.

19 Q. And given the proximity in time to the Antrim County
20 allegations that we were just discussing, I'm curious whether
21 those were a topic of discussion in this meeting?

22 A. Yes, they were. Yes.

23 Q. And could you give us a sense of how that discussion
24 unfolded? Who raised the allegations? Was it the President?

25 Just sort of elaborate a bit on what that

1 discussion involved.

2 A. Right. And with the caveat, obviously, I'm not a
3 human tape recorder, so I can't give you exact quotes in many
4 of these instances. But, generally, the President raised the
5 Antrim County matter. And he said something to the effect of,
6 you know, "Have you guys seen this report? This is
7 unbelievable. This is a disaster. They've got a 68 percent
8 error rate. They used these machines all over the country,"
9 and so on.

10 And so the conversation basically progressed
11 by us saying, Well, there is a hand count underway that should
12 be done shortly. And I recall Ken Cuccinelli saying, "The
13 hand count will be the gold standard. That will tell us
14 whether that's true or not." And we should know in a day or
15 two, or maybe three, at most, whether the hand recount
16 supports or undermines the Allied report.

17 And so there was nothing to be decided or to
18 be done. It was just a matter of the President talking about
19 the possible implications of this, if, in fact, the report was
20 correct. And we all agreed that if there's a 68 percent error
21 rate, then, yes, there's a huge problem for the country. But
22 let's not get ahead of ourselves. The hand recount is
23 underway and let's let that progress. And we should know in a
24 day or two whether there's really anything to this.

25 He seemed satisfied with that response.

1 Q. Did he give you the impression at the time that this
2 was something he would like the Department to look into?

3 A. The way he generally framed and phrased these
4 things, not just in that meeting but in subsequent meetings,
5 was "I want to make sure you guys were aware of this."

6 And so we said -- well, you know, I think, DAG
7 Rosen said, "Mr. President, we're aware. We're aware of the
8 report. We don't know what to make of it yet."

9 I don't recall if he told him that AG Barr
10 had asked DHS to look at it or not. I just don't remember.
11 But the way the President presented these things throughout
12 was, "I want to make sure you guys know about this, that
13 you're aware of it."

14 We would say, essentially, "Yes, sir, we're
15 aware of it," and leave it at that.

16 And like I said, because the hand recount was
17 already underway on the Antrim County matter, it was very easy
18 to just say, you know, "Let's wait on the hand recount and see
19 what that shows."

20 Q. Did any of the other White House participants in the
21 meeting offer views on the Antrim County issue at the time?
22 For instance, did Mark Meadows chime in, if he was still
23 there?

24 A. Not really. I mean, everyone is aware of the
25 report, obviously. I don't know that anyone in the room had

1 read it fully at that point, but everyone was aware, and
2 everyone was aware that if this was right, it had serious
3 implications for the election nationwide. But, again, the
4 matter was very much up in the air.

5 I think everyone was just relieved that there
6 was a hand recount underway so that we can say, well, let's
7 just wait for a day or two and see how this thing really
8 shapes up.

9 Q. Did the President raise the prospect of appointing
10 special counsels to investigate Dominion
11 Voting systems?

12 A. I don't specifically recall if he did in that
13 meeting. He may have. He raised it in at least one
14 subsequent meeting. And I don't know that it was specifically
15 to Dominion, but he raised the possibility of a special
16 counsel being appointed to look at election fraud overall.

17 Q. And what was the subsequent meeting where you do
18 recall him raising that?

19 A. I believe it was raised on December 31st, in the
20 meeting. And the reason I recollect that is because the
21 President said something to the effect of, "I think Ken
22 Cuccinelli would be a great special counsel."

23 And Ken was not in the room when the President said
24 that. I remember sort of taking note of the fact that Ken
25 wasn't there. I think that was in a subsequent meeting.

1 Q. Putting aside the question of whether he
2 specifically invoked the idea of special counsels in the
3 December 15th meeting we've been talking about, did he make
4 other complaints about Dominion, generally speaking? Did he
5 ask the Department to look into issues involving Dominion?

6 A. I'm sure Dominion came up, obviously, in connection
7 with Antrim discussion, but I don't specifically recall him
8 either on the 15th or the 31st talking at any length about
9 Dominion. It may have been there. I just can't recall
10 specifically, as I sit here now.

11 Q. Did the President raise the prospect of the
12 Department filing legal briefs in support of his campaigns,
13 lawsuits or lawsuits filed by his allies, with the aim of
14 challenging and overturning the election results?

15 A. He did raise the prospect of the Department filing,
16 not so much on his behalf or the campaign's behalf -- but,
17 again, as he framed and phrased this throughout, it was that
18 the election has been stolen from the American people, and
19 doesn't the Department represent the American people and
20 shouldn't you guys be doing something.

21 So I do recall -- these conversations overlap
22 a little bit, so you'll forgive me. But between the 15th and
23 the 31st, the President saying things like, "All these cases
24 are getting dismissed because the judges say we no have
25 standing."

1 And the President clearly believed that the
2 department would have standing. And I can understand, as a
3 layman, why he would.

4 So he believed, well, if these elections were
5 not conducted properly in certain states, that means that the
6 American people have been harmed, and, therefore, the
7 Department should be filing things because the Department
8 would have standing. It represents the American people. And
9 then the judges wouldn't dismiss these cases on standing
10 grounds, and you can actually get to the merits.

11 We explained to the President a number of
12 times why that doesn't work and why the Department did not
13 have standing. There was a particular brief that was
14 forwarded, I think, to Jeff Rosen, probably after AG Barr
15 left. And we asked both the Office of the Solicitor General
16 and the Office of Legal Counsel to take a look at it and give
17 us their views as to whether the Department would have
18 standing to file such a brief, because that was the first
19 issue. That didn't mean we would file the brief; it just
20 meant there was a question about standing.

21 And both OSG and OLC came back and opined
22 that the Department would not have standing to file such a
23 brief.

24 Q. Thanks for the explanation. And we'll get back to
25 that, that potential Supreme Court brief in a little bit.

1 I take your point that the President may have
2 viewed or may have conveyed that he viewed the notion of a
3 legal filing by the Department being more on behalf of the
4 American people as opposed to a filing on behalf of his
5 campaign or filing that would benefit him personally.

6 But you understood, obviously, that such a
7 filing would, in fact, have redounded to the benefit of him in
8 his capacity as a political candidate; right?

9 A. Yeah. I think as a practical matter, it would have
10 benefited his campaign, of course. But throughout, the
11 President was very careful in how he worded these things. And
12 he would say, "Well, you guys represent the American people,
13 and the American people are the victim here. They are the
14 ones being harmed," that kind of thing.

15 So I just wanted to make it clear that his
16 wording was more along those lines. He wasn't saying, "I want
17 you to file this on my behalf or on behalf of my campaign."
18 But, sure, we all understood the implications.

19 Q. At some point a couple of days after this meeting on
20 December 21, which was, I think, two days before Attorney
21 General Barr formally stepped down as Attorney General, he
22 reiterated that the public statement he had made previously to
23 the effect of the Department has found no evidence of
24 widespread election fraud sufficient to alter the outcome of
25 the election.

1 And I'm curious whether you have any sense as
2 to whether that later statement on December 21st was related
3 to pressure that he was feeling, that you or Mr. Rosen may
4 have been feeling, from the President to look into some of the
5 issues we just discussed.

6 A. I don't know his motivations for making it that day.
7 As you said, he was leaving two days later. I just think he
8 wanted to make it very clear before he left that that was
9 still the situation, as far as the Department was concerned.

10 Q. So, in other words, in the intervening period of
11 time between when he first made the statement and December
12 21st, none of the allegations that had been brought to the
13 Department, either by the President or that had appeared in
14 the public domain, had changed the conclusion that there was
15 no evidence of widespread election fraud?

16 A. That's right.

17 Q. I want to ask you real quickly about a document just
18 to make sure that I understand what it is and kind of where it
19 fits into the chronology. So if you could pull up the
20 document that is designated
21 Majority 4.

22 (Exhibit 3, email, was
23 marked.) BY MS. ZDEB.

24 Q. And this is an email exchange between you and
25 Ken Cuccinelli on December 18th. On December 18th, he

1 forwards you a one-pager that appears to summarize the Antrim
2 County allegations we were just discussing. And then later on
3 in the email chain -- and this is the document numbered -689
4 at the bottom -- you respond to Cuccinelli, and you say that
5 you understand the limited scope of the document he has
6 attached and will be sure the AG knows that.

7 You then, I think, go on on the final page of
8 the exhibit to ask Theresa Watson to forward the attachment to
9 the AG.

10 Just to put this in context, is the one-page
11 document attached to Ken Cuccinelli's email to you, which you
12 then went on to ask be forwarded to the Attorney General, is
13 that the DHS kind of summary analysis that you were talking
14 about earlier?

15 A. Yes, it is.

16 Q. And by "AG" in this series of emails, at the time,
17 you were referring to Attorney General Barr and not Mr. Rosen;
18 is that right?

19 A. Correct.

20 Q. So I'd like to ask you about another one of your
21 conversations with the President, and if you could pull up the
22 document labeled Majority 5.

23 (Exhibit 4, notes, was

24 marked.) BY

25 MS. ZDEB.

1 Q. And although you've said earlier something to the
2 effect of, I'm not a stenographer, this is one where there are
3 some pretty detailed notes.

4 So, for starters, I should ask are these
5 notes that you took?

6 A. Yes, they are.

7 Q. And at the top, there's a notation saying,
8 "12/27/20, DAG called, on with POTUS and wants to conference
9 me in."

10 So it sounds like the DAG, who was Mr. Rosen
11 at the time, was on the line with the President and wanted to
12 patch you in. Is that an accurate description of what
13 happened?

14 A. Yes, that's right. And because of the date, you
15 should just be aware that DAG Rosen, who I referred to
16 typically as "the DAG," was the Acting Attorney General
17 because AG Barr had left on the 23rd.

18 Ms. Zdeb. Okay. And by the way, just so I
19 am not completely confusing exhibit numbers, I think
20 Majority 5 can be designated --

21 Actually, Barb, what exhibit number are we on
22 right now? And if you don't know, we can come back and
23 clarify later.

24 (Discussion off the record.)

25 BY MS. ZDEB.

1 Q. So turning back to these notes, which are -which
2 have now been marked as Exhibit 4, do you recall roughly what
3 time of day this call was?

4 A. It was sometime in the afternoon.

5 Q. And did you have a sense as to why Mr. Rosen wanted
6 to patch you in?

7 A. Yes. He called me that afternoon. It was on the
8 government cell phone, and I answered it. And he said
9 something to the effect of, "Hey, I'm on the phone with the
10 President. We've been on the phone for about 30 minutes.
11 He's talking a lot about some of these election allegations.
12 It would be very helpful if you were on the phone. Do you
13 mind if I patch you in?" And I said, "Of course."

14 And he then three-wayed me into the call.

15 Q. Did you have a sense of why he thought it would be
16 helpful?

17 A. Generally, I knew more about the allegations and the
18 Department's work on the allegations than Acting Attorney
19 General Rosen did, because I was closer to U.S. Attorneys, I
20 was closer to the criminal division, I was very close to the
21 FBI deputy director. So I knew what we were looking at and not
22 looking at, for the most part.

23 And I knew that with regard to any major
24 allegations, I had a better sense of, you know, whether we had
25 dispelled them or where we were in the process, that sort of

1 thing. Not that we were necessarily going to report any or
2 all of that to the President, but he just felt that I had a
3 better handle on it, so I was useful to have sort of at his
4 side in a conversation like this.

5 Q. Was anyone else on the line, to your knowledge?

6 A. Not that I know of.

7 Q. You said he had been on the line with the President
8 for some period of time before you got patched in. Did you
9 say three minutes or 30, 3-0, minutes?

10 A. He told me 3-0. So it wasn't like he immediately
11 rolled me in. It seemed like he was trying to have the
12 conversation with the President. And for whatever reason, at
13 that point, thought it would be better to have me on the line.

14 Q. Once you joined, how long did the call last?

15 A. It was a long call. I'd say over an hour after I
16 joined.

17 Q. Was this the first call of this nature, to
18 your knowledge, that the President had placed to
19 Mr. Rosen?

20 A. I don't recall specifically. He would be a better
21 position to say that.

22 We had the meeting on the 15th, obviously. But
23 between the 15th and the 27th, I don't remember without
24 looking at, you know, maybe emails or things that were
25 contemporaneous.

1 Q. But certainly it sounds like this is the first one
2 that you would have been patched in to, whether there were
3 other intervening calls or meetings or not.

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. So in a second, I want to walk through aspects of
6 the notes. But before I do that, I'm wondering if you can
7 just give us kind of a thumbnail sketch, if you will, of the
8 overall tenor of the call.

9 A. The President was going on at length about some of
10 these allegations. It was similar to some of things he said
11 on the 15th about "This election has been stolen out from
12 under the American people. Are you guys aware of this or that
13 allegation? Are you taking it seriously?" That sort of
14 thing.

15 And the President did the vast majority of
16 the talking. And we sort of were taking the approach of
17 saying, you know, "Yes, we're aware of it," or if we're not,
18 admitting that "Well, we haven't heard that one before."

19 And, basically, DAG Rosen and I had
20 conversations in advance of this, and even in advance of the
21 15th of, saying our approach is going to be to say to the
22 President we're doing our job. "Yes, sir, we understand.
23 We're doing our job." And try to leave it at that as much as
24 possible.

25 So the President was well-versed in these

1 various allegations. It certainly seemed that people were
2 providing these allegations to him and he was then relaying
3 them to us. There was at least one allegation in here that I
4 had not heard of before, and, frankly, that was the reason for
5 me taking notes, in case he came up with something that we had
6 not heard of before. We ultimately did check that out, but it
7 did not support any conclusion that there was a problem with
8 the election.

9 Q. On the very first page of your notes, there's a
10 notation toward the top about Scott Perry, and then in
11 parenthesis, I think it says "PA." And then it says, "Senator
12 from PA - Doug Mastriano."

13 What was the President's point in raising
14 these two individuals, if you recall?

15 A. I don't remember exactly, but I generally remember
16 that the President said something to the effect of "there are
17 officials all over the country who are raising issues about
18 the elections."

19 This one obviously was Pennsylvania.

20 And he said, for instance, you know, you've
21 got Scott Perry in Pennsylvania and the State Senator
22 Mastriano. I think the State had had some hearings, or
23 something to that effect, both Pennsylvania and I think
24 Georgia did something similar.

25 So the President was saying that these local

1 officials, or I guess in Scott Perry's case, a federal
2 official, had all kinds of information about all kinds of
3 fraud and problems and things that had impacted the election.

4 Q. There's also a reference to Jim Jordan on this first
5 page. Did the President raise him in a similar vein? In
6 other words, as someone who said or was advancing claims of
7 election fraud?

8 A. He made a passing reference to Jim Jordan.
9 You can see I wrote there, "Fighter."

10 And the President said something to the
11 effect of, you know, "People were trying to address this
12 problem, Scott Perry and Mastriano. Jim Jordan, he's a big
13 fighter, but they can't do it in their own capacities," or
14 something to that effect. You know, they all had this limited
15 authority and limited responsibility.

16 So he did mention him, but it was very much
17 in passing.

18 Q. How did you interpret the rejoinder to that?

19 In other words, if Congressman Scott Perry or
20 Congressman Jim Jordan couldn't do as much as the President
21 wanted in their own limited capacity, was he suggesting that
22 the Department had a greater capacity?

23 A. He didn't say that specifically, but, you know, he
24 would say again, similar to what he said on the 15th,
25 essentially, "My God, are you guys aware of this? Have you

1 seen this? Have you seen these reports? Did you hear about
2 this hearing in
3 Pennsylvania?"

4 And then he would go through various
5 allegations, like you can see I have 205,000 votes there. He
6 said that, you know, according to what he's being told from
7 Pennsylvania, there were 205,000 more votes certified than
8 were actually cast. That, in fact, was an allegation I had
9 not heard before. And, frankly, it's why I started taking
10 notes because I realized the President was going to raise some
11 things that maybe I had not heard before.

12 And so then he went through the 600,000 votes
13 added. And you can see, you know, throughout the notes, he
14 raises various things.

15 So, again, sort of in keeping with his tone of "are
16 you guys aware of this," that's how he was discussing it in
17 this call. But he was -- he was concerned, certainly. And
18 starting to get -Q. But -- I'm sorry. Finish your thought.

19 A. No. The President was pretty, you know, adamant
20 about there being a major problem, in his view, or a series of
21 problems with the election.

22 Q. So it seems like, at some point, he then did go on,
23 at least according to your notes, to specifically invoke and
24 perhaps even complain about the Department and the FBI. You
25 have a notation here saying, "People are angry - blaming DOJ

1 for inaction."

2 Am I reading that correctly?

3 A. No. That's right. He did say that.

4 Q. And then later on down the page of your notes,
5 there's a notation about U.S. Attorney's Offices and FBI. And
6 then on the third page of your notes, which is Bates numbered
7 -737 at the bottom, you have a notation here saying, "DOJ
8 failing to respond to legitimate complaints/reports of
9 crimes."

10 Is that -- am I -- have I deciphered your
11 notes correctly there?

12 A. Yes, he does say that.

13 Q. So I hear what you're saying in terms of the way it
14 sounds like the President would typically present the various
15 allegations of election fraud. But as you're sitting there
16 listening to this, and you hear the complaints about the
17 allegations, you then hear him say, "Jim Jordan and Scott
18 Perry are great, but they are limited in their capacity in
19 terms of what they can do." And he then goes on to say, "DOJ
20 is failing to respond to legitimate complaints."

21 So when you put all of those things together,
22 I'm curious how you interpreted it, right?

23 Irrespective of the specific words the President may have
24 used, how did you interpret that as a commentary on what the
25 Department should or should not be doing, in his view?

1 A. He was certainly complaining about what he thought
2 to be the Department's lack of action. His displeasure was
3 clear. He felt that we should be doing things that, in his
4 mind, at least, we weren't doing.

5 Q. I'm getting close to the end of my hour. So let me
6 just ask one or two more quick questions in the vein of
7 deciphering some of your handwriting before we take a quick
8 break.

9 There's a notation again on the third page of
10 your notes about -- it seems to be about Georgia. And it says
11 -- I think it says, "Tape" something "shows fraud."

12 Can you elaborate on what that -- what that
13 statement or allegation was?

14 A. Sure.

15 This relates to Georgia. He was going
16 through the different states and he was sort of rattling off
17 these various theories and allegations. With regard to
18 Georgia, he said, "the tape," meaning the videotape at the --
19 I believe it's the State Farm
20 Arena -- "shows fraud."

21 Here it says "Ruby Freeman." And the word
22 next to that is "Huckster." Then he says, "Closed the
23 facility and then came back with hidden ballots under the
24 table." I have an ellipsis there to show that he kept talking
25 about that particular claim, and I heard him make that claim

1 on numerous occasions.

2 He said "The networks," meaning the
3 television networks, "magnified the tape and saw them running
4 them through repeatedly," which was -- the President seemed to
5 be of the view that the videotape in the State Farm Arena
6 showed that the ballot workers were taking the same ballots
7 and running them through the machine repeatedly, I suppose, to
8 rack up votes for one candidate versus the other.

9 Ms. Zdeb. I've reached the end of my hour,
10 so why don't we go off the record and take a quick break. And
11 then we can come back and my colleagues on Senator Grassley's
12 staff will have their turn.

13 (Discussion off the record.) Ms.

14 Zdeb. It is 10:24, and we can go back on
15 the record. And, with that, I will turn it over to my
16 colleagues on Senator Grassley's staff.

17 BY MR. FLYNN-BROWN.

18 Q Thank you, Mr. Donoghue. Thank you for your time
19 today.

20 Can you hear me okay, first of all?

21 A. I can.

22 Q. Great.

23 I'd just like to introduce Minority 7 as Exhibit 5,
24 I believe. This is Bates-stamped -744 to
25 -750.

1 (Exhibit 5, Description
2 , was marked.)

3 BY MR. FLYNN-BROWN.

4 Q. You can pull that up and let me know.

5 A. I have it.

6 Q. So with reference to the December 28, 2020, email on
7 Bates -745 from Jeffrey Clark to you and Jeffrey Rosen, it
8 says in part that the draft Clark letter would have been sent
9 to the "Governor, Speaker and president pro temp of each
10 relevant state to indicate that in light of time urgency and
11 sworn evidence of election irregularities presented to courts
12 and to legislative committees, the legislatures thereof should
13 each assemble and make a decision about Elector appointment in
14 light of their deliberations."

15 And then let's move back to Bates -744, the
16 first page in this exhibit. And I'm going to reference to
17 your email dated December 28, 2020, in response to Clark's
18 email that I just referenced and where you rejected the
19 letter. And with the following handwritten at the top right
20 corner, if you see that, it says, "This letter was opposed by
21 A/AG + OLC. Discussed with POTUS on January 3, 2021, and he
22 rejected AAG Clark's idea to send it."

23 Sir, are these your handwritten notes at the
24 top right corner?

25 A. No, I have no idea who wrote that.

1 Q. Is it accurate to say that A/AG refers to
2 Acting Attorney General?

3 A. If I didn't write it, I don't know, but I would
4 assume that's correct.

5 Q. And would you assume that OLC refers to the
6 Office of Legal Counsel?

7 A. Yes, I would assume that.

8 Q Were you in this referenced January 3, 2021, meeting?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. So is it accurate to say that along with
11 President Trump, the Acting Attorney General and the
12 Office of Legal Counsel rejected sending the draft
13 Clark letter?

14 A. Yes, that's right.

15 Q. And is it correct to say that you also opposed
16 sending the draft Clark letter, based on your email here?

17 A. That's correct.

18 Q. Now, with respect to the January 3 meeting, based on
19 your recollection, who was in that meeting?

20 A. I entered the meeting after it was already underway.
21 But when I entered, in the Oval Office were the President;
22 White House Counsel Pat Cipollone;
23 Deputy White House Counsel Pat Philbin; the Acting
24 Attorney General Jeff Rosen; Jeffrey Clark, who was the
25 Acting Assistant Attorney General in charge of the

1 Civil Division; Steve Engel, who was the Assistant
2 Attorney General in charge of the Office of Legal Counsel; a
3 White House lawyer named Eric Herschmann; and myself.

4 Q. Thank you.

5 So is it accurate that -- is it accurate to
6 say then that both you and Rosen provided advice and
7 recommendations relating to this draft Clark letter in that
8 meeting, and that you provided advice and recommendations to
9 the President?

10 A. Yes, we did.

11 Q. And President Trump -- is it accurate to say that
12 President Trump accepted your advice and recommendations as
13 well as those of the Office of Legal Counsel's?

14 A. At the end of the meeting, yes, he did.

15 Q. Now, Trump isn't a lawyer; correct?

16 A. Right.

17 Q. So he listened to the advice of the lawyers in the
18 room; is that right?

19 A Yes. Yes, he got conflicting advice, and he made a
20 decision.

21 Q. And where did that conflict exist?

22 A. Basically, the only one -- the only one advocating
23 for this letter or for a change in department leadership was
24 Jeff Clark, and everyone else in the room was adamantly
25 opposed. The President heard out both sides for several hours

1 and then made a decision.

2 Q. And he made a decision on -- and correct me if I
3 misunderstood you. He made a decision on two fronts, then; on
4 one front, it had to do with the draft Clark letter, and on
5 the second front, it had to do with replacing Rosen.

6 Did I hear you right?

7 A. Correct. That meeting was largely about the
8 leadership change or the potential for leadership change. And
9 at that point, it was very difficult to separate that decision
10 and the leadership change from whether this letter would be
11 sent, because Jeff Clark was pretty clear that he wanted to
12 send this letter and he intended to send the letter, and he
13 would send the letter if he became the Acting Attorney
14 General. So they sort of went hand in hand.

15 Q. I see. Okay. So then the President, President
16 Trump, rejected not just the draft Clark letter, but he
17 rejected firing Jeff Rosen and replacing him with Jeff Clark?

18 A. Yes, that's right.

19 Q. So with respect to that advice that you and
20 Rosen rendered and the President's ultimate decision related
21 to that advice, did he ever instruct you or Rosen to engage in
22 illegal activity?

23 A. I'll leave the legal conclusions to others. Since
24 I'm a fact witness, I'm happy to relate to the extent I recall
25 what was said and done, but I don't think it's appropriate for

1 me at this point to make legal conclusions about whether the
2 President's activities were in violation of any laws.

3 Q. Well, that wasn't my question. So let me restate it
4 to make sure I articulated it properly.

5 So I said, with respect to the advice that
6 you and Rosen rendered at that January 3rd meeting and the
7 President's ultimate decision related to that advice that you
8 rendered, did he ever instruct you or Rosen to engage in
9 illegal activity based on that advice?

10 A. Again, with the caveat that others can decide
11 whether or not the instructions, if any, were legal or
12 illegal, I did not perceive it to be that, at the time,
13 certainly.

14 Q. So the ultimate decisions that the President made
15 here is, one, he's rejecting sending the Clark letter, which
16 was your advice; and he's rejecting firing Rosen, which was
17 also your advice.

18 A. Right.

19 Q. So I don't see, frankly, anything illegal about
20 those two decisions. And those were based on the
21 recommendations that were provided to him by the Department
22 lawyers in the room and, I guess, other folks in the room.

23 So is that a correct accounting of
24 January 3rd?

25 A. That is a correct summary of the President's

1 ultimate decisions, yes.

2 Q. I think in your testimony earlier with Sara you
3 mentioned a December 15th and a December 31st, 3-1 or 2-1,
4 meeting with the President?

5 A. 3-1. It was New Years Eve.

6 Q. Okay. So we have a January 3rd, 2021, and a
7 December 15th, 2020, and a December 31st, 2020, meeting with
8 the President.

9 Did you meet with the President in person at
10 any other times relating to election fraud matters?

11 A. No, those were the three times that we met at the
12 Oval Office in relation to election fraud.

13 Q. Other than this December 27th phone call that Rosen
14 looped you in on, were there any other phone calls that you
15 had with the President?

16 A. I've had a number of phone calls with the
17 President over the last few years --

18 Q. Well, I should say relating to election fraud. I
19 apologize for interrupting, but I should have been more
20 precise here.

21 But with respect to, you know, the election
22 fraud-related issues.

23 A. Right. He called me on a morning of
24 December 28th, which was essentially a follow-up to the
25 December 27th late afternoon call. It was a very short call.

1 I was still in my apartment. It was probably around 8:00 or
2 8:15.

3 The phone rang. I answered it. It was the
4 President. And he said something to the effect of "I don't
5 know if I mentioned this last night."

6 And he said something, and I don't recall
7 specifically what it was, but whatever it was, he had
8 mentioned it the night before.

9 And I said, "Yes, sir. You did raise that."

10 And he said. "Okay. I just wanted to make
11 sure I didn't forget that." And he hung up.

12 So it might have been the Pennsylvania claim
13 that there were more ballots certified by the Secretary of
14 State than were actually cast. I think that was it, but I'm
15 not a hundred percent certain. But whatever it was, it was
16 something he had raised the night before.

17 We had a very brief phone call, and that was in
18 relation to the 28th.

19 Also, on the night of the 3rd, after the Oval
20 Office meeting, he called me around 10 p.m. or 11:00, or
21 somewhere around there. And he related that he had just
22 received information that a DHS Special Agent had a truckful
23 of ballots, or shredded ballots, that he had in his custody
24 somewhere in Georgia outside of Atlanta.

25 And he wanted to make sure that we were aware of that.

1 And I basically said, "Sir, I haven't heard
2 that. If it's a DHS agent, remember they don't belong to DOJ.
3 But if they have an issue that they need our assistance with,
4 they certainly know how to contact us.
5 I'm sure that will happen, if appropriate."

6 And he said, "Okay. Can you just make sure
7 Ken Cuccinelli knows about it?"

8 So I followed up with Ken Cuccinelli that
9 night a few minutes later, and I said, "Just be aware. The
10 President called me and he said there is an agent" -- by a
11 specific name, the President actually had the agent's name --
12 "who had some custody of a truck with ballots in it in
13 Atlanta."

14 And Ken didn't know about this either. And he
15 said, "Thanks. I'll look into it." And that was it.

16 We also had a call not specifically about
17 election fraud, but the President did call me on December
18 14th, the day that AG Barr submitted his resignation.

19 Q. So with respect to the most recent allegation that
20 you mentioned, the DHS connection, the matter down in Georgia,
21 did the Justice Department and FBI interface with DHS to run
22 that allegation down?

23 A. Eventually, yes.

24 Q. And how did that turn out?

25 A. Other people would be in a better position to give

1 you the specifics. But as I recollect it as I sit here today,
2 there was a truck that had shredded ballots in it, but I
3 believe the answer was that the County Board of Elections was
4 shredding ballots in the course of their usual procedures,
5 their records retention procedures, and that these were
6 ballots from past elections.

7 So to make room for the ballots for the 2020
8 election, which they stored in warehouses, et cetera, they
9 were clearing out old ballots. And, yes, a truck picked up
10 ballots, and, yes, ballots were shredded, but these all
11 related to an old election.

12 And I think that the FBI -- I know that the FBI and
13 DHS and the U.S. Attorney's Office in Atlanta worked on that,
14 so they would have better information and recollection about
15 it. That's what I remember.

16 Q. During the course of your conversations with the
17 President, it was -- was it apparent to you that he truly had
18 concerns with respect to the validity of the election, and he
19 did want to run these allegations down?

20 One way or the other, he wanted to know
21 whether these allegations were true or not. Is that accurate?

22 A. I think that's a fair characterization of the way he
23 presented these things, yes.

24 Q. So in these conversations, then, that you mentioned
25 -- I'm not going to go through each one. I don't think we

1 have enough time today to go line by line with respect to
2 every sentence that was uttered, but did you offer the
3 President advice or recommendations, generally speaking,
4 during the course of these interactions, with respect to those
5 allegations?

6 Is there a way that you can generally speak
7 to your role here?

8 A. It wasn't so much advice or recommendations on
9 specific allegations, because the President, you know, wasn't
10 looking to make decisions about the specific allegations. He
11 was presenting it as, "Are you guys aware of this, X, this
12 allegation?"

13 And we would either say yes, sir, or no. And
14 the vast majority of the time the answer was "Yes, we knew.
15 We're aware of that."

16 And then, again, as I said earlier, we would
17 reiterate, "Sir, we're aware of it. We know our job.
18 We're doing our job."

19 And then he would go through, you know, other
20 allegations, and we would say, "Yes, sir. We're aware of that
21 one as well. Got it. Thank you." That kind of thing.

22 So we weren't providing advice so much to the
23 President on the individual allegations, but we were informing
24 him throughout the course of these conversations that what we
25 had seen to date and what we were seeing did not change AG

1 Barr's assessment of the reliability of the election.

2 Q. Mr. Donoghue, did you take any action to overturn
3 the 2020 election results?

4 A. Absolutely not.

5 Q. Did the Attorney General Rosen -- Acting Attorney
6 General Rosen take any action to overturn the
7 2020 election results?

8 A. No.

9 Q. Did President Trump fire Acting Attorney
10 General Rosen?

11 A. No.

12 Q. Did President Trump fire you?

13 A. No.

14 Q. Did President Trump fire anyone at the Justice
15 Department or FBI relating to his frustration that more wasn't
16 being done to investigate election-related allegations?

17 A. No, not at all.

18 Q Does the President have the authority to fire any U.S.
19 Attorney?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Who is Patrick Hovakimian?

22 A. Patrick Hovakimian was the chief of staff to
23 Deputy Attorney General Rosen when I first came to Washington
24 in July of 2020. In the next few months, somewhere in there,
25 the fall, perhaps, he was no longer the chief of staff because

1 he was nominated to be the Inspector General for the
2 intelligence community. And the hope, the expectation was
3 that he would get confirmed and he would leave the Department.

4 And, as a result, AG Rosen brought in someone
5 else to be his chief of staff. But Pat remained on the ODAG,
6 the Office of the Deputy Attorney General's staff throughout
7 the end of the term because his nomination never went forward.

8 Q. Was Mr. Hovakimian ever in any meetings with you and
9 the President, or any phone calls with you and the President?

10 A. No.

11 Q. Was Mr. Hovakimian at the level within the Justice
12 Department, as far as titles are concerned, to ever meet with
13 the President?

14 A. No.

15 Q. Okay. I'd like to turn to the majority 5 document.
16 I guess this was the exhibit -- it's already been used as an
17 exhibit. Majority 5 was Exhibit 4.

18 Some of the documents that the majority
19 labeled as "Majority," as Sara and I have already discussed,
20 they are also minority exhibits. We just didn't want to
21 double them up.

22 Let's go to Majority 5. And let's turn to
23 specifically page -- excuse me, Bates -736.

24 Sir, let me know when you're there.

25 A. I'm there.

1 Q. And these are your notes; correct?

2 A. That's right.

3 Q. If you look down at the bottom, I believe it says,
4 "People won't have confidence in the Georgia
5 Senate races."

6 Is that something the President said?

7 A. I wrote that down. It's actually not a quote, so
8 it's not the exact words. But he did say that, yes, in sum
9 and substance.

10 Q. So is it fair to say as part of his concerns with
11 respect to the election fraud and crime-related allegations,
12 that part of his concern was the sanctity of the Georgia
13 Senate races?

14 A. Yes, he did say that.

15 Q. Okay. Let's turn to Bates -737.

16 Notes say -- I believe Sara referenced this
17 earlier in her first hour, "DOJ failing to respond to
18 legitimate complaints/reports of crimes."

19 So I note the President's apparent use of the
20 word "legitimate." Are the notes accurate in that regard,
21 based upon your recollection?

22 A. Yes. Again, this is not a quote, but that is what
23 the President said. And he reiterated that several times.

24 Q. So the volume of voter fraud and election crime-
25 related allegations that the President had received at that

1 time and which were publicly reported on at that time, was it
2 unreasonable under the circumstances for the President to have
3 such concerns regarding potentially legitimate complaints and
4 reports of crimes?

5 A. Well, there were a lot of allegations. There were
6 many allegations relating to concerns about the election. So
7 I'm sure the President was aware of those, as I think we all
8 were. We, the Department, took them one at a time.

9 So I can't really opine as to whether or not
10 the President's view was well founded based on all of them,
11 but I understood it to be that he was concerned about these
12 allegations, and whether or not the election was somehow
13 tainted by fraud.

14 Q. So in the same vein, then, was it unreasonable under
15 the circumstances for the President to question what the
16 Justice Department and its components were doing to
17 investigate legitimate complaints and reports of crimes?

18 A. I don't think it was unreasonable for him to
19 question it. But, again, we tried to allay his concerns by
20 saying, "Yes. We're aware of it. We're doing our job."

21 Q. Would you agree with me -- or I shouldn't say "with
22 me," I don't want to imply here, but maybe the cat is out of
23 the bag already. But would you agree that the President's job
24 is to keep -- part of his job is to keep executive agencies in
25 check and ensure that they are doing all that they need to do

1 on behalf of
2 the American taxpayer?

3 A. Yes. Absolutely.

4 Q. Okay. Let's go to the bottom of -737. I might need
5 your assistance here in translating it, potentially. At the
6 very bottom, does the sentence say, "FBI will always say
7 nothing there, leaders there oppose me, SAs support me"?

8 A. That's what it says. Again, it's not a quote, but
9 it's a summary of what the President was saying.

10 Q. Right. And for the record, I want to note that when
11 I'm using quotes, I'm literally citing directly from the
12 document. And I understand these may not be direct quotes
13 from that conversation in question.

14 A. Right.

15 Q. Okay. So this statement, then, does it indicate to
16 you that the President maintained a certain amount of distrust
17 with the FBI?

18 A. Yes. At least, the leadership.

19 Q. Now, did that distrust contribute to the President's
20 concern about how legitimate complaints and reports of crimes
21 were being handled by the Justice Department and the FBI?

22 A. It may have played into his view, but I
23 really can't speak for him on that.

24 Q. Let's turn to Bates -738. So towards the bottom, I
25 believe there's one sentence here that says the President

1 allegedly said, and I'm quoting directly from the notes here,
2 "statistically impossible for me to lose."

3 Is that a correct reading?

4 A. Yes, that's what I wrote.

5 Q. In the interactions you had with the President, did
6 he often refer to statistical analysis when discussing vote
7 results?

8 A. I do recall him saying that several times in several
9 meetings. Yes, it was statistically impossible given where he
10 stood on election night.

11 Q. All right. Let's stay on -738. There's a
12 reference to DAG at the bottom -- delta, alpha, gamma --
13 and that was in reference to the Acting
14 Attorney General Rosen; correct?

15 A. Right.

16 Q. Thank you.

17 So Rosen allegedly said this to the
18 President: "We'll look at whether have more ballots in PA,"
19 standing for Pennsylvania, I assume, "than registered voters -
20 should be able to check on that quickly, but understand that
21 the DOJ can't + won't snap its fingers + change the outcome of
22 the election, doesn't work that way."

23 And then underneath, it has the letter P.

24 "P" responded -- who I presume is the President.

25 Is that correct?

1 A. Right.

2 Q. I'm sorry, can you say that again? The audio popped
3 out.

4 A. That is correct.

5 Q. Thank you.

6 Okay. So the President's alleged response
7 then, if you go from -738 to -739, "Don't expect you to do
8 that. Just say that the election was corrupt + leave the rest
9 to me and the R Congressmen."

10 Is that a correct reading?

11 A. Yes, that's right. And that's an exact quote from
12 the President.

13 Q. So the President didn't expect the Justice
14 Department to change the outcome of the election, then, based
15 on these notes. Is that your interpretation of these notes?

16 A. Yes, that's right.

17 Q. Did Mr. Rosen ever look at whether there were more
18 ballots in Pennsylvania than registered voters?

19 A. Yes, we did.

20 Q. And how did that turn out?

21 A. The answer was that the delta between the number of
22 votes certified by the Secretary of State, which is
23 approximately 5.25 million, and the 5 million figure that was
24 being provided to the President, that difference arose from
25 the fact that the database that reflected 5 million votes cast

1 was incomplete. It was missing, I believe, data from four
2 counties in
3 Pennsylvania.

4 So once those four counties rolled their
5 information into the database, the number of votes cast
6 matched the number of votes certified by the Secretary of
7 State. So that's how that was resolved.

8 Q. Okay. Thank you.

9 So let's move to Bates -741. Same
10 conversation, same notes.

11 According to the notes, the President said,
12 "You," as in Rosen, "Rich," as in you, Mr. Donoghue, "should
13 go to Fulton County + do a signature verification, and you'll
14 see how illegal it is. You'll find tens of thousands."

15 Did you and Rosen ever go to Fulton County?

16 A. We did not.

17 But just to be clear, he wasn't speaking to
18 AG Rosen at that point. He was speaking to me. He said,
19 "You, Rich, should go to Fulton County."

20 So he wanted me personally to go to Fulton County.
21 That didn't involve the Acting Attorney General.

22 Q. Very good. I appreciate that clarification.

23 Do you know if anyone from the Justice Department
24 or its components did a signature verification of Fulton
25 County?

1 A. You'd have to check with the U.S. Attorney's Office
2 down there, and perhaps the FBI, but I know that the U.S.
3 Attorney's Office in the Northern District of Alabama looked
4 at a lot of the allegations relating to Fulton County.

5 Q. And who would be the official in charge of that?

6 A. At that time, it was BJay Pak, who was the U.S.
7 Attorney for the Northern District of Georgia.

8 Q. Thank you, sir.

9 So with respect to the statement, then, why
10 do you think the President wanted his chief -- one of his
11 chief law enforcement officers to be boots on the ground in
12 that geographic area?

13 A. I can only take him at his word that if you go down
14 there and look at this, as he said there, you'll find tens of
15 thousands of -- I think he meant illegal votes.

16 Q I think I'm done with this exhibit, for the time being.

17 I'd like to better understand the Justice
18 Department and FBI in their vetting protocol and procedure and
19 the intake process, with respect to how these voter fraud
20 allegations and reports of crimes were handled.

21 So as a threshold matter, sir, which units
22 within the Justice Department handle election crime
23 allegations?

24 A. Primarily, the U.S. Attorney's Offices are
25 responsible for any allegations that arise in their

1 jurisdictions, whether it be election fraud or other crimes.
2 Each U.S. Attorney's Office has what they call a DEO, a D-E-O,
3 District Election Officer. They are sort of the lead for the
4 United States Attorney for election matters. So each office
5 would have a DEO.

6 The FBI field office has a corresponding
7 agent. I forget what they called them, but there's a lead
8 agent for election matters.

9 So these can come in in a variety of ways. It can
10 come directly to the FBI. They could come directly to the
11 U.S. Attorney's Office, or they might arise in different
12 ways. But primarily, the U.S.
13 Attorney's Office would work with the FBI to check these
14 things out.

15 Also, it's important to understand that
16 within Main Justice, we have the Public Integrity Section, and
17 within the Public Integrity Section is a unit called the
18 Election Crimes Branch. So ECB is within PIN, and they also
19 get involved. Their role is more consulting. They don't
20 necessarily have approval authority, but they work in
21 conjunction with the U.S.
22 Attorney's Offices on these matters when they come in.

23 Q. Thank you for that.

24 So with respect to the Public Integrity Section,
25 who was in charge of that section during the 2020 election?

1 A. A DOJ employee attorney named Corey Amundson,
2 A-m-u-n-d-s-o-n.

3 Q. Thank you.

4 And with respect to the Election Crimes Branch that
5 you mentioned, who was in charge of that branch during the
6 2020 election?

7 A. Initially, it was a DOJ attorney named Richard
8 Pilger, P-i-l-g-e-r. As I recollect,
9 Mr. Pilger stepped down from that position after AG Barr
10 issued his November 9th memo relating to election
11 investigations. I believe he remained in ECB, but that one of
12 his deputies was the acting chief of that section from that
13 point forward.

14 Q. Do you recall why he resigned?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. And what was that reason?

17 A. Well, he said that he resigned because he was
18 opposed to AG Barr's 11/9/20 memo. Again, he did not -- he
19 presented this as a resignation, but, in fact, he didn't
20 resign from the Department. He simply stepped down from that
21 position. It was very public. There were articles about it.
22 There were emails about it.

23 I believe he submitted a letter of some sort
24 that was very quickly leaked to the press. And it raised
25 concerns because it left the perception that the Department

1 was somehow doing something improper when, in fact, all along,
2 what AG Barr wanted to make sure was that we were in a
3 position to assess whether or not the election had been
4 affected by fraud.

5 Q. Did Mr. Pilger's decision to resign and the
6 subsequent leak -- obviously, I don't know who leaked the
7 document -- but the subsequent leak of his resignation, did
8 that frustrate the Department's ability to properly operate
9 the Election Crimes Branch consistent with AG Barr's November
10 memo?

11 A. Yes, it definitely did. It put the AG in a poor
12 light publicly, which was totally unwarranted. People
13 misunderstood the memo. They misunderstood what the AG was
14 trying to do. And the immediate leak of the email, the memo
15 and the related documents was not helpful to what the
16 Department was trying to do at that time.

17 Q. Now, generally speaking, just for the record, since
18 we're referencing the Barr memo, I'm going to paraphrase this.
19 I don't think that this is an exact recitation from the memo
20 itself, but, generally speaking, what Barr did is he
21 authorized department officials to pursue substantial
22 allegations of voting and vote tabulation irregularities prior
23 to the certification of elections in your jurisdictions, in
24 certain cases.

25 So the difference, then -- correct me if I'm

1 wrong -- the difference here is that he wanted action to occur
2 prior to certification of elections. And prior to this memo,
3 the standard operating procedure was to wait until after
4 certification of elections to then dig into these election-
5 related issues and, potentially, crimes.

6 Is that correct, generally?

7 A. That's generally right, yes. Again, this is
8 all very fact-specific. It was always guidance, so there were
9 always exceptions.

10 But the general practice of the Department,
11 as per ECB, Election Crimes Branch, was to wait until the
12 certification was done because, in their view, what the
13 Department should be doing is prosecuting things after the
14 fact in an attempt to deter misconduct in future elections.

15 AG Barr's focus was on the current election
16 and making sure that we, the Department, were doing what we
17 could in real time to ensure that the American people could
18 have confidence in the outcome. So there was a disconnect
19 there, and it created issues.

20 Q. So did Mr. Pilger, when he was in his position at
21 ECB, did he have the authority to green-light investigative
22 steps for election-related investigations?

23 I'm trying to figure out the amount of
24 authority and power that he had in that position prior to
25 resignation.

1 A. So pursuant to the justice manual, the ECB had
2 consultation roles. So the U.S. Attorney's Office was
3 supposed to consult with ECB, and they both reported up to the
4 DAG's office. So if was there a disconnect, either side could
5 report up and say, "Well, we don't think these guys should
6 proceed this way," or something to that effect.

7 The problem was that ECB routinely implied
8 that they had approval authority. And so the DEOs, those
9 District Election Officers in the U.S. Attorney's Office, were
10 extremely reluctant to proceed without the approval of ECB.

11 Furthermore, ECB communicated directly with
12 the Public Corruption Branch at FBI. And those FBI agents
13 were, again, extremely reluctant to proceed without ECB's
14 explicit approval. And since ECB was not on the same page
15 with AG Barr and they clearly disagreed with AG Barr's
16 determination, they were, at best, dragging their feet and
17 maybe more to keep these investigations from going forward, in
18 my view.

19 Mr. Flynn-Brown. Okay. I want to pull up an
20 additional exhibit. We got this exhibit late last night from
21 DOJ, and I believe it was sent to you guys late last night as
22 well.

23 Let me pull up the Majority -- I think this
24 is labeled Majority -- I think it's labeled Majority 25.
25 It's the December 7, 2020, email, sir.

1 It's between you and David Bowdich.

2 Mr. Donoghue. Yes, I have it.

3 Mr. Flynn-Brown. I believe we are at -- I
4 believe this is Exhibit 6.

5 (Exhibit 6, email, marked.)

6 BY MR. FLYNN-BROWN.

7 Q. So you -- I believe you began to explain some of the
8 State Farm-related allegations. This email, this December 7,
9 2020, email between you and David Bowdich references a -- some
10 State Farm Arena allegations. I think you referred to them
11 earlier in the first hour.

12 Can you just restate them here again for the
13 record, based on your recollection, as to what they were.

14 A. Generally, my recollection was that there were
15 claims that at the State Farm Arena, election officials, Board
16 of Election officials, said or did something that caused the
17 observers to leave. And after the observers departed, the
18 election officials then produced a suitcase full of ballots,
19 and that they began running those ballots through the
20 tabulation machines, and that they ran them through
21 repeatedly.

22 So there were a couple different pieces to
23 that allegation. One was that there were these sort of false
24 or fake ballots that were produced. And another was that it
25 was run through multiple times. And then, of course, that the

1 observers were somehow lured away. That's basically what that
2 allegation was.

3 And because there were video cameras in the
4 facility, we, the FBI and others, were able to go back and
5 look at exactly what had happened.

6 Q. So how did this matter resolve, based upon your
7 recollection?

8 A. So the U.S. Attorney's Office in the Northern
9 District of Georgia looked at this. They worked with the FBI.
10 You can see from this email that there's some frustration
11 because of the Election Crimes Branch attitude that I
12 described earlier.

13 But, ultimately, the witnesses who were there
14 were interviewed. I can't remember if FBI did the
15 interviews alone or they did them in conjunction with State
16 authorities. But whatever it was, they reviewed -- I
17 believe it was about 15 hours of videotape.

18 The witnesses were all interviewed. And the
19 conclusion was that the observers left because of an error on
20 the part of someone in the media who said, "It looks like
21 they're done for the night."

22 And I think that was reasonable because I
23 believe the intent was to finish their tabulations for the
24 night. I think it was around 10 p.m. or something like that.
25 They thought they were done. They began sort of wrapping

1 things up and closing up their equipment.

2 Someone in the media pool made this comment
3 that "It looks like they're done for the night." The
4 observers left. They were then contacted by other State
5 officials that said, "Hey, we got a lot of work to do. Don't
6 shut down this early."

7 So they then went back to tabulating ballots.

8 The allegation about a suitcase being brought
9 in apparently stemmed from the fact that these ballots were
10 kept in wheeled bins. Those wheeled bins were just used as a
11 matter of routine in that facility. And I think if you go
12 back and watch the video from the beginning, you can see
13 during the day workers opening absentee ballots, taking them
14 out of the envelope and placing them in the bins.

15 So there was no suspicion from the fact that
16 these were ballots were contained in the wheeled bin. That's
17 just the way they moved them around the facility.

18 Also, the video, I'm told, showed that they
19 did not feed them through repeatedly, and that that would not
20 have worked anyway because of the barcoding on the individual
21 ballots.

22 So we looked at the allegation. We had FBI
23 go through the videotape. We had interviews done of all the
24 witnesses, and the U.S. Attorney in the Northern District
25 reported back to me that there was nothing on that video,

1 nothing to these allegations that should cause us to question
2 what happened in that facility or the outcome of the Georgia
3 election.

4 Q. So this email thread begins with an email from Corey
5 Amundson on December 7, 2020, at 12:34 a.m. And he says in
6 part, "As explained below, PIN," the Public Integrity Section,
7 "does not concur in any overt investigative activity,
8 including the proposed interviews."

9 Based on your recollection, how many times
10 did the Public Integrity Section provide a nonconurrence to
11 potential investigative activity relating to the 2020
12 election, prior to the election certification?

13 A. There was several times it came up. I can't
14 remember exactly, but this was not an isolated incident.

15 Q. Now, when those nonconcurrences came up, did
16 Main Justice override them?

17 A. In some instances, yes. It wasn't really a matter
18 of overriding because they didn't have the authority to
19 disapprove. Again, you know, the way they framed this
20 sometimes creates confusion.

21 U.S. Attorney doesn't need their concurrence.
22 They have to consult with them. Certainly, PIN or the U.S.
23 Attorney's Office was free to raise it up the chain of command
24 if they had concerns.

25 Q. So with respect to the nonconcurrences, did any

1 nonconcurrence halt investigative activity by FBI or other DOJ
2 units?

3 A. I believe there was one time that I recollect as I
4 sit here now, that there was a dispute between PIN and the
5 U.S. Attorney's Office. It was not in Georgia. And DAG Rosen,
6 who might have been the Acting AG at the time, decided that
7 while some limited action would be permitted, that generally
8 the U.S. Attorney's Office would not be permitted to go
9 forward at that point in time.

10 Q. Which state was this?

11 A. Florida.

12 Q. Florida?

13 Do you recall the allegations?

14 A. I do. But, you know, with all due respect to the
15 questioning, it may be an ongoing matter, so I don't think I
16 can comment on that.

17 Q And who was the U.S. Attorney on this matter at this
18 time?

19 A. Larry Keefe.

20 Q. So Rosen provided him instructions to perform some
21 actions, but not other actions?

22 Mr. Weinsheimer. On behalf of the
23 department, I'm indicating that at this point, we've gone
24 beyond the authorization, and the witness has already
25 indicated it may relate to an ongoing matter. He's just not

1 authorized to speak about ongoing matters.

2 BY MR. FLYNN-BROWN.

3 Q. In your email to Bowdich, you stated,
4 "Unfortunately, this is a continuation of a policy
5 disagreement between the Election Crimes Branch of PIN," the
6 Public Integrity Unit, "and the AG," Attorney General.

7 So you noted earlier that there were a couple
8 examples, couple times where PIN and the Election Crimes
9 Branch did kind of frustrate investigative steps. So this use
10 of the word "continuation" does imply repeated conduct.

11 I'm wondering if you can get into more
12 specific numbers as to how many times your efforts were
13 frustrated by the Election Crimes Branch and the Public
14 Integrity Unit to proceed with investigative activity prior to
15 certification.

16 A. I can't put a number on it, but it was a small
17 handful of times. And I think they were elevated in the way
18 that this one was.

19 Q. Okay. And then my same question would be for after
20 certification. So after certification occurred, did you still
21 have this frustrating relationship with PIN and the ECB?

22 A. I can't recall specifically as I sit here whether it
23 was all before or it split between pre and post-certification.
24 I don't remember, but this was an ongoing issue, really,
25 throughout the election cycle.

1 Q. At the time you left the Department, had this
2 tension that we described here today, based upon these emails
3 in the record, did that tension still exist with the Public
4 Integrity Unit?

5 A. Well, the issue was still there, but, obviously, the
6 election was over and certified and all that. So the
7 immediate concern was just no longer relevant.

8 Q. So specifically, sir, you know, as we focus here on
9 the Public Integrity Unit and Barr's November memo altering
10 this policy to be more aggressive and forward-leaning, are you
11 able to specifically mention or name any specific department
12 personnel that worked to frustrate the Barr memo, the November
13 Barr memo?

14 Mr. Weinsheimer. On behalf of the
15 department, I object. He shouldn't be getting into specific
16 individuals other than those that he's already mentioned.

17 Mr. Flynn-Brown. Okay. So he's mentioned
18 Richard Pilger. Can he get into more detail in his actions
19 in potentially undermining the November Barr memo?

20 Mr. Weinsheimer. Again, I would object. I
21 think that's outside the scope of the authorization at this
22 point.

23 Mr. Flynn-Brown. No, I do think it's in
24 scope, so we'll put a pin in that.

25 BY MR. FLYNN-BROWN.

1 Q. So with respect to the Public Integrity Unit, you
2 had mentioned that there was a similar unit at the
3 FBI that they interfaced with.

4 Do you remember the FBI unit precisely?

5 A. I believe it's called the PCB, the Public
6 Corruption Branch. Could be wrong about that.

7 Q. Do you recall who was in charge of that branch
8 during the 2020 election?

9 A. I knew at the time, but I just don't remember as I
10 sit here today.

11 Q. Did the Justice Department and FBI open any election
12 crime investigations after the 2020 election was
13 certified?

14 A. I can't say with certainty as I sit here, because I
15 was gone shortly after the election was certified. But I
16 would assume the answer is yes.

17 Q. So with respect to the process where you guys --
18 "you guys" being the Justice Department and the FBI --
19 received voter fraud allegations and reports of crimes, was
20 there a general protocol and process as to how the intake went
21 and how it moved up the chain? Or was it fairly an ad hoc
22 process?

23 You know, maybe, for example, generally
24 speaking, did an allegation go into the FBI, the FBI vets it
25 and then moves it up to DOJ? Or was there an intake, you

1 know, process specifically for Justice
2 Department proper?

3 A. It could come in in a variety of ways. They could
4 come directly to the FBI, in which case the FBI election agent
5 would coordinate with DEO, and they would staff it as needed
6 depending on the allegation.

7 It could also come in directly to the U.S.
8 Attorney's Office. One of the things that we do, as a routine
9 matter in preparation for elections, is we have U.S.
10 Attorney's Offices all issue a press release, and they
11 identify who the District Election Officer is for the U.S.
12 Attorney's Office; actually put their name out there publicly
13 and how they could be contacted.

14 So if someone had an allegation, say, on
15 Election Day, you can pretty easily find out who the DEO was
16 in your district and report it to that person directly, if
17 need be.

18 Q. Understood. Thank you.

19 So with respect to the Justice Department, do
20 you know how many personnel were responsible for vetting voter
21 fraud and election crime allegations during the 2020 election?

22 A. Well, at a minimum, you'd have a DEO in each of the
23 94 U.S. Attorney's Office, so that's 94 AUSAs right there.
24 Obviously, they have supervisors, criminal chiefs, U.S.
25 Attorneys and that kind of thing, who would have

1 responsibility.

2 Then you would have the Election Crimes Branch,
3 which I would guess was probably somewhere between 15 and 20
4 trial lawyers at that time, and then their chain of command.
5 And then, of course, you'd have the FBI side of things with
6 investigators. So you're talking a few hundred people, at
7 least.

8 Q. And from a data standpoint for the 2020 election, do
9 you know how many voter fraud and election crime allegations
10 were received by the Justice Department and the FBI
11 respectively?

12 A. I don't know.

13 Q. So after -- at what point does the Public Integrity
14 Section get involved in a voter fraud allegation? I mean, do
15 they reach down into the FBI? Does the FBI go to them as a
16 matter of course? I mean, how does that relationship work?

17 Because it sounds like the Public Integrity
18 Section, and the ECB, specifically, are in the pipeline of
19 this process to approve investigative steps, whether or not
20 their nonconcurrence or concurrence is given weight. They're
21 in the pipeline.

22 So I'm wondering are they basically the
23 buffer between the FBI and you and Rosen? How do they fit in
24 the scheme?

25 A. No. They're not between the FBI and us, but they do

1 coordinate very closely with the FBI's public corruption
2 branch. So what would typically happen is you'd have
3 something originate either in a FBI field office or U.S.
4 Attorney's Office, and then the appropriate people in those
5 offices would make notifications up their chain of command.

6 So on the FBI's side, a notification would go
7 up to the Public Corruption Branch. On the U.S.
8 Attorney's Office side, a notification would go to ECB and
9 say, "Hey, we're looking at opening an investigation about an
10 allegation of X."

11 So they would be aware of it. If I remember
12 correctly, they can do preliminary steps of some sort, perhaps
13 without a notification, but the typical way this developed was
14 those notifications would be made. And so you would have the
15 two components in Washington aware that something was actually
16 out there and being worked.

17 Q. I see. So when the FBI does the interfacing with
18 the Public Integrity Section and the ECB, in the process you
19 just described, if the FBI does not go around PIN and ECB to
20 apprise you, as was done here with Bowdich in the December 7,
21 2020, email, is there a chance that you, up the food chain,
22 the leadership chain at DOJ, are not going to be aware of some
23 of the FBI's frustrations downstream with the Public Integrity
24 Section?

25 A. It's possible. But I would say that Dave Bowdich,

1 as the deputy director, was very hands on. And Dave and I
2 worked very closely together. We spoke probably every day,
3 often several times a day. And he understood the AG's memo
4 and -- though, there was a lot of back-and-forth there, but
5 it's certainly possible that could be.

6 Q. My time is running a little bit short here, and I'm
7 not going to move on to another exhibit. I'm going to wait
8 for my second hour, but I do want to ask a couple more
9 questions about PIN and ECB.

10 So you got Corey Amundson, who is above
11 Richard Pilger, correct?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. So how did those two interact? Did those two get
14 along, or did they often have disagreements with respect to
15 how things should proceed forward?

16 And I see I'm going to get another
17 objection here from DOJ.

18 Mr. Weinsheimer. I'm going to object. I
19 think that is beyond the scope and talks about prosecutorial
20 deliberations. Outside the scope.

21 BY MR. FLYNN-BROWN.

22 Q. So I'll say it this way, then. With respect to
23 Richard Pilger and the public complaints that are attributed
24 to him with respect to the Barr memo, were those complaints,
25 those feelings, those concerns that

1 Pilger had, did they permeate through the Public
2 Integrity Unit, or were they specific to Mr. Pilger?

3 Mr. Weinsheimer. Again, same objection. I
4 don't think it's within the scope of the opposition.

5 Mr. Flynn-Brown. I think it might be within
6 the scope because the DOJ provided records relating to the
7 questions that I am asking.

8 Mr. Weinsheimer. With respect to the
9 communications between Corey Amundson and then Dave Bowdich
10 and Mr. Donoghue. So those are what's within the scope.

11 BY MR. FLYNN-BROWN.

12 Q. So, Mr. Donoghue, with respect to Corey
13 Amundson, did Mr. Bowdich -- with respect to this December 7,
14 2020, email, did Mr. Bowdich send other emails like this to
15 you relating to his concerns about the Public Integrity
16 Section?

17 A I don't specifically recall other emails like this, but
18 we did have conversations about things like that.

19 Q. Okay. So you had some phone calls or maybe other
20 emails with Mr. Bowdich about his concerns relating to PIN?

21 A. Yeah, I don't recall other emails. But as I said, I
22 spoke to Dave Bowdich probably every day in this time period,
23 and this was not limited to this specific instance.

24 Mr. Flynn-Brown. Okay. So I have five
25 minutes left, but I don't want to move on to the next exhibit.

1 I'll wait for my second hour.

2 So, sir, thank you for your time. I may
3 return to some of these issues that we just discussed later on
4 today.

5 But for now, Sara, I'll turn it over to you.

6 Ms. Zdeb. Thanks, Josh.

7 Mr. Donoghue, Counsel, would you like to take
8 another quick break before I start my next hour?

9 Mr. Andres. That would be great. Thank you.

10 (Recess)

11 Ms. Zdeb. It is 11:37, and we can go back on
12 the record.

13 BY MS. ZDEB.

14 Q. Mr. Donoghue, I wanted to just ask a couple more
15 questions about the email and the issues that my colleague was
16 just exploring with you at the end of the last hour. I think
17 this was Exhibit 6, which is the exchange involving you and
18 Dave Bowdich and Corey Amundson.

19 So you spoke a little bit about Richard Pilger.
20 Just so I'm clear, he is, and was at the time, a career
21 employee in PIN. Is that correct?

22 A. Yes, that.

23 Q. Do you know about how long he had been in the
24 position as head of the Election Crimes Branch?

25 A. I believe it was 10 years at that point.

1 Q. And is it fair to say he is viewed as an expert on
2 election crimes within the Department?

3 Mr. Weinsheimer. I think, once again, we're
4 going outside the scope of the authorization. I'd object to
5 further questions about Mr. Pilger.

6 Ms. Zdeb. Well, I'm sticking to the scope of
7 the questions that my colleagues in the minority just asked.
8 And I have a few -- I have a few questions pertaining to
9 questions to which you did not object.

10 Mr. Weinsheimer. That one, I don't think is
11 within the scope in terms of whether or not he's an expert.

12 Ms. Zdeb. We can move on.

13 BY MS. ZDEB.

14 Q. Just so I'm clear, it seems like the dispute, Mr.
15 Donoghue, that you were describing in this email and
16 describing a little while ago essentially boiled down to a
17 difference of opinion between Mr. Pilger, the career head of
18 the Election Crimes Branch, and the Department's political
19 leadership, when it came to the appropriate way to conduct
20 these investigations.

21 Is that accurate?

22 A. To some extent, yes. But keep in mind that I was
23 the senior career person in the Department at that time, even
24 though I had previously been political as an
25 U.S. Attorney. And certainly, I shared the AG's view that we

1 need to be more proactive at this time period, given this
2 unique election. So it wasn't just career versus political.

3 Q. Understood.

4 A. There were legitimate differences of opinion, and
5 there were merits to both sides of the argument, I understood
6 that. And I think I reflected that in my email.

7 Q. Right. And specifically, as I read your email, it
8 sounds like it boiled down to a policy dispute, to use your --
9 or policy disagreement, to use your words, between the
10 Election Crimes Branch's historic position that overt
11 investigative steps should be avoided, to the extent possible,
12 because they have the potential to inject the Department
13 itself into the election, on the one hand; and on the other
14 hand, the different view that Attorney General Barr, and it
15 sounds like others including yourself, were espousing at the
16 time.

17 Is that a fair assessment of the policy
18 disagreement?

19 A. Yes, that's generally correct.

20 Q. And I think you said in response to my colleague,
21 that at the end of the day, this dispute manifested itself in
22 a handful of instances. And that at the end of the day, there
23 was only one in which Mr. Rosen -- and I'm paraphrasing you --
24 took the side of PIN. Is that correct?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. And, in any event, with respect to either that one
2 particular instance or the instances in which the FBI and the
3 Department were permitted to take overt investigative steps
4 consistent with Attorney General Barr's memo, I would imagine
5 that in none of those cases did the Department uncover
6 evidence that changed Mr. Barr's conclusion that there was no
7 widespread evidence of election fraud sufficient to change the
8 outcome of the election. Is that correct?

9 A. That's right.

10 Q. Let's move back really quickly to Exhibit 4, which
11 is your notes from the December 27th conversation with the
12 President. And I had just a couple additional questions.

13 On the third page of that document, which is
14 numbered -737, you have a line saying -- you have a line
15 saying "You guys are not following the Internet the way I do,"
16 and you've included quotation marks around that one.

17 Am I correct in thinking that that means that
18 that was a direct quote, as you transcribed it at the time?

19 A. Yes, it was.

20 Q. And I believe you also said, in response to my
21 colleague's question, that the language starting at the bottom
22 of the next page, which is Bates -738 and continuing on to the
23 next page, which said, "Don't expect you to do that, just say
24 the election was corrupt and leave the rest to me and the R
25 Congressmen" -- you also indicated that that also was a

1 direct quote. Is that right?

2 A. Yes, that's right.

3 Q. What did you take the President to mean when he told
4 you and Mr. Rosen to "Just say the election was corrupt and
5 leave the rest to me"?

6 A. So the last part of that, "leave the rest to me and
7 the Republican Congressman," I understood that to be that they
8 were pursuing a political process on the Hill, whereby the
9 allies of the President would be challenging some of the
10 electoral college votes. That obviously has nothing
11 whatsoever to do with the Department. And so that's what I
12 think he was referring to. That's what I took it as at the
13 time.

14 I think there was already a lot of discussion
15 about whether there would be challenges to the electoral
16 college votes of certain states. That had happened in
17 previous elections. I believe that happened in 2004 and
18 2000. So that does happen. Again, that's got nothing
19 whatsoever to do with the Department.

20 The earlier part was that the President
21 wanted us, the Department, to say that it was corrupt. And,
22 again, I wrote it down as a quote. He said what he said. So
23 you can read it for yourself.

24 Q. Shortly after that -- let me just pull up the page -
25 - there is a -- there's a notation in here on the following

1 page toward the bottom of -740. Again, it looks like a direct
2 quote because you've used quotations.

3 "We have an obligation to tell people that
4 this was an illegal corrupt election."

5 And then after that, not in quotes, you
6 wrote, "People tell me Jeff Clark is great. I should put him
7 in."

8 Did -- well, first of all, am I reading that
9 correctly?

10 A. Yes, you are.

11 Q. Did the President indicate when he said this how he
12 knew Mr. Clark?

13 A. No. That was the first I ever heard of a reference
14 of Mr. Clark in relation with any election matters.

15 Q. And did you have an understanding of what the
16 President was getting at when he said, "People tell me he's
17 great. I should put him in"?

18 A. I understood that the President was referring to
19 possibly putting him in a leadership position in DOJ;
20 obviously, above where he currently was. I was surprised at
21 the reference to Jeff Clark, though.

22 Q. Why were you surprised?

23 A. Because I hadn't heard his name in connection with
24 this before. And as the head of the Civil
25 Division, and then, earlier, the head of the

1 Environmental and Natural Resources Division, he simply didn't
2 have anything to do with the Department's election
3 responsibilities.

4 Q. I'd like to ask you a couple of questions about some
5 subsequent documents involving
6 Congressman -- Pennsylvania Congressman Perry, who we briefly
7 mentioned before because he comes up at the start of the notes
8 from the December meeting that we were just discussing.

9 So if you could pull up -- and just for the
10 sake of time I'll do these together, Majority 6 and Majority
11 7.

12 A. Yes, I have them.

13 Ms. Zdeb. So we can mark Majority 6 as
14 Exhibit Number 7.

15 (Exhibit 7, email, was marked.)

16 Ms. Zdeb. And Majority 7, not to get
17 completely confusing, will be he Exhibit No. 8.

18 (Exhibit 8, notes, was
19 marked.) BY MS. ZDEB.

20 Q. So Exhibit Number 7 is an email, an email that you
21 sent to U.S. Attorney Brady, but you were forwarding an email
22 that you received from
23 Congressman Perry on December 27 at 8:42 p.m.

24 And then the other document, Exhibit 8, is
25 handwritten notes from a conversation dated

1 December 28, 2020.

2 So let's start with the notes first. Is this
3 your handwriting?

4 A. It is. And I should clarify, I apologize, but those
5 notes are misdated. That would be the 27th.

6 Q. That's what I thought. Thank you for that
7 clarification.

8 A. Yeah, I received this call from
9 Congressman Perry while I was in the vehicle traveling back to
10 Washington that night. And it was before he sent me this
11 email. So sometime before 8:42.

12 Q. And I noticed in the set of handwritten notes that
13 we were just discussing from your call with Mr. Rosen and the
14 President, that there was a notation at the end where you were
15 asked to provide your cell phone number so the President could
16 direct people to call you, in essence.

17 So when you received this call from Congressman
18 Perry, did you take it as sort of the follow-up to that
19 exchange that you had had with the President?

20 A. Yes, I assumed the President or someone else passed
21 my cell phone number to Congressman Perry, and he called me.

22 Q. Was anyone else on the call?

23 A. Not to my knowledge.

24 Q. And had you ever spoken to Congressman Perry before
25 this call?

1 A. No. I had never heard of him before that day.

2 Q. So you didn't have any awareness at this point of
3 his role in the Stop the Steal campaign?

4 A. No. I think the first time I had ever heard
5 of him was when the President mentioned him on the call
6 earlier that day.

7 Q. So it says at the start of the notes, "POTUS asked
8 him to call."

9 And then a couple lines down from that your
10 notes say, "Likes Jeff Clark a lot. Thinks he would do
11 something about this."

12 Is that a notation describing what Congressman
13 Perry was saying about Jeff Clark, or was it --

14 A. Yes.

15 Q Okay. And did he -- did he explain what he meant by
16 that?

17 A. I don't remember exactly, and I didn't put this in
18 quotes, but I do remember, as reflected in the notes, that he
19 brought up Jeff Clark. And he said, effectively, "I think,
20 Jeff Clark is great. I like that guy a lot. He's the kind of
21 guy who could really get in there and do something about
22 this," or something to that effect.

23 Q. Did he -- did the Congressman give you an
24 understanding of how it was that he knew Jeff Clark?

25 A. No, and I didn't ask.

1 Q. Did it seem strange to you? I mean, you had just --
2 you had just said a minute ago that it seemed strange to you
3 that the President would be mentioning Jeff Clark, who I think
4 you said had a role in the Department that would not
5 ordinarily be involved in the sorts of things you were
6 discussing.

7 Were you equally surprised to hear Scott
8 Perry mention him?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. There's a notation immediately following the line
11 about how Scott Perry likes Jeff Clark a lot, that says "EG."
12 And then there's some text that is not completely clear to us.
13 And I'm wondering if you can just decipher that a little bit.

14 A. Sure. I apologize for the handwriting. It's bad to
15 begin with, but I was he also in a moving vehicle at the time.

16 So Congressman Perry was complaining
17 generally about the FBI. And he said, you know, for instance,
18 Awan Brothers, A-w-a-n is how I wrote it down. And it says
19 "HofR IT scandal," House of
20 Representatives IT scandal.

21 And he said something about the IG being
22 fired. He said, "We asked FBI to interview her and they," the
23 FBI, "told her not to bring anything. She feels like she was
24 the one under investigation. FBI doesn't do the right thing."

25 This had nothing to do with the

1 investigation, but he was citing this I guess prior experience
2 with an FBI investigation to say that, you know, sometimes the
3 FBI doesn't handle things correctly. And that raised some
4 concern for him.

5 Q. And did that have anything to do with Jeff
6 Clark, or was that a separate train of thought?

7 A. No. But I think it formed some of the background of
8 why he was telling me that he felt that we, the Department,
9 needed to be more involved in the investigation.

10 Q. So after this call at, again, 8:42 in the evening on
11 what you have just explained is the same day, December 27th,
12 you received this email from Congressman Perry attaching a set
13 of materials pertaining to various election fraud allegations
14 in Pennsylvania.

15 Did you have a sense of why he was sending
16 this directly to you?

17 A. At the end of his conversation, he asked for my
18 email and said, "Can I send you stuff? We've got a lot of
19 evidence. We've got a lot of information about things going
20 on in Pennsylvania. Can I send it to you?"

21 And then my last notation there is "Told him
22 we would, of course be willing to look at that, but thus far
23 we haven't seen fraud on the scale that changed the outcome in
24 Pennsylvania."

25 So he said, "Well, I have stuff. I'd like to

1 send it to you."

2 I said, "You're free to do that."

3 I gave him my email. And then this
4 8:42 email from him is what followed. Probably an hour or two
5 hours later. I was still in the car.

6 Q. Why did you then forward those materials to the U.S.
7 Attorney for the Western District of Pennsylvania?

8 A. So as I said at the outset, the U.S.
9 Attorneys is the one primarily responsible for this.
10 I'm certainly not conducting investigations, right.

11 Scott Brady was the U.S. Attorney in Pittsburgh,
12 the Western District of Pennsylvania. We had three U.S.
13 Attorneys there. I didn't want to send it to all three of
14 them and have them sort of scrambling around. I knew Scott
15 best out of the three U.S. Attorneys. He'd been on the U.S.
16 Attorney General's Advisory Committee. I knew that he was, as
17 they all were, very, very competent.

18 So I just forwarded it to Scott in part
19 because a U.S. Attorney had to be looking at this
20 thing, a U.S. Attorney in Pennsylvania. And I had full
21 confidence in his ability to handle it. So what I did was I
22 looked at it -- I couldn't read the whole thing because, as I
23 said, I was in the car. I was reading it on an iPhone. And
24 so I flipped through it quickly to get a feel for it, and then
25 I just forwarded it to

1 Scott with that notation that you see in the email.

2 Q. And, to your knowledge, and without getting into the
3 details of any particular investigative steps that the U.S.
4 Attorney may or may not have taken, did the U.S. Attorney
5 determine that any of these allegations were substantiated and
6 allegations that were sufficient to have impacted the course
7 of the election?

8 A. Scott and I talked about this over the following
9 days, and to the extent that these allegations related to the
10 things that would be properly within the Department's scope,
11 he felt that they were not well founded, and that they do not
12 call into question the outcome of the election in
13 Pennsylvania.

14 I would note, however, though, that there
15 were things in there that don't have anything to do with the
16 Department's scope, such as I think that there were complaints
17 that, for instance, the Governor and
18 the State Attorney General and perhaps the Secretary of State
19 changed voting procedures, and that that should have been done
20 by the State legislature. Maybe there's merit to the
21 argument, maybe not, but that wasn't an issue for the
22 Department. That was a legal issue for the campaign and the
23 candidates to pursue on their own.

24 But to the extent it said things like "there
25 were more votes certified than actually cast," those kinds of

1 things Scott said he had looked into it and that was not the
2 case.

3 In fact, that's how I came to the conclusion
4 that there was nothing to that particular allegation because
5 Scott looked into it, and he came back to me, and he said,
6 "No, the database they were looking at is short data on four
7 counties, and that's why you have a delta."

8 Ms. Zdeb. My colleague asked you a little
9 bit about Mr. Clark's letter in the previous round. I'd like
10 to turn back to that now. And if you could pull up what has
11 been designated as Majority 8.

12 Mr. Donoghue. Yes.

13 Ms. Zdeb. And we will mark that as Exhibit
14 Number 9.

15 (Exhibit 9, email, was marked.)

16 BY MS. ZDEB.

17 Q. This is an email from Mr. Clark to you and Mr. Rosen
18 at 4:48 p.m. on December 28, 2020. The email subject line is
19 "Two urgent action items."

20 I know you've already explained what role Mr.
21 Clark had at the Department at this time. I'm wondering if
22 you can just briefly characterize your professional
23 relationship with him. Was he someone that you worked
24 closely with?

25 A. It was fairly limited. When I went down there, I

1 got to know all of the AAGs because they all reported up to
2 the DAG. And as the PADAG, his deputy, I worked closely with
3 him. There were many, many meetings. So I knew him first as
4 the AAG of ENRD, and then later as the Acting, because I think
5 Jody Hunt left right around the time I went down there.

6 So I saw him on a regular basis, mostly
7 briefing the Deputy AG on civil matters and litigation that
8 was underway and things like that. They have a vast portfolio
9 that they were responsible for. Nothing having to do with
10 elections, but a lot of other things that were important to
11 the Department at the time.

12 I think it's important to understand that Jeff
13 Rosen and Jeff Clark had a long professional and personal
14 relationship. They had known each other for, I think, 20
15 years or more, had worked together at a law firm, et cetera.
16 So Jeff Rosen knew Jeff Clark much better than I did, but I
17 did establish some sort of relationship with him when I went
18 down there.

19 Q. I know you said that you were surprised to hear his
20 name raised both by the President and by Congressman Perry the
21 day before.

22 Prior to sending this email, had Clark
23 reached out to you directly in any way about allegations
24 regarding the 2020 election, or was this the first direct
25 outreach you received from him personally?

1 A. I'm pretty sure this was the first direct outreach.
2 There were discussions earlier in the day with Clark, but I
3 was not involved in those.

4 Q. What was your reaction to this request?

5 A. I was stunned.

6 Q. And I know your email response that my colleague was
7 asking about earlier, in essence, speaks for itself. But I'm
8 wondering if you can elaborate a bit on what about it stunned
9 you?

10 A. Well, again, the email speaks for itself and
11 captured my views at the time. But I had to read it several
12 times to make sure I understood what he was proposing. It
13 just struck me as being wildly inappropriate for the
14 Department to do the things that he was proposing. It's just
15 not what the Department does. It's not our role, and in
16 addition to it being completely at odds with what we had
17 determined in the investigations that we had conducted.

18 So it was just factually wrong, and it was
19 not at all the Department's role to be dictating to states
20 what they should or should not be doing with regard to their
21 presidential elections.

22 Q. Did you have a sense at this point -- I know that
23 his letter is drafted in a way that focuses on Georgia, but it
24 is titled "Proof of Concept," and I think he made it clear in
25 his transmittal that he was not intending it to be limited to

1 Georgia.

2 Did you have a sense of which other states he
3 was proposing to send a version of this letter to?

4 A. As I understood at the time, it was some of the
5 other swing states.

6 Q. And we've -- you've been talking about this sort of
7 generically, and I understand you to be talking about the
8 proof of concept letter, but I should step back and note that
9 his transmittal email also had another suggestion in there
10 that was unrelated to the letter.

11 So he starts out with the statement that he
12 would like to have your authorization and Mr. Rosen's to get a
13 classified briefing from the Office of the
14 Director of National Intelligence on Foreign Election
15 Interference. He goes on to make some claims about Dominion,
16 including a claim that a Dominion machine accessed the
17 Internet through a smart thermostat with a net connection
18 trail leading back to China. He then goes on to say, "ODNI
19 may have additional classified evidence."

20 Did you have a particular reaction to that
21 aspect of his email?

22 A. Yes. And without going into the details, I did not
23 think that ODNI or anyone in the intelligence community would
24 have such information.

25 Q. How did you respond to that aspect of his request? Did

1 you give him the go-ahead to reach out to
2 ODNI? Did you tell him "You shouldn't do that"?

3 A. I did not address it in my email. But shortly after
4 my response at 5:50, he was summoned to the Deputy Attorney
5 General's conference room, and the three of us met. So you're
6 talking at that point about Acting Attorney General Rosen,
7 myself and Jeff Clark. And we discussed both the letter and
8 his request for a briefing.

9 With regard to the briefing, he explained
10 that he felt the IC might have information that was relevant.
11 And I really didn't have any input because, before I said
12 anything, the Acting Attorney General said, "Well, look, if
13 you have the appropriate security clearances, you can get a
14 briefing. But I think you're going to see that there's no
15 reason for us to believe that."

16 Q. Were you aware that Mr. Clark contacted an
17 individual named Dustin Carmack, who was the DNI's chief of
18 staff on January 1, to arrange a call?

19 A. Not until I saw the emails that were produced, I
20 guess, initially by the House committee in relation to this.
21 I was aware that there was some sort of briefing provided, but
22 I had nothing to do with approving it or arranging it or
23 anything. I just knew from discussions on January 2 that, in
24 fact, Clark had received a briefing.

25 Q. Back to the Georgia proof of concept letter aspect

1 of this email from Mr. Clark, before he sent the email to you,
2 Ken Klukowski, who I understand to have been a senior counsel
3 in the Civil Division, sent a copy of the letter to Clark.

4 And that's an email that you're not on, so I
5 wouldn't expect you to have seen it, but I'm curious whether
6 you know who Ken Klukowski is?

7 A. I don't.

8 Q. Did you have a sense at the time as to whether Clark
9 was sort of a solo operator on this or whether he was working
10 with others either within the Civil Division or elsewhere
11 within the Department?

12 A. I had no reason to think anyone else in the
13 Department was working on this. I thought that he drafted
14 this letter himself.

15 Q. So you mentioned Clark being summoned to a meeting
16 in then-Acting Attorney General Rosen's office a bit later in
17 the afternoon, after you had sent the response that we've
18 already discussed.

19 Can you just give us a sense of how this
20 meeting unfolded? I imagine that you and Mr. Rosen pushed
21 back on his suggestions.

22 A. Yes. Just so you understand the background,
23 obviously we got the calls the night before the 27th, both the
24 President and Congressman Perry mentioned Jeff Clark. As I
25 said, Jeff Rosen and Jeff Clark had known each other for a

1 long time.

2 We spoke both that night and I think the next
3 morning, and Acting AG Rosen said, you know, "I'm going to
4 talk to Jeff," meaning Jeff Clark, "and find out what this is
5 all about, what's going on here."

6 So I believe there were one or more
7 conversations earlier that day that were then followed by this
8 email at 4:40. I responded at 5:50.

9 As I recollect, the DAG, the Acting AG, Jeff
10 Rosen, was at some place; he was busy or something. But I
11 responded without showing him or anyone else my response. I
12 simply drafted it and sent it.

13 And then I went to his office, I think after I sent
14 it, and he was pretty upset with the proposal from Clark. And
15 he said, "I told so and so," one of his administrative
16 assistants, "to get him up here. I want him in my conference
17 room now."

18 So I understood that the communication had
19 gone out. Jeff Clark came up a few minutes later and the
20 three of us sat in the conference room and had a very
21 difficult discussion.

22 Q. What was difficult about it?

23 A. It appeared to me that Jeff Rosen was really floored
24 by the fact that someone he knew and whose professional
25 judgment he had trusted was proposing something like this. I

1 didn't know Jeff Clark well, and so I was not -- I was
2 certainly surprised. That someone in the Department proposed
3 something like this, but I had no personal relationship to
4 base this on.

5 It got a little heated. I began telling Jeff
6 Clark that he had no business sticking his nose into this;
7 that he should remain with the portfolio he's got. Why was he
8 involved in this in the first place? Why are we hearing your
9 name from the President? Why are we hearing your name from
10 the Congressman? So on and so forth.

11 It got a little heated, particularly toward
12 the end. I told him that I thought his proposal was,
13 essentially, wildly inappropriate and irresponsible. I told
14 him that what he was proposing was nothing less than the
15 Department meddling in the outcome of a presidential election.

16 So it got fairly heated toward the end. And
17 Acting AG Rosen said, "Well, look. Let's just sort of move
18 forward here. Get your briefing, if that's what you want. I
19 think you'll see that there's nothing to be concerned about
20 from the intelligence community. And then, you know, we can
21 talk about this letter."

22 But he was very clear and I was very clear
23 that that letter was never going out.

24 Q. Did Clark give you any sense during this meeting of
25 how he knew Congressman Perry?

1 A. No.

2 Q. And did he indicate -- I'm sorry. Go ahead.

3 A. He made some reference to being in communication
4 with the President. I think at this
5 point, he told us that he had been in the Oval Office. He
6 didn't explain how he got there. But I immediately said "You
7 violated the White House contact policy."

8 Q Did he say when he had -- I'm sorry. Go ahead.

9 A. No. It wasn't clear. It wasn't clear how he got
10 there or exactly when he was in the Oval Office, but I'm sure
11 it was in this meeting where he told us he had actually
12 physically been in the Oval Office.

13 Q. Did he say anything during this meeting about a
14 willingness on the part of the President to install him in Mr.
15 Rosen's place?

16 A. He did make some reference to that. I don't
17 remember as specifically as the subsequent meeting on
18 January 2nd. But he did make reference to the President being
19 -- considering, I should say, a leadership change to the
20 Department.

21 Q. Did he indicate whether the President was aware of
22 the proposed letter?

23 A. I don't remember him saying that, no.

24 Q. After the meeting, you reached out to
25 Assistant Attorney General for the Office of Legal

1 Counsel Steven Engel to read him in to the situation.

2 What was the purpose of doing that?

3 A. If you follow the Department's internal chain
4 of succession, you'll see that the people who were in place at
5 that time, if you go through them, the next one in line to the
6 Acting Attorney General, absent some action to the contrary by
7 the President, would be Steve Engel. There were other people
8 above the OLC AAG in the chain, but when you do that, you have
9 to remove everyone who is in an Acting position.

10 So, for instance, the Solicitor General was
11 above the OLC AAG, but there was an Acting Solicitor General,
12 so you had to remove him from the chain. So if you follow the
13 chain, if Jeff Rosen got fired, the next person to become
14 Acting Attorney General would be Steve Engel. And I was
15 concerned that Steve be fully aware of what's going on in case
16 Jeff Rosen was fired and Steve ended up in the seat.

17 And so Jeff Rosen and I discussed like how
18 far we should allow information relating to this to get out.
19 We decided that it would be prudent to keep a very, very close
20 hold. But we agreed that Steve should know everything
21 because, if he's fired or we're fired and Steve ends up in the
22 seat, Steve needs to know that this is coming and how it came
23 about.

24 Q. I know at some point a bit later on, you expand the
25 circle of Department officials who you read into the

1 situation. Why did you want to keep it close hold at this
2 point?

3 A. We didn't expand the circle until the late afternoon
4 of January 3rd, but we wanted to keep a close hold because,
5 frankly, I thought -- we thought it would create friction and
6 maybe even panic within the leadership of the Department.

7 Ms. Zdeb. I'd like to turn to a meeting in
8 the White House that takes place the day after Clark sends
9 his letter. This is reflected in Majority 11, which I will
10 mark as Exhibit Number 10. (Exhibit 10, notes, was marked.)

11 BY MS. ZDEB.

12 Q. Are these your notes?

13 A. I have it. Yes, these are my notes.

14 Q. And these are notes of a December 29, 2020, meeting
15 with the Chief of Staff, White House Counsel, PC and PP, who I
16 imagine are Pat Cipollone and Patrick Philbin, the Deputy
17 Attorney General, you and Steven Engel. Is that correct?

18 A. That's right.

19 Q. Did anyone else participate?

20 A. No.

21 Q. And this took place at the White House?

22 Yes. In the Chief of Staff's office. That's
23 the only time I've been in his office.

24 Q. What prompted this meeting?

25 A. I don't remember exactly why we were called over

1 there. There were a number of things the Chief of Staff
2 wanted to discuss. Some of which had nothing to do with the
3 election; they had to do more transition matters. But we went
4 over there, I think it was sometime in the afternoon.

5 Q. There's a reference to Mark Mathis [sic] and John
6 Eastman at the top of your notes along what a notation that "P
7 trusts," and then I can't read the rest out of it.

8 Could you clarify what that notation to those
9 two individuals signifies?

10 A. I think it says "P trusts their view." And the
11 first name is Mark Martin, M-a-r-t-i-n.

12 Q. There are then some notes a little farther down the
13 page on Pennsylvania election issue, which we've already
14 discussed. There's a notation about the original jurisdiction
15 complaint, which I'll have a couple more questions about in a
16 minute.

17 But it says here "U.S. does not have
18 standing. DOJ should talk to the Olsens (who filed similar
19 case)."

20 So this is a reference to the proposal that
21 had just recently been sent to the Department to file an
22 original jurisdiction complaint in the Supreme Court akin to
23 the Texas versus Pennsylvania case. Is that correct?

24 A. Yes, that's right.

25 Q. And so, at this point, which I believe is just

1 shortly after you received -- you, the Department, had
2 received that complaint, it sounds like the Department is
3 sharing its view that the U.S. doesn't have standing.

4 Is that a correct interpretation of your
5 notes here?

6 A. Yes, that's right. I don't know if we got the
7 written opinions from OLC and OSG at this point or not. But
8 they had shared their views and they followed up with some
9 short written submissions.

10 Q. And the reference to Pennsylvania just above that,
11 as I read your notes, it says, "Advised that we are looking at
12 the claim that there are more certifications than number of
13 voters."

14 And we've talked about that a bit before. I'm
15 curious how that came up in the context of this meeting. Did
16 one of the White House participants ask you what you were
17 doing to look into that allegation?

18 A. I don't recall exactly. But I believe it was
19 raised by the Chief of Staff, and this is the issue I
20 mentioned earlier. So at this point, we obviously didn't have
21 the answer. So this is something he was working on. And I
22 think we were just telling the Chief of Staff, "Yeah, we're
23 aware of that and we're looking into it."

24 Q. Did the Chief of Staff ask you to take any
25 particular actions, or was it just more in the vein of a

1 question about whether you were looking into it?

2 A No. I think it was more along the lines of, you know,
3 "You guys know about this Pennsylvania claim, right?"

4 And we said yes, because he wasn't on the
5 call with the President. So it was more of an echo of what
6 the President had told us two nights before.

7 Q. Then we get into a portion of your notes about
8 someone named Arturo D'Elia.

9 Had you heard of that individual at any point
10 before this meeting?

11 A. No.

12 Q. Were you aware that earlier in the day, Mark Meadows
13 had sent Mr. Rosen a letter from a company called USAerospace
14 Partners laying out the so-called Italygate theory?

15 A. I know I became aware of that letter. I just
16 don't if it was before this meeting or after. If it went to
17 the DAG, we worked very closely together. If he had it before
18 this meeting, likely I would have seen it, but I'm not sure.

19 Q. And how did Italygate and Arturo D'Elia, who as I
20 understand it is an IT contractor who was a part of the
21 Italygate conspiracy theory, how did those things end up
22 coming up during the course of this meeting?

23 A. Again, this is something that I believe the Chief of
24 Staff raised. I had my notebook open right in front of me.
25 You can see I was taking notes, so I wrote down the name. I

1 believe this is actually a misspelling of the name, but that's
2 what he provided.

3 And he provided some information you see
4 reflected in the notes. The company is Leonardo, something in
5 relation to a facility in Pesaro, Italy.

6 "Under the protection of government agency in Italy. Sent
7 letter on his company stationery. Claims involvement in vote
8 changing in U.S. 2020. Claims in coordination with CIA
9 officers and Embassy." I have where the embassy is located in
10 Rome.

11 Then something about a subsidiary, the USAerospace
12 Partners, that was a U.S. subsidiary of
13 Leonardo.

14 So this was a convoluted story to begin with,
15 and the Chief of Staff himself said, "Look, I'm not even sure
16 about this, or what I'm providing to you."

17 He just said, "This is what I understand it
18 is."

19 Because that name, Arturo D'Elia, I don't
20 think is even in the letter. So he was relaying to us that he
21 had some information, it wasn't clear where it had come from,
22 but that he wasn't even sure what the story was supposed to
23 be. But it was a claim that an Italian company with the
24 knowledge of CIA using military-grade satellites was involved
25 in changing vote tabulations in the U.S. presidential

1 election.

2 Q. There's a notation here saying "RPD," which is you,
3 "to check his background with FBI."

4 Did somebody ask you to check his background
5 with the FBI?

6 A. Yes, we discussed it there. And the DAG told me to
7 do that. He said, "Just find out if we know anything about
8 this guy, if he shows up anywhere on the radar," whatever it
9 is. So I did do that. I asked FBI to run his name.

10 But as I've said, the name is misspelled. I
11 don't think we got anything back from the FBI.

12 Q. And did Mark Meadows ask you and the DAG to
13 look into this?

14 A. He provided the information. I don't specifically
15 recall him asking me or anyone else to do something specific.
16 I don't think he asked me to run the guy's name. That was,
17 I'm pretty sure, from the Acting Attorney General who said,
18 "Just check this guy out. See what we know about him."

19 Q. Did you have an impression of why he was sharing the
20 information with you?

21 A. The Chief of Staff?

22 Q. Yes.

23 A. I think he was just trying to pass along whatever
24 ended up on his desk, frankly. I think he was probably
25 getting a lot of these reports as well, and my impression was

1 he wanted to be able to say "I passed it along to the
2 Department."

3 Q. There's a note on the side of the page. Does that say --
4 does that mean John Demers working on this?

5 A. Right. So after I got back to the office, I called
6 John, who was the AAG for the National Security Division. I
7 gave him this name, "Arturo D'Elia," the way it's spelled
8 there. And I said, "Can you just find out if we know anything
9 about this guy? The DAG is asking us to looking into it."

10 I don't remember what details I would have
11 given John about this allegation, but he said "Fine."
12 He took the name.

13 Q. I imagine this didn't strike you as a credible
14 allegation, did it?

15 A. It seemed pretty farfetched on its face. But,
16 again, we have to take each of these individually and try to
17 figure out what there is to support or refute it. And so I
18 asked the FBI to look into it.

19 I actually just googled the name myself, and I
20 googled it in conjunction with "Leonardo," and that's how I
21 realized that the name was actually misspelled. I believe it's
22 D'Elia, ending with an A. And I found some public reporting
23 on the arrests of Arturo D'Elia, who was an employee or a
24 contractor of Leonardo, who was arrested in Italy in
25 conjunction with a scheme to exfiltrate data from the company.

1 I believe that scheme ran from, according to public reporting,
2 2015 to 2017. So at this time, it appeared that Mr. D'Elia
3 was sitting in a prison in Italy.

4 Ms. Zdeb. I want to jump ahead to the
5 original jurisdiction complaint for just a minute. If you
6 could pull up Majority 12, and this will be Exhibit 11.

7 (Exhibit 11, notes, was marked.)

8 BY MS. ZDEB.

9 Q. So Kurt Olsen is -- or was a private attorney who
10 reached out to the Department purportedly on behalf of the
11 President in connection with this proposed Supreme Court
12 action. And I'm just curious about a few aspects of these
13 notes.

14 For starters, are these your notes?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. And it says "DAG call with Ken Kohl" at the top.

17 Is that actually Kurt Olsen, or is it
18 somebody else?

19 A. Yes, I'm certain that should be Kurt Olsen, having
20 reviewed the emails and other things provided in advance to
21 this. So I apologize for the misidentification. There was a
22 great deal going on at that time.

23 But, yeah, I believe this is a call with Kurt
24 Olsen. It took place in the DAG's office. He had him on
25 speaker phone, and I was there for the entire conversation.

1 Q. And why -- why did the DAG agree to this call in the
2 first place? I think you had said earlier that the complaint
3 came in, at least the initial view by the time you had this
4 meeting in the White House where it was raised, the initial
5 view was, at the Solicitor
6 General's office, was that there was no merit to it.

7 Why entertain a call with Kurt Olsen to begin
8 with?

9 A. Mr. Olsen kept contacting the Department throughout,
10 at least that day and maybe the day prior. He dealt a number
11 of times with the Acting Attorney General's then-Chief of
12 Staff, John Moran. And I think he was looking for a meeting.

13 The Acting AG said, "I'm not giving this guy
14 a meeting," but ultimately decided to, you know, allow him to
15 call in, I suppose -- you know, I won't speculate too much,
16 but to say that, you know, we heard the guy out, something to
17 that effect.

18 Ms. Zdeb. Let me jump to one quick aspect of
19 a related document, which is Majority 13, which will be
20 Exhibit 12.

21 (Exhibit 12, document, was
22 marked.)

23 BY MS. ZDEB.

24 Q. And this is a one-pager from the Office of Solicitor
25 General that was sent to Mr. Rosen with a cc to you on

1 December 30. The attached document, which is a one-pager,
2 contains a number of points, in essence, explaining why the
3 Department cannot bring this
4 original action.

5 And I want to just ask you about the third
6 bullet on that page, which says, "Prohibition on representing
7 interest of private parties."

8 When we were talking earlier about some of
9 the back-and-forth with the President during one of your early
10 meetings with him, you said, "Well, he would never say I want
11 you to take legal action on behalf of my campaign or me
12 specifically. You would be doing it on behalf of the American
13 people."

14 This bullet in the OSG one-pager says, "A
15 sovereign" -- and this is by way of explaining, I take it,
16 that there would be no standing for this lawsuit. It says,
17 "The sovereign may not resort to the Supreme Court's original
18 jurisdiction in the name of the sovereign, but in reality for
19 the benefit of particular individuals, a suit for the benefit
20 of a particular candidate would violate that rule."

21 So it seems to me that irrespective of how
22 the President may have been characterizing these sorts of
23 potential legal actions, it was the view of the Department
24 that such an action would be for the benefit of a particular
25 candidate as opposed to for the benefit of the American public

1 at large.

2 Is that a fair interpretation of this
3 portion?

4 A. I think it's fair to say it's one of the concerns
5 that the OSG raised. I didn't discuss this particular bullet
6 point with him, and I don't believe the Acting AG did. I
7 didn't do any research on this myself. We relied entirely on
8 OSG and OLC.

9 But, yeah, they raised that point. It's one
10 of a variety of points. It doesn't drive the outcome. But
11 there are a number of reasons why the United States would not
12 have standing in their view, and that's one issue that they
13 raised.

14 Q. Let me jump --

15 A. It's not necessarily the Department's view, but it's
16 an issue there.

17 Q. It's the view of the Office of Solicitor
18 General?

19 A. Yeah. Well, they identified as one of a number of
20 significant procedural hurdles. So, yeah, they raised the
21 concern.

22 Q. Let me jump ahead to December 31st when I believe
23 there were a couple of meetings. And, again, this is back to
24 the issue with Jeffrey Clark.

25 Was there another meeting between you, the

1 DAG and Mr. Clark on December 31st?

2 A. I know there was a meeting at the White House
3 that day. I don't -- there probably was, but I don't
4 specifically recollect a meeting with Mr. Clark.

5 Between Monday the 28th and Sunday, January 3,
6 there were a number of discussions and meetings. I certainly
7 remember the 28th in the conference room, and I remember
8 January 2nd as well as January 3rd. There may be a meeting
9 or two in between there, I just don't specifically recollect.

10 Q. So, I'm sorry, you don't specifically recall a
11 meeting in the Oval Office on the 31st?

12 A. Oh, no, I definitely recall that, but Jeff
13 Clark was not at that meeting.

14 Q. I see. So the Oval Office meeting, there's a photo
15 that the Department has produced at that meeting. And it seems
16 to show that the participants, in addition to the President,
17 were Mark Meadows, Patrick Philbin,
18 Pat Cipollone, yourself and Mr. Rosen. Was

19 there anyone else there?

20 A. No, that was everyone who was at that meeting.

21 Q. And who initiated the meeting?

22 A. Not us. I don't remember exactly how it was
23 communicated to the DAG to come over to the White House. I
24 think the President returned from Florida that afternoon. And
25 shortly after his plane landed, the Acting Attorney General

1 was contacted and told to come over to the White House, with
2 me, specifically.

3 Q Did the Clark letter come up during the meeting?

4 A. Certainly, Clark came up. I don't know if we
5 specifically discussed the letter. But we discussed various
6 election allegations and the more general issue of whether the
7 Department leadership should be changed.

8 Q. And so this was one of the meetings where there was
9 a discussion about the possibility of installing Mr. Clark in
10 place of Mr. Rosen; is that right?

11 A. It was part of the discussion, yes.

12 Q. And was that discussion a discussion that involved
13 the President? Did it involve Mark Meadows?

14 A. It involved everyone in the room, yes.

15 Q. What was the President specifically saying about
16 should the Department's leadership be changed and
17 Jeffrey Clark?

18 A. The way I recollect it at that point, the
19 President's frustration was increasing. The conversation was
20 becoming more contentious. The
21 President said, as I reflected in the notes from the
22 27th, "People tell me I should get rid of both of you.
23 You're not doing your jobs. People tell me I should
24 put Jeffrey Clark in." That sort of response or statement.

25 And we essentially said, "Mr. President, you

1 should have whatever leadership you want, but we're going to
2 tell you the Department operates on facts and evidence. It
3 doesn't matter who the leader is. That's how the Department
4 is going to act, and it's not going to change the outcome."

5 Q. Did the Supreme Court -- did the idea of the
6 Supreme Court action come up during this meeting?

7 A. Yes. The President was very frustrated that we told
8 him that, in our view, the United States Department of Justice
9 did not have standing to bring such an action. Again, I can
10 understand the
11 President's frustration on this point.

12 He kept saying "How can that be? How is that
13 possible? You represent the American people. The American
14 people don't want to be harmed by all this fraud, and you're
15 telling me you can't file an action to protect the rights and
16 interests of the American people."

17 And we repeatedly said no and DAG Rosen tried
18 to explain why, but the President was very frustrated on that
19 point.

20 Q. In other words, he wanted you to bring that
21 action, and you were telling him you couldn't?

22 A. Generally, yes. I mean, that wasn't a point where
23 he said "I'm instructing you to bring this action," but he did
24 say, you know, "What's going on with this brief?"

25 He would reference other people, like Mr. Olsen,

1 you know. "They tell me that this is a slam dunk. They tell
2 me you guys can just walk this right into court, and you're
3 refusing to do it."

4 And we said, "No, we cannot walk it into
5 court. We do not have standing. We've asked the OSG to look
6 at this. We've asked the OLC to look at this. And there are
7 very specific reasons why we don't believe we have standing to
8 bring such an action."

9 He responded by saying, "How is that
10 possible? How can that be?"

11 It went on like that for some time.

12 Q. I have just a little bit of time left in this round.
13 So instead of asking you to look at or authenticate a bunch
14 more documents, let me just short-circuit some of my questions
15 on Mark Meadows a bit, by referring to an email that you sent
16 in which you used the phrase "pure insanity." I'm happy to
17 introduce that.

18 I think you probably know what I'm talking
19 about. And maybe you can just sort of, generally, in my
20 remaining minute or two, kind of give a flavor for -- give a
21 flavor of the outreach that seemed like it was increasingly
22 coming Mr. Rosen's way, in particular, from Mark Meadows, with
23 emails about things like Italygate, various allegations in
24 Georgia.

25 How did you -- what was your reaction to

1 that?

2 A. I'm familiar with the emails that you're talking
3 about. My comment about "pure insanity" related specifically
4 to the video that had been forwarded. I don't know if Mark
5 Meadows looked at that video. I have no idea. But that was
6 on New Year's day.

7 The referrals were continuing to come. Some
8 of them were pretty farfetched, as this one was. And when I
9 looked at the video, I think it was about a 20-minute YouTube
10 video or something like that, it struck me as being fairly off
11 the wall, in part because it was very conclusory and it did
12 not really offer evidence.

13 It basically told a story about how the CIA
14 and British intelligence and actors in Italy were using
15 military satellites to change votes in swing states and so on.
16 And it just seemed not only unbelievably improbable, but even
17 worse. So my "pure insanity" response was specifically
18 directed at the video and the claims in the video as opposed
19 to say the Chief of Staff or the Chief of Staff passing it
20 along or anything like that.

21 With regard to the subsequent one relating to
22 Georgia, where the Acting AG said "Can you believe this," his
23 frustration, I think was at the fact that they, at least the
24 Chief of Staff, they in the White House believed that Jeff
25 Clark should be involved in any of this stuff. It was

1 strange, especially at that point, for them to be saying "Have
2 Jeff Clark look into this, or can Jeff Clark look into this."

3 That, I took as the real frustration point in
4 that email exchange. It had to do with voter signature
5 verification, and I responded sort of meshing two things.
6 Voter signature verification is a more substantive concern
7 than Italian military satellites. So I suppose, in that
8 regard, it was better.

9 But, again, this idea of sending Jeff Clark
10 down to Georgia to do some sort of firsthand investigation of
11 voter signatures, that's just not the way the Department
12 operates.

13 Ms. Zdeb. I see that my time for this round
14 is up.

15 Mr. Donoghue, I know that you have a 2:00
16 hard stop, and that we are -- I see your counsel is shaking
17 his head.

18 Mr. Andres. We can talk about that after.

19 Ms. Zdeb. Okay. I was just going to suggest
20 if we were strictly trying to adhere to that hard stop that we
21 can take a shorter break or just keep going. But if you would
22 prefer to take a break now, and then maybe talk off the record
23 about --

24 Mr. Andres. We can take a 15-minute break or
25 so. I don't want to speak for Brad or anybody else. But if we

1 can just have 15 minutes, I don't think we need anything more.
2 There's a lot of people making a nasty face at me, but we
3 don't need a long time for lunch.

4 Ms. Zdeb. Okay. I apologize. We can go off
5 the record now, if we haven't already. It's 12:39.

6 (Discussion off the record.)

7 Ms. Zdeb. It is 1:03. We can go back on
8 record. And I will turn it over again to my colleagues on
9 Senator Grassley's staff.

10 Mr. Flynn-Brown. Thank you, Sara.

11 And, Mr. Donoghue, thank you for your time
12 today. I'm probably going to take up less than one hour in
13 this questioning and then hand it back over to Sara for her
14 time.

15 So what I'd like to do is move to Minority 5.
16 I believe this is Exhibit 13, Bates-stamped -714.

17 (Exhibit 13, document, was
18 marked.) Mr. Donoghue. Yes.

19 BY MR. FLYNN-BROWN.

20 Q. Okay. So I believe that you may have addressed this
21 earlier in your testimony. So forgive me if we're retreading
22 right now, but I just want the record to be precise here.

23 In the middle of the page, I believe it says,
24 correct me if I'm wrong, "Thinks he saw trucks move ballots to
25 shredding location."

1 It's not clear to me who "he" is. But next
2 the notes say, "Cobb County-woman who worked at facility
3 testified at the Georgia Senate hearing that she saw shred
4 trucks at election location."

5 Were these allegations investigated by the
6 Justice Department and the FBI?

7 A. So this was relayed to us by Jeff Clark. And Jeff Clark
8 apparently talked to one or two individuals.
9 It's not entirely clear to me from this. And so this may
10 relate -- I'm not entirely certain as I sit here. This may
11 relate to the shredded ballot truck in Georgia. But I don't
12 know if there was more than one allegation about that.

13 But to the extent there were allegations in
14 Georgia, BJay Pak's office handled those. So I believe that
15 they were aware of the testimony in the Georgia Senate hearing
16 as well as other allegations. And they, along with the FBI,
17 were looking at those.

18 Q. So you said BJay Pak handled these allegations?

19 A. Yes. BJay Pak was the U.S. Attorney there.

20 Mr. Flynn-Brown. Okay. Let's now turn to --
21 Exhibit 14, Minority 2, Bates-stamped -598 to -601.

22 (Exhibit 14, email, was marked.)

23 Mr. Donoghue. Yes, I have it.

24 BY MR. FLYNN-BROWN.

25 Q. So this is a December 30th, 2020, email from Cleta

1 Mitchell to Mark Meadows, in which she sent the petition filed
2 in Georgia and a press release, and Meadows then forwards that
3 email to Mr. Rosen.

4 And in that email, Meadows says, "Can you
5 have your team look into these allegations of wrongdoing?
6 Only the alleged fraudulent activity. Thanks, Mark."

7 Are you aware of whether or not the Justice
8 Department and FBI reviewed and investigated these allegations
9 specific to this document?

10 And if you need time to review it, you can
11 have it, obviously.

12 A. Yeah, I don't recall specifically, as I sit here
13 now, these allegations. But, again, our general practice was
14 to pass these things along to the U.S.
15 Attorney's Office, if they didn't already have it.

16 Mr. Flynn-Brown. Okay. All right. Let's go
17 to Minority 4. This would be Exhibit 15, Bates -675.

18 (Exhibit 15, email, was marked.)

19 BY MR. FLYNN-BROWN.

20 Q. Let me know when you're there.

21 A. I'm there.

22 Q. Okay. So Mark Meadows emails Rosen on January 1,
23 2021, and says in part, "Can you forward this list to your
24 team to review the allegations contained herein?"

25 And the allegations related to New Mexico

1 ballot security issues, according to the subject line on this
2 email.

3 Do you recall whether this was floated your
4 way? You're not on this email, but do you remember this
5 ballot security issue in New Mexico and whether that was
6 investigated by the DOJ or FBI?

7 A. I don't remember that as I sit here. I don't
8 remember New Mexico being an issue.

9 Q. Okay. Was it common practice for Meadows to flip
10 DOJ these types of emails, in essence to say, "Hey, you guys,
11 you need to look into this," so on and so forth?

12 A. I think so. As I said earlier, my general
13 impression was to the extent that things ended up on the Chief
14 of Staff's desk, I think his desire was to get them, you know,
15 referred out. So I suppose he could say "I referred it to
16 DOJ."

17 Q. Understood.

18 So was Meadows fairly deferential to DOJ in
19 that regard? He's forwarding you things, and is he deferring
20 to your judgment and expertise after he sends this information
21 your way?

22 A. I think that's a fair characterization.

23 Q. You had mentioned earlier when Sara was questioning
24 you, you guys got into a little bit of a discussion with
25 respect to the White House contact policy and how Clark may

1 have violated that.

2 With respect to that policy, generally
3 speaking, White House contact policy for DOJ did not preclude
4 communications between DOJ and the White House. It limited
5 who and the circumstances for those communications; is that
6 correct?

7 A. That's right.

8 Q. Now, did Trump's efforts to push the DOJ and FBI to
9 be more aggressive in investigating election fraud and related
10 crimes -- or, excuse me, and election crimes work to make them
11 more aggressive than they would have been absent those efforts
12 from the President?

13 A. No. I would say it had no impact --

14 Q. No impact?

15 A. -- on what we were going to do. We did what we were
16 going to do, regardless.

17 Mr. Flynn-Brown. I'd like to introduce into
18 the record -- you don't need to comment on this, I'm not going
19 to ask you about it, but I just want the record to reflect
20 this.

21 These are statements that Senator Grassley
22 made publicly regarding January 6, 2021.

23 And, Sara, these are all public. And I'd ask
24 that these be included as not witness exhibits, per se, but in
25 the appendix, I'd like these two documents to be included in

1 the final record.

2 The first one is a January 6, 2021, press
3 release from Senator Grassley titled "America Must Be
4 Better Than This."

5 And the second one is a January 6, 2021,
6 press release as well. So he issued two on that day.
7 And this one is titled "Grassley's Statement on
8 Electoral College Certification."

9 I would like those two to be included into
10 the interview record.

11 Mr. Donoghue, at this point, I don't have any
12 further questions for you. I may have some depending upon my
13 colleague's questioning, so I'll reserve the time that I have.

14 But I want to thank you for your time today. And I
15 understand you served in the United States military. So on
16 behalf of Senator Grassley, DeLisa and I would like to thank
17 you for your service to the country.

18 Mr. Donoghue. Thank you.

19 Mr. Flynn-Brown. So for the time being, I
20 think we're done with our line of questioning, but we do
21 reserve our time if we need to jump in at the end of this
22 interview.

23 So, Sara, I'm going to hand it over to you. Ms.

24 Zdeb. Sure.

25 And as the spouse of a fellow former JAG, I

1 suppose I will add my thanks to Josh's thanks.

2 Would you guys like another quick break, or
3 are you good to keep going?

4 Mr. Andres. I think we're good. Thank you.

5 Ms. Zdeb. Terrific. So it's 1:11, and we
6 will start our next round.

7 Now that I understand we are not quite as
8 pressed for time, for the sake of completeness and because I
9 don't think we have done so already, I'm going to go ahead and
10 officially introduce the document that was labeled Majority
11 18. And we are now, I think, up to Exhibit 16, so we'll call
12 it Exhibit 16.

13 (Exhibit 16, email, was marked.)

14 Ms. Zdeb. This is the -- actually, I'm
15 sorry. I'm going to back up a second.

16 Majority 17, which will be Exhibit 16.

17 BY MS. ZDEB.

18 Q. This is the January 1st, 2021, exchange between you
19 and Mr. Rosen that you and I were talking about right before
20 our last break. It's the "pure insanity" email. We talked a
21 bit about your reaction to the video and why you viewed it as
22 pure insanity, but I want to ask you about another portion of
23 the exchange with Mr. Rosen. And that's where he indicates to
24 you that after -- at some point after he received the video he
25 was, quote, "asked to have the FBI meet with Brad Johnson,"

1 who was the individual who made the YouTube video.

2 Do you know who asked Rosen, Mr. Rosen, to
3 have the FBI meet with Brad Johnson?

4 A. I don't know. He may have told me that day.
5 But if he did, I don't remember as I sit here.

6 Q. Do you know -- is there anything further that you
7 can tell us about the circumstances of that request?

8 A. Well, it was January 1st, and so I was at my
9 apartment in Washington. The Acting AG, I believe, was at
10 home. And so these emails flowed throughout the day, and
11 there were calls throughout the day. So he was sort of
12 keeping me updated.

13 But aside from generally going back and forth
14 and him calling me and saying what was going on, I don't
15 remember the specifics beyond what's in the email here.

16 Q. Mr. Rosen went on to indicate that he had learned
17 that Brad Johnson -- again, the individual in the YouTube
18 video -- was working with Rudy Giuliani and that he, Mr.
19 Rosen, "Reaffirmed yet, again, that he would not talk to
20 Giuliani about any of this."

21 Do you know to whom Mr. Rosen reaffirmed that
22 he wouldn't talk to Rudy Giuliani?

23 A. Sorry, I don't specifically remember. He would have
24 to say.

25 Q. Were you aware at the time of some efforts to

1 encourage Mr. Rosen or others in the Department to meet with
2 Mr. Giuliani?

3 A. I think in relation to this, yes. I do remember
4 this comment about "it was taken as an insult." His refusal
5 to meet with Giuliani was taken as an insult. So I do
6 recollect that, but I can't remember the specifics beyond what
7 I see on the page here about Rudy Giuliani.

8 Q. Is it possible that it was Mark Meadows who made the
9 request?

10 A. I'd be speculating, but, sure, that's possible.

11 Q. Do you have a sense of -- I mean, I think I can
12 imagine, but do you have a sense based on your discussions
13 with Mr. Rosen as to why he refused, apparently, to meet with
14 Mr. Giuliani?

15 A. As I said earlier, there's sort of a standard way
16 that these things are handled, and the FBI field office does
17 intake -- U.S. Attorney's Office does intake so on and so
18 forth. It didn't surprise me at all that he was refusing to
19 meet with Rudy Giuliani. I don't imagine any scenario where
20 he would have agreed to do that.

21 So I think he was just saying, "Tell them
22 they can follow the regular course. Rudy Giuliani certainly
23 knows how to bring these things to the Justice Department. He
24 can go to the FBI field office. He can go to the U.S.
25 Attorney's Office. He knows how to do this. He's not going

1 to get an audience with the Acting Attorney General."

2 That was all consistent with his approach
3 throughout this time period.

4 Q. I'm curious, because you indicated, in response to a
5 question from Josh just a few minutes ago, that at the end of
6 the day, the Department didn't take any particular actions in
7 response to all of the outreach that we have been discussing
8 from the White House, that it would not have -- that it would
9 not have taken anyway.

10 I take your point, but I'm sort of curious,
11 just based on your lengthy experience as a career prosecutor
12 and a member of the Department's leadership, whether this sort
13 of course of conduct, the repeated outreach from Mark Meadows,
14 the repeated outreach from the President, changed the
15 Department's actions at the end of the day or not.

16 Would you characterize this as kind of ordinary
17 course, or was this somewhat unusual in your view?

18 A. It was definitely unusual. But, again, I had never
19 operated at that level in the Department in other
20 administrations. So it would be difficult for me to compare
21 one to another. But we certainly thought it was unusual. But
22 at the same time, I can say with confidence that it didn't
23 change anything we did.

24 You know, they were free to raise things. And to
25 the extent they raised things we were unaware of, such as the

1 five -- over 250,000 supposed difference in Pennsylvania
2 votes, you know, we looked at them, obviously. But no matter
3 what these conversations were, no matter what the phone calls
4 were, no matter what the meetings were, we did our job.

5 And I don't think that them calling or
6 calling us in or anything else changed the way we did it. It
7 just made it a difficult circumstance, obviously.

8 Q. What was difficult about it?

9 A. Obviously, the fact that you're getting pulled over
10 to the White House, you know, distracts from your day-to-day
11 work. And so while, at the end of the day, it didn't change
12 what we did, the Acting Attorney General and I and others in
13 the Department had plenty of other things to focus on at that
14 time.

15 Ms. Zdeb. Let me now jump to Majority 18,
16 which will be Exhibit 17, I think. And this is the follow-up
17 to the email we were just discussing.

18 (Exhibit 17, email, was
19 marked.) BY MS. ZDEB.

20 Q. It's the January 2021 email from Mr. Rosen to you
21 forwarding the email in which Mark Meadows alerts you to
22 supposed allegations of signature match anomalies in Georgia,
23 and says, "Can you get Jeff Clark to engage?"

24 And I think you were saying before the break
25 that that -- the invoking of Jeff Clark's name, in particular,

1 in this circumstance, was not viewed well by Mr. Rosen. In
2 this instance, you know, we have talked on a number of
3 occasions at this point about the
4 DOJ White House contacts policy.

5 Would you agree that this request from
6 Meadows was not consistent with that policy?

7 A. I'd have to look back in the policy to see who on
8 the White House side is authorized to have these types of
9 communications, but I believe the Chief of Staff is. I know,
10 certainly, the White House counsel is.

11 So I'm not entirely certain, but I don't
12 think it's all that unusual to have the Chief of Staff
13 communicating to someone who, in effect, is a cabinet member
14 at that point, right. But I think that the reference to Jeff
15 Clark specifically is what led to the
16 Acting AG's response.

17 Q. And just for clarification, and you're obviously
18 free to pull up what I think is Exhibit Number 1, which is the
19 email you sent way back in November attaching copies of the
20 contacts policies, but the White House Chief of Staff is not
21 among the individuals who are authorized to have those sorts
22 of communications with the Department, which is why I asked.
23 You're correct that the White House counsel is, but the Chief
24 of Staff is not among that universe.

25 And can I just -- before getting back to the Jeff

1 Clark aspect of this, I did just want to return to the
2 contacts policies. Again, just to make sure that -- just to
3 make sure that we're covering kind of both of the two aspects
4 of that policy, that I think you and I discussed at the very
5 beginning of the interview.

6 So the first portion of it, and this is the
7 one that Josh was just asking you about a few minutes ago, is
8 the portion that places limitations on who can have
9 communications. So, in other words, limitations on which
10 people in the White House, which people in the Department.

11 But I think when you and I were initially
12 talking, you agreed that there's a second component to the
13 policy as well, which is that it operates to limit the
14 circumstances in which those sorts of communications can
15 happen at all.

16 Do you remember that general concept?

17 A. Right. Yes, I do remember that.

18 Q. And I have always understood the reason for that
19 second portion of the policy, in particular -- but the policy
20 in its entirety as well -- is really to minimize the prospect
21 of undue political influence in the Department's law
22 enforcement activities.

23 Would you agree with that kind of assessment
24 of the purpose?

25 A. Yes, I think that's one of the major considerations.

1 Q. And so when the White House Chief of Staff or even
2 the President himself raises concerns about particular
3 allegations of election fraud, wouldn't you agree,
4 particularly if it's repeated outreach, as it seems to have
5 been the case here, wouldn't you agree that that starts to
6 implicate that second portion of the policy as well as the
7 overall purpose of trying to limit undue political influence?

8 A. Yeah, I think those concerns are implicated,
9 absolutely. I don't think that the President is bound to
10 either of these policies, but you're right. It's a legitimate
11 concern, and I think those concerns are implicated.

12 Q. And I know my colleague Josh, at some point earlier
13 during the interview, asked you a question to the effect of,
14 "Well, isn't it the President's responsibility as the head of
15 the executive branch to supervise the Department of Justice."
16 And you agreed with that concept.

17 But would you also agree that the President's
18 role as head of the executive branch and supervisor of
19 agencies, including DOJ, it exists in tandem with these
20 principles enshrined in the contacts policy?

21 So, in other words, he's responsible for
22 supervising DOJ, but there are also limitations designed to
23 ensure that he is not unduly influencing the conduct of law
24 enforcement operations in a way that politicizes them?

25 A. Yes, I would agree with that get.

1 Ms. Zdeb. Let's move on to the January 2nd
2 meeting that I understand you and Mr. Rosen had with
3 Mr. Clark. This is Majority 19.

4 (Exhibit 18, notes, was marked.)

5 Mr. Donoghue. I have it.

6 BY MS. ZDEB.

7 Q. And I think we are now up to Exhibit 18. Are these
8 your handwritten notes?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. There is a notation on -- well, actually, let me
11 take a step back.

12 Do you remember exactly when on January 2
13 this meeting took place?

14 A. It was sometime in the afternoon. This was
15 Saturday. So I was home, "home" meaning my apartment in D.C.
16 And Acting AG Rosen called me and said that he was going to
17 meet with Jeff Clark, but he did not want to meet with him
18 alone and asked if I could come to the apartment. So I said
19 of course, and I immediately left the Department.

20 I probably got there 15 minutes after the
21 call or something like that. And then we went up and we had
22 this meeting on the sixth floor in the SCIF.

23 Q. Do you know why he didn't want to meet with
24 Mr. Clark alone?

25 A. I don't think he said specifically, but I think it

1 was fairly obvious that this is becoming a very unusual
2 situation, and it would be better to have everyone involved.

3 I mean, we were the Department leadership at
4 this time, and this was becoming more of a concern about the
5 potential for a leadership change. And this was sort of a
6 follow-up to the December 28th meeting.

7 Q. We talked a bit earlier about Mr. Clark's request to
8 be briefed by ODNI on election-related issues. And it seems
9 from your notes at the top that a meeting of that sort took
10 place. There's this notation saying "DNI briefing, no
11 evidence of ballot tampering, influence only."

12 Was that something that Clark was reporting
13 to you based on a briefing he had had from ODNI?

14 A. He had a briefing from ODNI. And one of the things
15 I wanted to nail down was that he had had the briefing that he
16 requested. And that based on the information he was provided,
17 there was no reason in the
18 IC to question the outcome.

19 Q. How did he react to this?

20 A. He acknowledged that, but then sort of moved right
21 past it and said, "Fine, but there's all these other things
22 going on." And he began to talk about Georgia and how he had
23 spoken to this individual in Georgia. And then got back to
24 the letter and said, you know, "Based on a discussion with
25 this individual in

1 Georgia and the things that came up in the Georgia
2 Senate hearing, that we should send the letter."

3 Q. And there are a couple of notations in here, if I'm
4 reading the notes correctly, to the United States Attorneys in
5 Georgia. So on the left-hand side, there's a little notation
6 saying, "Acknowledges that he did not call BJay Pak as he was
7 told to."

8 If I'm reading that correctly, can you
9 explain why he had been told to reach out to U.S.
10 Attorney Pak?

11 A. Right. You are reading it correctly. Keep in mind
12 there were communications between Jeff Rosen and Jeff Clark
13 that I was not a party to in this time frame. But,
14 essentially, when he was complaining about Georgia and these
15 things that had supposedly gone on in Georgia, the Acting AG
16 was getting a little exasperated and said, "Jeff, we've looked
17 at these things. BJay Pak looked at them. You're acting like
18 they haven't been looked at. Just call BJay. Call him and
19 he'll tell you that we've looked into these things."

20 And at some point, he provided BJay Pak's
21 cell phone number to Jeff Clark and told him to call him.
22 And at the outset of this meeting, I said to him -- just to
23 make a record and make sure we understood the context in
24 which we were having a conversation, "Did you get the briefing?
25 What was the result? Did you call BJay Pak?"

1 And he said "No, I haven't called BJay Pak,"
2 which I just noted there because I felt it was relevant.

3 Q. Did he say why he didn't call him?

4 A. No. He just said that he did not.

5 Q. Then there's a notation saying "Then called
6 Bobby Christine, but nothing done."

7 Did you have an understanding about what that
8 outreach to U.S. Attorney Christine involved?

9 A. I don't believe that was Jeff Clark calling Bobby
10 Christine. I think this is a reference to the individual
11 described above. It says, "Largest bail bondsman in Georgia
12 and owns phone company."

13 So this is the individual to whom Jeff Clark
14 spoke. And he's saying that he, that individual, the bail
15 bondsman, called the head of GBI, which is the Georgia Bureau
16 of Investigations. They were not interested in his reporting.

17 He, again, that individual, the bail
18 bondsman, then called Bobby Christine. Nothing done.

19 And then the reference to the right of that
20 is "Conducted surveillance on warehouse, et cetera." This is
21 talking about that individual or maybe people who worked for
22 him. It wasn't entirely clear to me.

23 Q. So he was raising these points about Georgia, the
24 surveillance, the outreach to Bobby Christine by way of,
25 again, advocating for the Department to send his letter. Is

1 that correct?

2 A. Right. He was focused on Georgia, I suppose,
3 because the letter was, at least, initially, directed to
4 Georgia. And he said, "See, there's all these things going on
5 in Georgia. There were still all these questions. There were
6 still all these allegations. We need to get that letter out."
7 Something to that effect.

8 Q. Partly down the page, you have a notation "This is
9 entirely unacceptable," which I imagine is something that
10 either you or Mr. Rosen said in response. Is that accurate?

11 A I said it. This conversation became very heated.

12 Q. And what -- when you made clear that it was
13 unacceptable, and presumably that the Department would not be
14 moving forward with his letter, how did he react?

15 A. He just sort of shrugged. I said a number of
16 things, "entirely unacceptable" being one of them, but I
17 reminded him that I was his boss, that he was apparently
18 continuing to violate the White House contact policy, that
19 that letter was never going out while we were in charge of the
20 Department. And I sort of orally reprimanded him on a number
21 of points, including reaching out to witness, and "Who told
22 you to conduct investigations and interview witnesses," and
23 things like that.

24 I was getting very heated. And he then
25 turned to Acting AG Rosen, and he said, "Well, the President

1 has offered me the position of Acting Attorney General. I
2 told him I would let him know my decision on Monday. I need
3 to think about that a little bit more."

4 Q. Did he say when he had received that offer from the
5 President?

6 A. Not specifically, no.

7 Q. How did you leave the meeting?

8 A. So this was Saturday. He said that he had been
9 offered the position by the President. He was going to let
10 the President know on Monday, that he was going to continue to
11 think about it. And that he would let Jeff Rosen know his
12 decision.

13 As I said, it was a very heated meeting, and
14 we all just left there with that being the situation, that we
15 were expecting to hear sometime between then and Monday as to
16 what Clark was going to do, whether that was really going to
17 happen, and so on and so forth.

18 Q. At some point while this is all unfolding, and
19 particularly after he has informed you that he was been
20 offered the position of Acting AG by the President, did you
21 and Mr. Rosen begin to widen the circle beyond yourselves and
22 Mr. Engel, and read other department officials into the
23 prospect, or the likelihood, even, that Mr. Rosen would be
24 replaced by Mr. Clark?

25 A. Well, after we left the sixth floor, we went down to

1 the Acting AG's office and we sat there for some time talking
2 about this. We may have called Steve Engel, I'm not sure,
3 frankly. I think Pat Hovakimian was also sort of in that
4 circle.

5 Again, the communications between Pat and Acting AG
6 Rosen, I was not always privy to because they had a personal
7 and professional relationship. And I know there were
8 conversations going on there. But we decided to keep a close
9 hold because it still wasn't clear what was going to happen.

10 And that changed the following day, of
11 course. But at that point on the 2nd, you know, we discussed,
12 "Do we now call everyone in? Do we tell them what's going
13 on?"

14 And we went back and forth a little bit, and I
15 think ultimately the Acting AG said, "Look, we don't know
16 what's going to happen. Let's just ride out tonight and see
17 how this goes."

18 That's how we left it at that point.

19 Q. So at the end of the 2nd, you were anticipating that
20 the following Monday, which was the 4th, you would learn what
21 Jeffrey Clark had decided to do. But then as you just alluded
22 to, it seems that things changed the following day. And on
23 Sunday, the 3rd, there was some sort of conversation or
24 meeting between Mr. Clark and Mr. Rosen.

25 Is that your recollection?

1 A. Yes, that's right.

2 Q. And were you a participant in that meeting, or was
3 it just the two of them?

4 A. Not the first discussion. I think there were
5 actually at least two discussions that I was not part of that
6 day. Those both preceded the meeting at the
7 White House.

8 Q. And presumably, you came to have some understanding,
9 though, of those discussions.

10 A. I did.

11 Q. And what was your understanding of them?

12 A. We had a meeting scheduled, a call, for 1:00 that
13 Sunday afternoon. The meeting was amongst various agencies --
14 DOD, DHS, Interior, DOJ and others -- in relation to
15 preparation for January 6th. That was already on the
16 calendar. I was going to come into the Department to do that
17 call from my office or the DAG's office. So we were already
18 scheduled to be in the office that afternoon.

19 I came a little before the 1:00 meeting,
20 maybe 12:30 or so, quarter to 1:00, something like that. I
21 came into the DAG's office. Obviously, it was very quiet. It
22 was a Sunday afternoon. No one else was really around. And
23 the DAG was pretty exasperated, and he said, "I spoke to Jeff
24 Clark." It appeared to be just shortly before that. And he
25 said that "Clark says that he decided to take the President up

1 on his offer, that he's going to be the Acting AG, but he
2 wants to have one last face-to-face conversation with me
3 before he tells the President."

4 It was then time to do the call. We did the
5 call with DOD and the others, talked through some of
6 the preparations for January 6. And when that was over, he
7 filled me in some more on their conversation. And so he said,
8 "So Clark wants a one-on-one conversation with me." It was
9 clear to me at that point, Jeff Clark did not want me involved
10 in any of these conversations.

11 And I said, "Well, sir, do you really want to
12 meet with him alone?"

13 And he said something to that effect of, "Look,
14 I've known this guy for decades. I'm going to meet with him
15 alone. That's fine."

16 He then met with him. I was in my office. I
17 think they met somewhere in the building. I did not see Jeff
18 Clark at all until the Oval Office meeting.

19 Jeff Rosen told me that in the discussion
20 they just had, Jeff Clark asked him to stay on as his Deputy
21 Attorney General. And basically said, "Can you believe the
22 nerve of this guy?" Something to that effect.

23 He was exasperated. And we both just sort of
24 shook our heads. And I kind of said, "Well, I guess that's
25 it. Are we going to find out in a tweet?"

1 Like, how are we going to find out about it?

2 And he said, "Well, I think he's going to go
3 over to the White House or call him or something, and I guess
4 we'll find out this afternoon."

5 At that point, I went I back to my office and I
6 began taking things off the wall and put them in boxes,
7 because I told the Acting AG I would immediately resign.
8 There was no way I was going to serve under Jeff Clark. Began
9 taking things off the wall, putting them in boxes. I did that
10 for a short time.

11 He came over and he said "I talked to Pat,"
12 by which he meant Pat Cipollone, "I talked to Pat. Pat said,
13 in his view, this is not a done deal, that we should go to the
14 White House and fight this thing out."

15 And we talked about that, and he said, "Look,
16 if there's any shot of us stopping this from happening,
17 I think we need to go to the White House."

18 And I said, "That's fine. I agree with
19 that."

20 And then he had a series of conversations
21 with people, and he would come back and report to me on them.
22 He said that Jeff Clark had agreed to meet at the White House
23 with DAG Rosen, but only on the condition that only DAG Rosen
24 showed up from the Department and no one else.

25 And as we got closer to that time, there was

1 some discussion about timing and such, and it was decided that
2 the meeting would take place in the Oval Office at 6:15 that
3 evening.

4 As we got closer to that, I said, "What do
5 you need me to do? What can I do to help," essentially. And
6 I think he also called Pat Hovakimian in.

7 And he said, "Well, you know, I think it's
8 time to let everyone know what's going on. So can you do a
9 call with the AAGs and let them know what's going on? It
10 would be interesting to know if they would resign. Because
11 that might help inform the conversation at the White House, if
12 that's going to be their reaction."

13 So Pat and I set up that call. We got most
14 of the AAGs, not all of them. We got them on the phone in
15 Pat's office. I described for the AAGs what the situation
16 was, what had been going on over the last week. They, of
17 course, didn't know this.

18 And I said to them at the outset, "I don't
19 need a decision right now, but I need a decision quickly. I
20 don't want to put anyone on the spot. What I'd like you to
21 do, I'm going to tell you what's happening here. And if you
22 can make a decision in the next 15 minutes, call me, call Pat,
23 email us and tell us what you would do. If you can't, I
24 understand that.
25 That's fine."

1 I also said to John Demers, "John, as the AAG
2 for the National Security Division, I hope you do not resign
3 because we need you to stay in place. We need NSD to have
4 stability, especially if the entire department of leadership
5 disappears."

6 Before the call was over, the AAG for civil
7 rights, Eric Dreiband said, "Well, I don't need to think about
8 this. I am telling you right now, I'm leaving. There's no
9 way I am standing for this."

10 Then other people began to chime in. I said,
11 "Again, I don't want to put anyone on the spot. You guys can
12 let us know."

13 But, essentially, everyone responded either
14 during the call or immediately thereafter that they would
15 resign. And so we then had that information.

16 I went back to -- Pat and I went back to the Acting
17 AG. We explained to him what the reaction had been among the
18 AAGs. He said fine. He was getting ready to go to the White
19 House.

20 I said, "What do you want me to do?"

21 He said, "I want you to come to the White
22 House."

23 I said, "All right. But didn't Clark say he
24 wouldn't participate if anyone else from the Department was
25 in?"

1 Rosen said, "I don't care what Jeff Clark
2 wants. I want you to come to the White House. You know these
3 issues better than I do in terms of the election
4 investigations."

5 I noted that I was not dressed for a visit to
6 the White House. I was in jeans, boots, covered in mud
7 because I had been walking on the Mall earlier in the day. I
8 had an Army T-shirt on. I hadn't shaved in four days. So I
9 said, "Should I go change?"

10 He said, "No, there's no time for that."

11 And he said, "Look, I'll have you sit outside
12 the Oval Office. If a question comes up I can't answer, I'll
13 pull you in. But, otherwise, you can just sit outside."

14 "Fine."

15 We then got into the vehicles and went over
16 to the White House. We arrived there I think about 6 p.m. We
17 went to the White House Counsel's Office and spoke very
18 briefly to Pat Cipollone and Pat Philbin, and then we headed
19 to the Oval Office where I sat outside on a couch.

20 As I was on the couch, the Acting Attorney
21 General went into the Oval Office. I saw
22 General Milley walk by. I think he had just left the
23 Oval Office. And shortly after that, I saw the Chief
24 of Staff walk by, who just nodded at me and said "Good luck"
25 and walked on his way.

1 I sat there for about 25 minutes. I was
2 watching the news. They were talking about the Raffensperger
3 call. I think that was breaking news that afternoon.

4 After about 25 minutes, one of the
5 administrative assistants walked by and said, "Are you
6 supposed to be in this meeting with the President?"

7 I said, "No, I'm just here to answer any
8 questions if a question comes up no one else can answer."

9 She then disappeared for a minute, turned
10 around and came right back and said, "The President want you
11 in this meeting."

12 And I walked back and went into the Oval
13 office.

14 Q. I'm going to turn it over to my colleague, Mr.
15 Charlet, in just a minute. I know he has some questions. He
16 is going to ask about the Oval Office meeting.

17 But I first wanted to go back to just the
18 process of getting the AAGs together in the lead-up to that
19 meeting. We have seen a draft of a resignation letter from
20 Mr. Hovakimian that mentions both of your names.

21 Is that something that you saw at the time?

22 A No. I think I saw that for the first time yesterday.

23 Ms. Zdeb. I'll turn it over to Joe to ask
24 some questions about the Oval Office meeting.

25 Mr. Charlet. Thank you, Sara.

1 Can everyone hear me? I just want to make
2 sure.

3 BY MR. CHARLET.

4 Q. So after you were called into the meeting, can you
5 give us just a general overview of how the meeting took its
6 course? And then we can go from there.

7 A. The meeting lasted about two and a half hours after
8 I entered, so it was presumably three hours for the other
9 participants. When I came in, seated in the Oval Office was,
10 of course, the President behind the desk. Then there was Pat
11 Cipollone, White House counsel; Pat Philbin; Jeff Rosen; Jeff
12 Clark; Steve Engel and myself.

13 When I came in, I first apologized to the
14 President for the way I was dressed, and he said, "Yeah,
15 yeah, that's fine. Just grab a seat." And I tried to sit
16 on the couch and they
17 joked, and they said, "No, no, no. You have to sit up here
18 with the rest of us."

19 So they handed me a chair and I sat directly
20 in front of the President with Jeff Rosen to my right and Jeff
21 Clark in my left. And they were, obviously, in a
22 conversation, and I sort of joined in the conversation from
23 there.

24 It was a wide-ranging conversation about the
25 department. Focus of it was whether the President should

1 replace the leadership, whether he should remove Jeff Rosen
2 and put Jeff Clark in as the Acting Attorney General. There
3 was some discussions about the letter, obviously.

4 At that point, it was difficult to separate
5 the issue of the letter and Jeff Clark being in the leadership
6 position, because it was very clear, and he stated it
7 repeatedly, that if the President made him the Acting Attorney
8 General, he would send that letter.

9 So it wasn't as if there was a third option
10 where Jeff Clark would become the Acting Attorney General and
11 the letter would not go. They were sort of one and the same
12 at that point.

13 Essentially everyone in the room -- again,
14 the President was making the decision, was taking advice from
15 different sides here. But Jeff Clark was advocating for Jeff
16 Clark becoming the Acting Attorney General. Everyone else in
17 the room was adamantly opposed to the President taking that
18 step. And we kind of went around the room for hours
19 discussing it and telling him why this was a terrible idea.

20 Q. And was the nature of the flow of the conversation
21 more organic? Would people chime in as they had a point to
22 make, or was it a little bit more structured between Rosen and
23 Clark?

24 A. No. It was more organic. I mean, you know, for
25 whatever else you want to say, it's not difficult to talk

1 around the President. You don't feel very stilted, right. So
2 people speak their minds, sometimes loudly. And so it just
3 sort of flowed around the room with Jeff Clark making a point
4 and other people would jump in and say, you know, either
5 that's not prudent or whatever.

6 And so the conversation -- everyone just sort
7 of chimed in as we went around the room. And the President
8 would, you know, make comments throughout about the election
9 and how it was stolen, and how the American people had been
10 harmed, and how he can't believe that the Department hasn't
11 done more. And maybe if he put Jeff Clark in, the Department
12 would do more, and back and forth.

13 And he would say things like, "Well, what do I have
14 to lose? What would I lose at this point if I put Jeff Clark
15 in?"

16 And I said, "Sir, you have a great deal to
17 lose."

18 And then we kind of went around and discussed
19 about the downside of doing this. And we discussed whether
20 Jeff Clark was even qualified to serve as the Acting Attorney
21 General.

22 And we informed the President that he should
23 expect mass resignations within the Department if he did this.
24 And that -- but at one point, the President said to me "So
25 suppose I do this, suppose I take him out," meaning Jeff

1 Rosen, "and I put him in," Jeff

2 Clark, "what do you do?"

3 I said, "Sir, I'd resign immediately."

4 And then he turned to Steve Clark, and he
5 said, "Steve" -- I'm sorry, he turned to Steve Engel, and he
6 said, "Steve, you wouldn't resign, would you?"

7 And Steve said, "Absolutely, I would,
8 Mr. President. You would leave me no choice."

9 And I said, "Well, and Steve is not the only
10 one, sir. All your AAGs are going to resign. You should
11 count on that. They're going to resign, and these are your
12 people. These aren't bureaucrats left over from another
13 administration. These are your handpicked people; your
14 leadership in the Department. This is the team you sent to the
15 Senate, you got confirmed, and they are all going to walk away
16 on you at once. What does that say about you as a leader?
17 What does that say about the Department and what's going on
18 here? And you shouldn't think it's going to end there because
19 I have no idea what U.S. Attorneys will do. The U.S.
20 Attorneys may resign en mass. You may have other department
21 personnel resign. You could have a situation here, within 24
22 hours, you have hundreds of people resigning from the Justice
23 Department. Is that good for anyone? Is it good for the
24 Department? Is it good for the country? Is it good for you?
25 It's not."

1 And so we had these discussions that went on
2 and on, along those lines, for quite sometime.

3 Q. When you first brought to his attention the fact
4 that you would resign, that Engel would resign and presumably
5 other AAGs would resign, when in the conversation did that
6 first come up?

7 Was that relatively early on, or did it not
8 come up until further on?

9 A. I would say it was toward the earlier half.
10 It wasn't later, because that was an important point, right.
11 And in terms of the President saying "Well, what do I have to
12 lose? What's really the downside," I don't think he was he
13 understanding, or at least I wanted to make it clear to him
14 what the fallout would be. The ramifications would be very
15 significant.

16 So we did not tell him we had a call. We
17 didn't tell him we talked to them or anything like that. I
18 simply said, as a matter of fact, "You should expect your
19 entire leadership time to resign. And do you really want to
20 deal with that?"

21 And so I made that point earlier rather than
22 later because I thought it was important to his understanding
23 of the situation.

24 Q. Okay. And to take one step back really quickly, you
25 said at this point, it became hard to disentangle Jeff Clark

1 becoming Acting Attorney General and sending the letter.

2 But during the course of this conversation,
3 was the President also focused on other specific election
4 fraud allegations, or was it mostly focused on Clark and the
5 letter and Georgia, specifically, as it pertained to the
6 letter?

7 A. He mentioned other election allegations as he had in
8 previous conversations. But as in previous conversations, we
9 would say to him, you know, "We checked that out, and there's
10 nothing to it."

11 So we would repeatedly say "The things you're
12 being told are false," or "the things you're being told do not
13 pan out. They're not supported by the evidence." And we
14 would cite to certain allegations. And so -- like such as
15 Pennsylvania, right. "No, there were not 250,000 more votes
16 reported than were actually cast. That's not true." So we
17 would say things like that.

18 But the conversation on the 3rd wasn't so
19 much about specific allegations or how we addressed the
20 allegations or anything like that. It was focused much more
21 on the leadership change.

22 The earlier conversations were more about
23 these allegations "Are you guys really aware of them?"

24 "We're responding appropriately."

25 On the 3rd, it was down to "Should I replace

1 the acting AG or not," and that was sort of just background to
2 that conversation.

3 Q. Okay. And can you give us a sense of how the
4 conversation came to an end, how the President ultimately made
5 his decision?

6 A. It didn't appear to us that the President's decision
7 was obvious until about the last 15 minutes of the
8 conversation. I do recall Pat Cipollone earlier saying "That
9 letter," meaning the draft letter to Georgia, "is a murder-
10 suicide pact. And it will damage anyone and anything that it
11 touches."

12 And I remember some other specific comments
13 along that line, but we kind of followed up on that saying,
14 you know, "Do you want to be part of that?"

15 And so very deep into the conversation, the
16 President, who was very frustrated, and he just shook his head
17 and he said, "All right. We're not going to do this."

18 He looked at Jeff Clark and said, "I
19 appreciate you being willing to do this. I appreciate you
20 being willing to step up and take all the abuse, but the
21 reality is it's not worth the breakage. We're going to have
22 mass resignations. It's going to be a disaster. You're not
23 going to be able to get this stuff done anyway, and the
24 bureaucracy will eat you alive. So we're not going to do
25 this."

1 At that point, Jeff Clark tried to get the
2 President to change his mind. He kept saying,
3 "Mr. President, we can do this. We can get it done.
4 History is calling." Things like that.

5 And the President just sort of doubled down
6 and said, "No. No, we're not going to do it."

7 He then looked at me and said "So now what
8 happens to him," pointing at Jeff Clark.

9 And I didn't understand the question. I
10 said, "Sir?"

11 And he said, "Are you going to fire him?"

12 And I said, "No. I don't have the authority
13 to fire him. He's a Presidentially nominated, Senate-
14 confirmed AAG. I don't have the authority to fire him."

15 And he said, "Well, I'm not going to fire
16 him."

17 And I said, "Okay. Then, I guess we should
18 all go back to work."

19 And we all stood up and walked out of the
20 Oval Office.

21 Q. So you said that White House Counsel Cipollone made
22 somewhat of a strong statement about the nature of the letter,
23 that it was a murder-suicide pact.

24 I'm sorry, do you need a break?

25 A. No.

1 Q. Okay. Since, at some point during the discussion,
2 you had intimated that you and Engel and several others of his
3 leadership at DOJ might leave or take some sort of action,
4 public action if Clark was installed, did anyone from the
5 White House staff make any indications similarly, maybe not
6 quite that far, but just anything along those lines?

7 A. Yes, they did. I think Pat Cipollone said,
8 "There's no way I'm going to be here if this happens."

9 I mean, there was no "might." It was very
10 clear. We will resign. And I think Pat then jumped in and
11 said the same thing. "I'm not going to stay around here for
12 this."

13 Steve Engel at one point said "Jeff Clark
14 will be leading a graveyard. And what are you going to get
15 done with a graveyard," that there would be such an exodus of
16 the leadership.

17 So it was very strongly worded to the
18 President that that would happen.

19 Q. And during this meeting, did U.S. Attorney
20 Pak come up in any way?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. And who brought him up? Was it the President
23 himself?

24 A. I think someone else mentioned something about
25 Atlanta, something to the effect of,

1 "Mr. President, we've looked at all this stuff. We've looked
2 at this. We looked at that. We looked at Atlanta. And, you
3 know, these things are not supported by evidence."

4 And then he said, "Oh, right. Atlanta. Of
5 course."

6 And he had a piece of paper on his desk. He
7 said, "Atlanta, Atlanta, no surprise there. They didn't find
8 anything. No surprise because we have a never-Trumper there
9 as U.S. Attorney."

10 And I had no idea what he's talking about,
11 and he looked at the piece of paper, and he said, "Oh, yeah,
12 BJay Pak is a never-Trumper. How did this guy get in my
13 administration? He never should have been here in the first
14 place."

15 And then he read out a quote from the piece
16 of paper that he was holding, which was purportedly a quote
17 from BJay Pak criticizing the President about his messaging
18 and how it impacted the Republican Party's ability to recruit
19 minorities and minority candidates, I believe.

20 I had never heard this quote before. I had
21 no idea what he was talking about. And I just said something
22 to the effect of, "Mr. President, I don't even know what that
23 is. I have no idea what you're talking about. But all your
24 U.S. Attorney's were vetted. So whatever BJay is, I don't
25 think he's a never-Trumper, whatever that is."

1 And I said "Oh, no, no. He is. He is.
2 He's a never-Trumper."

3 He was fixated on that for a short period of
4 time. And he looked up at me and he said, "I want you to fire
5 him."

6 I said, "Mr. President, I'm not going to fire
7 him. There's no reason to fire him."

8 And he said "Then I'm firing him."

9 And I said, "Well, before you do that,
10 understand that I talked to BJay a couple of days ago, and he
11 is submitting his resignation tomorrow morning," which would
12 have been Monday morning.

13 Pat Cipollone stepped in and said, "We're not
14 firing someone who is resigning in a few hours."

15 And the President said, "That's fine. I'm
16 not going to fire him, then. But when his resignation comes
17 in, it's accepted. Tomorrow is his last day as
18 U.S. Attorney."

19 And Pat Cipollone then said "Fine. Set that
20 aside," and then we got back into the rest of the
21 conversation.

22 Then the President said, "What do you know
23 about Bobby Christine?"

24 Bobby Christine is a United States Attorney
25 in the Southern District of Georgia.

1 I said, "Bobby Christine is a great U.S.
2 Attorney."

3 I didn't understand where he was going with
4 this. And he said, "I hear great things about him."

5 And I said, "Yes, he's a great U.S.
6 Attorney."

7 He said, "I want Bobby Christine to run the
8 Northern District of Georgia."

9 And I said, "Mr. President, Bobby Christine
10 is already running the Southern District of Georgia. BJay Pak
11 has a first assistant who will step in when BJay leaves."

12 And he said, "No, I think Bobby should run
13 this, because if he's good, he'll find out if there's
14 something there," something to that effect.

15 And then he yelled out to one of his
16 administrative assistants "Get Bobby Christine on the phone."

17 Within a few moments Bobby Christine was on
18 the phone. He was clearly caught completely offguard by this
19 phone call.

20 The President said, "Bobby, it's
21 President Trump. I'm sitting here with Jeff and Rich and
22 other people, and BJay Pak is leaving Northern
23 District. Would you be willing to run the Northern
24 District for the next few weeks?"

25 And Bobby sounded confused and -- but he

1 said, "Mr. President, I'll do whatever is needed."

2 And he said, "Great, Rich will give you a
3 call later and explain everything."

4 And hung up. That was it. It was a very
5 short phone call.

6 Q. I'll return to that subject in just a minute. But by
7 the end of this meeting, once President Trump had indicated
8 that he would not replace Acting Attorney General Rosen with
9 Clark, did he direct you or anyone else or did anyone else at
10 the White House such as the Chief of Staff direct you or
11 anyone at the Department to take any further actions in regard
12 to any election fraud allegations?

13 A. No. It was clear at that point that that was sort
14 of over. You know, the President said repeatedly, pointing at
15 Acting AG Rosen and me, he said, "These two aren't going to do
16 anything. We all know it. I know it. They're not going to
17 get anything done."

18 But, nonetheless, that was his decision, and
19 that was kind of the end of it.

20 Q. So following this meeting at the Oval Office, you
21 and Hovakimian convened a meeting of DOJ senior leaders. What
22 was the purpose of this meeting?

23 A. To inform them that Jeff Clark was not going
24 to be put in as the Acting AG.

25 Q. And was this a particularly long meeting? Was there

1 a debriefing of some sort, or was it more conveying that
2 direct information?

3 A. It was pretty much that. I'm sure we gave a brief
4 description of the meeting in the Oval Office. It was probably
5 a 20-minute call or so. The Acting AG was on that call, so it
6 was the three of us in his office. We convened everyone on
7 the call and just said we just spent three hours in the Oval
8 Office, and this is the outcome.

9 Q. Okay. And did anyone in the group in particular
10 discuss any sort of follow-up actions? And by "the group," I
11 mean the DOJ senior leadership.

12 A. No, I think everyone was just relieved that it had
13 come out that way, and tomorrow is Monday morning and we're
14 going to get back to work.

15 Q. Okay. So I'd like to move on -- unless Sara has a
16 question she'd like to interject -- to shortly after that, you
17 emailed U.S. Attorney Pak to -- and asked him to call you
18 ASAP.

19 Why did you do that?

20 A. So that I could inform him of the part of the
21 discussion that related to him. And I told him, obviously,
22 not about the whole conversation, but I told him about the
23 "never-Trumper" claim by the President.
24 I told him about the quote.

25 And he said, "Yeah, I did say that. That was

1 from before the election. I said that back in 2016, when I
2 was serving in the legislature in Georgia, and I was
3 criticizing him. And -- but I became U.S. Attorney anyway."

4 I said, "Well, all I can tell you is he was
5 going on and on about how you're a never-Trumper. You should
6 have never been in the administration, that this was all news
7 to him. And that he wanted to fire you, but he decided to
8 accept your resignation effective tomorrow when you put it
9 in."

10 Now, I also told him, pursuant to the
11 discussion that Jeff Rosen and I had after the Oval Office,
12 that he was free to stay in the Department.
13 Because what the President said was he was done being U.S.
14 Attorney tomorrow. Jeff Rosen said you know, "If it's helpful
15 to BJay, we can keep him in the Department for like another
16 two weeks, give him time to clear out his office and say his
17 good-byes and all that stuff. He would not be the U.S.
18 Attorney, but we would keep him in the Department as a
19 Schedule C employee."

20 So I explained that to BJay. I said,
21 "Listen, Jeff Rosen wants you to know that you can
22 stay. You can't be U.S. Attorney after tomorrow. You can
23 stay. If it's more helpful, we will keep you on the payroll.
24 You can take your time cleaning out your office. It would be
25 more graceful, et cetera."

1 He said, "All right. I appreciate that. Let
2 me think about it, and I'll let you know."

3 He called me at about, I think, 4:30 the next
4 morning. He was on the road. He said, "I'm on my way to the
5 office. I'm going to clear out my office. Tell Jeff I
6 appreciate the offer, but I'm going to go. If the President
7 wants me out, I'm just going to go."

8 So he went and cleared out his office and
9 left.

10 Q. And earlier when we were discussing the Oval Office
11 meeting, you had indicated that you and Pak had previously
12 discussed his resignation, that he had already planned to
13 resign.

14 About when did that take place, when did Pak
15 inform you of this?

16 A. Probably Tuesday or Wednesday of that week.
17 That was routine because of the U.S. Attorneys were all
18 informing me as to their departure plans. Some of them had
19 already left. Some of them had stayed until the end, whatever
20 it was, but that was not unusual.

21 BJay said, "Hey, Monday morning, I'll send
22 you my resignation."

23 "Okay. Fine."

24 That had nothing to do with investigations or
25 election or anything. It was just a routine departure of U.S.

1 Attorneys.

2 Q. Okay. So there was no indication on Pak's part that
3 there was a specific impetus besides the end of the
4 administration that was leading him to resign earlier?

5 A. Yeah, that's all it was.

6 Q. Were you or Rosen personally dissatisfied with Pak
7 or his performance in Georgia as an U.S.
8 Attorney?

9 A. No. I certainly wasn't. I don't think Jeff Rosen
10 was. I think he was in a difficult position. I think sort of
11 what I described earlier about the conflict between ECB, the
12 Election Crimes Branch, and others, some of that was going on
13 throughout the Department. And I think that -- BJay would
14 have to explain this for himself, but I think his own people
15 were dragging their feet a little bit in investigating these
16 things. And so -- but he got it done.

17 When I asked him, he said, "Yeah, we looked
18 at the video. We did the interviews."

19 So he got it done. And I was confident he
20 was doing his job.

21 Mr. Charlet. Thank you, Mr. Donoghue. I
22 will turn this back over to my colleague, Sara.

23 BY MS. ZDEB.

24 Q. Can I just go back to the Oval Office discussions?

25 Mr. Flynn-Brown. Sarah, I apologize for

1 interrupting. But we're wondering, it was our -- it was
2 represented to us that there was a 2 p.m. hard break here.

3 Ms. Zdeb. Yes.

4 Mr. Flynn-Brown. What's the status here? Because
5 we're beyond 2:00, and we altered some of our questions to hit
6 the 2:00 p.m. deadline.

7 Ms. Zdeb. So as I understood our discussion
8 shortly before the lunch break, Mr. Donoghue and his counsel
9 indicated they had a little bit more wiggle room. So I
10 personally just had one or two more questions, and then we are
11 done for purposes of our side. And then, obviously, you're
12 welcome to --

13 Mr. Flynn-Brown. I appreciate that. Thank
14 you.

15 Ms. Zdeb. Sure.

16 BY MS. ZDEB.

17 Q. So just back to the discussion about U.S.
18 Attorney Pak in the Oval Office and the characterization of
19 him being a never-Trumper. You described that, and then you
20 went on to describe the discussion you had with the President
21 about how, ordinarily, the Acting U.S. Attorney would be the
22 first assistant, but he seemed insistent on, instead, putting
23 Bobby Christine into that role.

24 And I just wanted to make sure I heard one
25 thing you said correctly. You said the President said

1 something to the effect of "I've heard great things about
2 Bobby Christine, and if I put him in, he'll do something about
3 it."

4 Is that what you said?

5 A. Something to that effect. Of course it's not a
6 quote, but he said something like, "Well, if this guy is good,
7 maybe something will actually get done."

8 Q. And by "something getting done," what did you
9 interpret him to mean?

10 A. That there would be some sort of investigation that
11 hadn't been done. But as I had told him repeatedly, the
12 Department's looked at it. They did their job in the Northern
13 District of Georgia.

14 Q. Just one concluding question, and then I think we
15 are going to be done for our side unless we have any follow-up
16 after any additional questions by our colleagues on Senator
17 Grassley's staff.

18 So we've discussed today a number of
19 different examples, email communications, phone calls,
20 meetings in which various White House officials and outside
21 allies of the President, for lack of a better term, brought
22 election fraud allegations to your attention; in some
23 instances, asked the Department to look into them.

24 Other than the examples that we have
25 discussed today, were there any additional instances in which

1 you were personally approached either by someone in the White
2 House or an outside ally of the President with election fraud
3 allegations or a request that you look into something?

4 A. There were so many other things that we looked into,
5 as I sit here now, I don't recollect anything offhand that
6 came from the White House. But there were other matters, some
7 of which were public, some of which were not, that the
8 Department, obviously, was looking at. And some of which came
9 up in the course of these discussions.

10 So, for instance, there was an allegation of
11 a truck driver who had driven a truckload of ballots from New
12 York to Pennsylvania and things like that. We looked at it.
13 We did not find that to be supported by evidence. And so that
14 was mentioned in passing, but as one of the examples of things
15 that we had done and had not been supported by the evidence
16 that was developed.

17 So there were things like that, but I don't,
18 as I sit here now, recollect off the top of my head specific
19 instances that were referred to us by the
20 White House.

21 Ms. Zdeb. Thank you. And with that, I think I am
22 right at an hour, and that will conclude this round of
23 questioning for our side.

24 Josh, I'm happy to turn it back to you if you
25 have an additional round. And then we'll assess whether we

1 have anything else. But if we do, I anticipate that it would
2 be very short.

3 Mr. Flynn-Brown. Just a couple follow-up
4 questions here.

5 BY MR. FLYNN-BROWN.

6 Q. So with respect to the January 3rd meeting, and I
7 appreciate the detail that you went into in your explanation,
8 it sounded like President Trump, given the length of the
9 meeting and the number of people in there, and based on your
10 description, that this was a very open and frank discussion
11 about the risks and pitfalls of some of these decisions that
12 could have been made.

13 Is that an accurate representation?

14 A. Yes. It was definitely very open and frank.

15 Q And you said it lasted approximately three hours?

16 A. It lasted two and a half hours after I joined, and I
17 think they were in there for 25 minutes before I went in.

18 Q. So during the course of those discussions, did you
19 feel like President Trump took your and your colleagues', with
20 the exception of Jeff Clark, took your concerns very seriously
21 and gave them the due weight that you would have wanted them
22 to be given?

23 A. He did. And in the end, he made the decision that
24 we felt was appropriate.

25 Q. And when he made that decision -- you may have

1 answered this, so forgive me if this is retread. But what was
2 Jeff Clark's reaction when Trump rejected his letter and
3 rejected the idea of him being at the top of the Department of
4 Justice?

5 A. He was extremely disappointed. When we walked out
6 of the Oval Office, we all went over to the box to get our
7 phones out. And he said something to the effect of, "I know
8 we were all in there just doing what we thought was best for
9 the country. So no hard feelings," or something like that.
10 No one responded.
11 We all just looked at him.

12 Q. So Jeff Clark then had a firmly held belief that
13 what he was doing was the right way, was the right approach?

14 A. That's what he said.

15 Q. So after that meeting then, what kind of
16 interactions and relationship did all of you have with Jeff
17 Clark at that time, or was he effectively iced out?

18 A. I only saw him once after that. I don't think I had
19 any discussions or any interaction with him other than that
20 one incident, and that was a brown-bag lunch where the AAGs
21 were invited to the DAG conference room for sort of a final
22 brown-bag lunch in the last days of the administration. He
23 showed up, and he sat at the end of the table.

24 And I said to Acting AG Rosen, after it was
25 over, I said, "I'm sure the only reason he came here was to

1 make sure we weren't talking about him."

2 Q. Do you know if Jeff Clark continued to interact with
3 President Trump after this January 3rd meeting?

4 A. I don't know. I think I -- I didn't say a word to
5 him during the brown-bag lunch. I don't think I said a word
6 to him or had any communications with him from the time we
7 walked out of the Oval Office.

8 Q. After the January 3rd meeting, did you hear about
9 anything relating to that again?

10 In other words, basically, the question is
11 once the decision was made, it was made; there was no going
12 back. Is that correct?

13 A. There was no going back. It appeared to me that
14 decision was done. And, you know, in very short order, we
15 were dealing with January 6.

16 Q. I see. Yeah, so you guys moved on.

17 A. Yeah, that and the inauguration were all consuming
18 from that point.

19 Q. You mentioned that BJay Pak was going to resign on
20 January 4. Is that the correct date?

21 A. That's the day he was going to submit his
22 resignation letter. I did not know, and he never told me,
23 when he intended it to be effective.

24 Q. And the President said, "Okay. Once he submits that
25 resignation letter, I want it accepted immediately"?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And then BJay Pak had an opportunity to walk back
3 that planned resignation, stay at the Department. And he chose
4 not to walk that resignation back; is that correct?

5 A. Not so much to walk the resignation back, but
6 to remain in the Department as something other than the U.S.
7 Attorney.

8 Q. I see. So he would have transitioned to a different
9 post. He would still be within the Department?

10 A. Right.

11 Q. Okay. I'm going to highlight for the record, Mr.
12 Donoghue -- you don't have to address this. But there was
13 some discussion about communications between the President and
14 members of law enforcement within government.

15 I want to highlight a September 30th, 2020 --
16 and incorporate it by reference here, I guess, if you want to
17 call it that -- September 30th, 2020, Senate Judiciary
18 Committee hearing with Former Director Comey, where Comey
19 said, under questioning from Senator Grassley, that Comey
20 provided information to President Obama in the summer of 2016
21 with respect to the Russia investigation, which we all know is
22 Crossfire Hurricane.

23 And then, of course, you have the infamous
24 January 5th, 2017, meeting in the Oval Office with Obama,
25 Comey, Biden, Sally Yates and Susan Rice where they discussed

1 Lieutenant General Flynn and withholding information from the
2 Trump transition team, with respect to what at that time they
3 believed to be risks attendant to Lieutenant General Flynn.

4 Of course, the Obama administration refused
5 and failed to give any defensive briefing or heads-up to the
6 Trump campaign and transition team, and they proceeded to
7 investigate them.

8 So if we're going to be talking about
9 communications with the White House and DOJ and FBI officials,
10 the record with respect to Crossfire Hurricane ought to be
11 mentioned in the same breath when it comes to those types of
12 communications. And we all know how Crossfire Hurricane ended
13 up.

14 So, with that, I'm going to reserve my time
15 in case we need to jump in again.

16 But, Sara, back to you.

17 Ms. Zdeb. As much as I always enjoy
18 revisiting Crossfire Hurricane, I think we are complete in
19 terms of our questions on this side. So, Mr. Donoghue, thank
20 you very much.

21 Mr. Andres. Sara, excuse me.

22 We just wanted to clarify the record and
23 supplement it with one additional answer that I think wasn't
24 followed up on, but just wanted the record to be complete
25 about one other discussion that Mr. Donoghue had with the

1 President that wasn't asked about.

2 Ms. Zdeb. Of course.

3 Mr. Donoghue. Just to be completely clear, I
4 think I was asked about a conversation that I had with the
5 President. I mentioned that the President called me on
6 December 14th, the day that Attorney General Barr submitted
7 his resignation.

8 The President called me late that afternoon
9 on my cell phone and -- well, let me back up a moment.

10 After AG Barr returned from the White House, I went
11 to his office and asked him essentially how it went, because I
12 had learned, while he was gone, that he was submitting his
13 resignation. He essentially said it went as well as it could,
14 that he had delivered the letter to the President. And he
15 said, "The President is thinking about who will be the Acting
16 Attorney
17 General."

18 And I said, "Presumably, Jeff Rosen will be
19 the Acting Attorney General."

20 And he said "Yes, maybe. But your name came
21 up as well. So you should be prepared to get a call from the
22 President. You should be prepared to get called over to the
23 White House. And you should think about, if that offer is
24 made, whether you'd want to do that."

25 He said "Think about it tonight because I

1 wouldn't be surprised if you get called over there tomorrow
2 morning."

3 I went back to my office, and very shortly
4 thereafter, my phone rang. It was the White House operator.
5 They put the President on. We had a very brief conversation
6 where he said, "Did you hear that
7 Bill is leaving?"

8 I said, "Yes, Mr. President. I saw him when
9 he returned from the White House. I understand you had a good
10 meeting."

11 He said, "Yes, yes, good meeting. He gave me
12 this great letter. So that means I need an Attorney General.
13 I think you would be great at that. Would you want to serve
14 as the Attorney General?"

15 And I essentially said "Thank you, Mr. President.
16 I appreciate that, but I really think it would be in the
17 best interest of the country, the Department, and you to
18 follow the regular course."

19 And he said "Well, does that mean Jeff would
20 become the Acting Attorney General? That's the regular
21 course."

22 And I said, "Yes, pursuant to the statute
23 when the Attorney General leaves, the Deputy Attorney
24 General steps into the position. He's a great lawyer.
25 He'll do a great job."

1 And the President asked, "Will you stay until
2 the end?"

3 And I said, "I have no plans of leaving
4 before the administration is over. I'll be here to the end."

5 And he said, "So you'll serve as his deputy?"

6 Jeff Rosen and I had already discussed that,
7 and I said, "That's my expectation."

8 And he said, "Great. I'll call Jeff."

9 I then left. I went up to AG Barr's office. I told
10 AG Barr about that conversation. I then went downstairs to
11 tell the DAG. When I entered the DAG's conference room, his
12 phone was ringing. He picked it up. He said, "It's the White
13 House." He went into his office.

14 Then he came out and he told me that the President
15 asked him to be the Acting Attorney General, I congratulated
16 him, and we went on from there.

17 So I just didn't want that to come up later
18 at some point, and have anyone say "Why didn't you tell the
19 staffers or the committee about this conversation?"

20 BY MS. ZDEB.

21 Q. I appreciate that. Thank you.

22 Just a couple of quick follow-ups. I'm
23 curious if you were either given a sense by Attorney General
24 Barr, or had your own impression at the time, as to why the
25 President might be interested in having you serve as Acting

1 Attorney General as opposed to
2 Mr. Rosen?

3 A. No, I didn't know. I had no contact with the
4 President for a very long time before that. That's -I had one
5 meeting at the Oval Office on December 4. It had nothing to
6 do with elections. It was one brief conversation. But I had
7 no contact with the President throughout 2020, and I think
8 almost all of 2019.

9 So I didn't understand why he thought that
10 was a good idea. And AG Barr didn't let me know why he
11 thought that might be an issue.

12 Q. Did you have any sense that the President was
13 somehow unhappy with Jeff Rosen?

14 A. No, not at all.

15 Ms. Zdeb. Josh, anything else?

16 Mr. Flynn-Brown. I do not. And, again, I
17 want to thank you, sir, for your time today. Much
18 appreciated.

19 Mr. Donoghue. Thank you all. I appreciate
20 your professionalism in this.

21 Ms. Zdeb. And we thank you very much for
22 making yourself available on somewhat short notice and
23 voluntarily.

24 It is 2:23. And unless there's anything else, we can go
25 off the record.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Certificate of Deponent/Interviewee

I have read the foregoing ____ pages, which contain the correct transcript of the answers made by me to the questions therein recorded.

Witness Name

Date

WORD INDEX

< 0 >

0063 19:12, 15

< 1 >

1 10:16 11:4, 5
100:18 125:22
134:18
1:00 144:12, 19, 20
1:03 123:7
1:11 129:5
10 52:20 71:24
83:25 106:10
10:24 45:14
1001 5:11
11 11:13 14:13
106:9 113:6, 7
11/9/20 66:18
11:00 52:20
11:37 83:11
12 8:14 113:6
114:20, 21
12/27/20 36:8
12:30 144:20
12:34 73:5
12:39 123:5
13 15:18 114:19
123:16, 17
14 15:19 26:6
124:21, 22
14th 16:10 53:18
176:6
15 26:9 71:17
79:3 123:1 125:17,
18 137:20 147:22
157:7
15,000 19:10
15-minute 122:24
15th 31:3, 8, 22
38:22, 23 39:11, 21
41:24 51:3, 7
16 129:11, 12, 13, 16
17 129:16 133:16,
18
18 5:11 129:11
133:15 137:4, 7
18th 34:25
19 137:3
1992 8:3
1993 8:5
1st 129:18 130:8

< 2 >

2 14:20, 21, 22
100:23 124:21
137:12 168:2
2:00 122:15 168:5,
6
2:23 179:24

20 79:3 97:14
2000 8:12 87:18
2004 87:17
2011 8:15, 21
2015 113:2
2016 165:1 174:20
2017 113:2 174:24
2018 7:20 8:25
2019 179:8
2020 2:7 6:16
7:13, 21 9:4 10:13,
16, 19 11:13 12:23
46:6, 17 51:7 54:7
56:3, 7, 24 65:25
66:6 69:25 70:9
73:5, 11 77:8, 12
78:21 79:8 80:21
82:14 90:1 96:18
97:24 106:14
110:8 124:25
174:15, 17 179:7
2021 1:13 46:21
47:8 51:6 125:23
127:22 128:2, 5
129:18 133:20
205,000 42:5, 7
20-minute 121:9
164:5
21 10:21 33:20
2-1 51:3
21st 34:2, 12
23 7:13
23rd 36:17
24 154:21
25 69:24 150:1, 4
171:17
250,000 133:1
156:15
27 89:23
27th 38:23 51:13,
25 86:11 90:5
93:11 101:23
118:22
28 46:6, 17 90:1
96:18
28th 51:24 52:18
117:5, 7 138:6
29 106:14
2nd 104:18 117:8
137:1 143:11, 19

< 3 >

3 34:22 46:21
47:8, 18 117:5
30 37:10 38:9
115:1
3-0 38:9, 10
30th 124:25 174:15,
17
3-1 51:3, 5

31st 30:19 31:8, 23
51:3, 7 116:22
117:1, 11
3rd 50:6, 24 51:6
52:19 106:4 117:8
143:23 156:18, 25
171:6 173:3, 8

< 4 >

4 34:21 35:23
37:2 57:17 86:10
125:17 173:20
179:5
4:30 166:3
4:40 102:8
4:48 96:18
4th 143:20

< 5 >

5 35:22 36:20
45:23 46:1 57:15,
17, 22 62:23, 25
123:15
5.25 62:23
5:50 100:4 102:8
500 8:23
598 124:21
5th 174:24

< 6 >

6 1:13 70:4, 5
83:17 89:10, 13
127:22 128:2, 5
145:6 149:16
173:15
6:15 147:2
600,000 42:12
601 124:21
675 125:17
68 15:25 16:16
19:14 20:5 21:14
22:8 28:7, 20
680 11:8
681 12:14
689 35:3
6th 144:15

< 7 >

7 45:23 69:25
70:8 73:5 80:20
82:13 89:11, 14, 15,
16, 20
714 123:16
736 57:23
737 43:7 58:15
60:4 86:14
738 60:24 61:11
62:7 86:22
739 62:7
740 88:1

741 63:9
744 45:24 46:15
745 46:7
750 45:25

< 8 >

8 89:17, 18, 24
96:11
8:00 52:1
8:15 52:2
8:42 89:23 90:11
93:10 94:4
82 8:9

< 9 >

9 96:14, 15
9:05 1:17 2:2
9:10 6:9
92 8:4
94 78:23
9th 66:10

< A >

a.m 1:18 2:2 6:9
73:5
A/AG 46:21 47:1
AAG 46:22 97:4
105:8, 11 112:6
148:1, 6 158:14
AAGs 13:6 14:1
97:1 147:9, 14, 15
148:18 150:18
154:10 155:5
172:20
ability 23:20 67:8
94:21 160:18
able 21:7 23:25
61:20 71:4 76:11
112:1 157:23
absent 105:6
127:11
absentee 72:13
Absolutely 56:4
60:3 136:9 154:7
abuse 157:20
accept 165:8
acceptable 12:18
accepted 48:12
161:17 173:25
accessed 99:16
accounting 50:23
accuracy 22:2
accurate 26:4
36:12 47:1, 10
48:5, 11 54:21
58:20 84:21
141:10 171:13
acknowledged
138:20
Acknowledges 139:6
act 22:17 119:4

Acting 7:1, 3, 6, 7
27:6 36:16 37:18
47:2, 11, 23, 25
49:13 56:5, 9
61:13 63:21 66:12
74:6 97:4 100:6,
12 102:3, 9 103:17
105:6, 9, 11, 14
111:17 114:11, 13
116:6 117:25
121:22 130:9
132:1 133:12
134:16 137:16
139:15, 17 141:25
142:1, 20 143:1, 5,
15 145:1 146:7
148:16 149:20
152:2, 7, 10, 16
153:20 156:1
157:1 163:8, 15, 24
164:5 168:21
172:24 176:15, 19
177:20 178:15, 25
action 16:6 44:2
56:2, 6 68:1 74:7
96:19 105:6
113:12 115:4, 11,
24 119:6, 9, 15, 21,
23 120:8 159:3, 4
actions 22:18
74:21 76:18
108:25 115:23
132:6, 15 163:11
164:10
active 8:5, 6
activities 3:16 50:2
135:22
activity 49:22 50:9
73:7, 11 74:1
75:14 125:6
actors 121:14
ad 77:21
adamant 42:19
adamantly 48:24
152:17
add 5:23, 24 129:1
added 42:13
addition 98:16
117:16
additional 69:20
86:12 99:19
169:16, 25 170:25
175:23
address 41:11
100:3 174:12
addressed 123:20
156:19
addressing 17:1
adhere 122:20
administration
154:13 160:13

165:6 167:4
172:22 175:4 178:4
administrations
132:20
administrative
102:15 150:5
162:16
admitted 8:4
admitting 39:18
advance 39:20
113:20
advancing 41:6
advice 48:6, 8, 12,
17, 19 49:19, 21
50:5, 7, 9, 16, 17
55:3, 8, 22 152:14
Advised 108:11
Advisory 94:16
Advocate 8:5
advocating 48:22
140:25 152:15
afternoon 3:4
16:12 37:4, 7
51:25 101:17
106:3 107:4
117:24 137:14
144:13, 18, 22
146:4 150:3 176:8
AG 10:2 13:2, 6
16:12 17:7 18:10,
15, 25 20:13, 14
23:4 24:23 25:24
29:9 32:14 35:6, 9,
16 36:17 53:18
55:25 57:4 63:18
66:9, 18 67:2, 9, 11,
13 68:15 69:15
74:6 75:6 97:7
102:3, 9 103:17
114:13 116:6
121:22 130:9
137:16 139:15
141:25 142:20
143:5, 15 145:1
146:7 148:17
157:1 163:15, 24
164:5 172:24
176:10 178:9, 10
179:10
agencies 59:24
136:19 144:13
agency 110:6
Agent 52:22 53:2,
10 65:7, 8 78:4
agents 69:12
agent's 53:11
aggressive 76:10
127:9, 11
ago 20:3 84:16
92:2 132:5 135:7
161:10

agree 12:8 59:21,
23 114:1 134:5
135:23 136:3, 5, 17,
25 146:18
agreed 14:1 28:20
105:20 131:20
135:12 136:16
146:22
AG's 13:25 23:12
81:3 84:25 134:16
143:1
ahead 14:18 26:5,
9 28:22 104:2, 8
113:4 116:22 129:9
aim 31:13
air 30:4
Airborne 8:9
akin 107:22
Alabama 64:3
alerts 133:21
alive 157:24
allay 59:19
allegation 23:15
39:13 40:3 42:8
44:13 53:19, 22
55:12 70:23 71:2
72:8, 22 77:24
78:6, 14 79:14
80:10 96:4 108:17
112:11, 14 124:12
170:10
allegations 9:9, 10
15:1 22:4, 6, 18
23:13 27:20, 24
34:12 35:2 37:11,
17, 18, 24 39:10
40:1, 2 42:5 43:15,
17 44:17 54:19, 21
55:5, 9, 10, 20, 23
56:16 58:11, 25
59:5, 6, 12 64:4, 20,
23, 25 67:22 70:8,
10 73:1 74:13
77:19 78:21 79:9
93:13 95:5, 6, 9
97:23 118:6
120:23 124:5, 13,
16, 18 125:5, 8, 13,
24, 25 133:22
136:3 141:6 156:4,
7, 14, 19, 20, 23
163:12 169:22
170:3
alleged 62:6 125:6
allegedly 61:1, 17
Allied 15:17 19:2,
13, 18 25:14 26:3
28:16
allies 31:13 87:9
169:21

allow 105:18
114:14
alluded 143:21
ally 170:2
alpha 61:12
alter 33:24
altered 168:5
altering 76:9
America 128:3
American 20:17, 23
21:7 22:3 31:18,
19 32:6, 8 33:4, 12,
13 39:12 60:2
68:17 115:12, 25
119:13, 16 153:9
amount 3:22 60:16
68:23
Amundson 66:1
73:5 81:10 82:9,
13 83:18
A-m-u-n-d-s-o-n
66:2
analysis 35:13 61:6
analyzed 22:7
Andres 4:20, 21
83:9 122:18, 24
129:4 175:21
Andrew 18:4
angry 42:25
announced 10:17
16:11 26:12
anomalies 133:22
answer 5:1, 4 54:3
55:14 62:21 77:16
108:21 149:12
150:7, 8 175:23
answered 37:8
52:3 172:1
answers 5:16 180:3
Antell 3:12
anticipate 4:8
171:1
anticipating 143:19
anticipation 14:12
Antrim 15:2, 22
16:1 18:3 19:5, 10
23:11 25:17 27:19
28:5 29:17, 21
31:7 35:1
A-n-t-r-i-m 15:22
anybody 122:25
anyway 23:9 72:20
132:9 157:23 165:3
apartment 52:1
130:9 137:15, 18
apologize 51:19
90:4 92:14 113:21
123:4 167:25
apologized 151:13
apparent 54:17
58:19

apparently 72:9
124:8 131:13
141:17
appear 2:14 4:15
157:6
appearance 22:22
23:20
appearances 23:19
appeared 34:13
102:23 113:2
144:24 173:13
appearing 2:13 4:2,
17
appears 35:1
appendix 127:25
applies 5:12
apply 3:16
appointed 8:19
30:16
appointing 30:9
appointment 7:15
46:13
appreciate 2:14
4:3 63:22 157:19
166:1, 6 168:13
171:7 177:16
178:21 179:19
appreciated 179:18
apprise 80:20
approach 20:14
21:5, 11 39:16, 21
132:2 172:13
approached 170:1
appropriate 9:14
12:3 21:23 49:25
53:5 80:4 84:19
100:13 171:24
appropriately
156:24
approval 65:20
69:8, 10, 14
approve 79:19
approving 100:22
approximately
62:23 171:15
April 6:19
area 64:12
Arena 44:20 45:5
70:10, 15
argument 85:5
95:21
Army 8:5 149:8
arose 16:4, 9 62:24
arrange 100:18
arranging 100:22
arrested 112:24
arrests 112:23
arrived 149:16
articles 66:21
articulated 50:4

Arturo 109:8, 19
110:19 112:7, 23
ASAP 164:18
aside 31:1 130:13
161:20
asked 13:5 18:10
29:10 32:15 84:7
90:15 91:7 92:22
93:17 96:8 111:9,
16 112:18 120:5, 6
129:25 130:2
134:22 136:13
137:18 145:20
164:17 167:17
169:23 176:1, 4, 11
178:1, 15
asking 82:7 98:7
111:15 112:9
120:13 135:7
aspect 99:21, 25
100:25 114:18
135:1
aspects 39:5
113:12 135:3
assemble 46:13
assembled 25:11, 22
assess 67:3 170:25
assessment 56:1
85:17 135:23
assistance 53:3
60:5
Assistant 8:13, 17
11:12 13:6 24:7
47:25 48:1 104:25
162:11 168:22
assistants 102:16
150:5 162:16
Associate 6:15, 25
7:16, 22 9:3
assume 47:4, 5, 7
61:19 77:16
assumed 90:20
assurance 22:2
assurances 22:21
Atlanta 52:24
53:13 54:13
159:25 160:2, 4, 7
attached 15:1, 18
35:6, 11 115:1
attaching 11:13
93:12 134:19
attachment 35:8
attachments 15:5, 7,
9 24:2
attempt 68:14
attempts 2:7
attendant 175:3
attended 26:21, 22
attending 26:10
attention 15:10

155:3 169:22
attitude 71:11
Attorney 6:15, 25
 7:1, 4, 7, 8, 13, 16,
 17, 19 8:1, 13, 20,
 25 9:3, 12, 15 10:1,
 22 11:12 14:25
 16:10 20:8 23:21
 24:13 25:22 26:11
 33:20, 21 35:12, 17
 36:16 37:18 47:2,
 11, 24, 25 48:2
 49:13 56:5, 9, 19,
 23 57:6 61:14
 63:21 64:7 65:4
 66:1, 7 72:24
 73:21 74:17 75:6
 84:25 85:14 86:4
 89:21 94:7, 11, 16,
 19, 20 95:4, 18
 100:4, 6, 12 101:16
 104:25 105:6, 14
 106:17 111:17
 113:9 114:11
 117:25 124:19
 132:1 133:12
 139:10 140:8
 142:1 145:21
 149:20 152:2, 7, 10,
 16 153:20 156:1
 159:19 160:9
 161:18, 24 162:2, 6
 163:8 164:17
 165:3, 14, 18, 22
 167:8 168:18, 21
 174:7 176:6, 16, 19
 177:12, 14, 20, 23
 178:15, 23 179:1
Attorneys 9:18, 22
 13:7 15:3, 9, 14
 16:24 17:15, 18
 24:3, 23 25:2 26:8
 37:19 78:25 94:9,
 13, 15 139:4
 154:19, 20 166:17
 167:1
Attorney's 9:11
 10:6, 11 21:2 43:5
 54:13 64:1, 3, 24
 65:2, 11, 13, 22
 69:2, 9 71:8 73:23
 74:5, 8 78:8, 10, 12,
 23 80:4, 8 125:15
 131:17, 25 160:24
attributed 81:23
audience 132:1
audio 62:2
audit 19:21 21:12
AUGUST 1:13
AUSAs 78:23

authenticate 120:13
authored 19:22
authorities 7:12
 71:16
authority 9:12
 16:25 41:15 56:18
 65:20 68:21, 24
 69:8 73:18 158:12,
 14
authorization 74:24
 76:21 84:4 99:12
authorized 4:4
 16:7 21:2 67:21
 75:1 134:8, 21
available 179:22
avoided 85:11
Awan 92:18
A-w-a-n 92:18
aware 9:18, 23
 10:7, 14 13:11
 14:14 16:24 17:22,
 24 24:5 29:5, 7, 13,
 15, 24 30:1, 2
 36:15 39:12, 17
 41:25 42:16 52:25
 53:9 55:11, 15, 17,
 20 59:7, 20 80:11,
 15, 22 100:16, 21
 104:21 105:15
 108:23 109:12, 15
 124:15 125:7
 130:25 156:23
awareness 91:2

< B >

back 3:22 9:2
 13:6 18:9 20:2
 24:2 32:21, 24
 36:22 37:1 44:23
 45:11, 14 46:15
 71:4 72:7, 12, 25
 83:11 86:10 90:9
 96:5, 10 99:8, 18
 100:25 101:21
 111:11 112:5
 116:23 123:7, 13
 129:15 130:13
 134:7, 19, 25
 137:11 138:23
 143:14 146:5, 21
 148:16 150:10, 12,
 17 153:12 155:24
 158:18 161:20
 164:14 165:1
 167:22, 24 168:17
 170:24 173:12, 13
 174:2, 4, 5 175:16
 176:9 177:3
back-and-forth
 81:4 115:9

background 93:7
 101:22 111:3, 4
 157:1
backward 6:22
bad 92:14
bag 59:23
baile 140:11, 14, 17
balance 23:20
ballot 45:6 124:11
 126:1, 5 138:11
ballots 44:23 45:6
 52:13, 23 53:12
 54:2, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10
 61:18 62:18 70:18,
 19, 24 72:7, 9, 13,
 16, 21 123:24
 170:11
bar 8:4
Barb 36:21
barcoding 72:20
Barr 10:2, 17
 16:11 18:15, 25
 20:9 26:11 29:9
 32:14 33:21 35:17
 36:17 53:18 66:9
 67:2, 18, 20 69:15
 76:12, 13, 19 81:24
 85:14 176:6, 10
 178:10, 24 179:10
Barr's 10:23 13:2
 16:13 17:7 20:14
 24:23 56:1 66:18
 67:9 68:15 69:15
 76:9 86:4, 6 178:9
base 103:4
based 47:16, 18
 50:9, 20 58:21
 59:10 62:14 70:13
 71:6 73:9 76:2
 131:12 132:11
 138:13, 16, 24 171:9
basically 18:5
 28:10 39:19 48:22
 53:1 71:1 79:22
 121:13 145:21
 173:10
basis 97:6
Bates 11:7 43:6
 46:7, 15 57:23
 58:15 60:24 63:9
 86:22 125:17
Bates-numbered
 12:14
Bates-stamped
 45:24 123:16
 124:21
becoming 118:20
 138:1, 4 152:16
 156:1
began 8:12 23:1
 70:7, 19 71:25

103:5 138:22
 146:6, 8 148:10
beginning 11:7
 72:12 135:5
begins 73:4
behalf 2:12 26:8
 31:16 33:3, 4, 17
 60:1 74:22 76:14
 113:10 115:11, 12
 128:16
belief 172:12
believe 16:6 17:19
 18:3, 17 19:12
 24:9 26:25 27:1
 30:19 44:19 45:24
 54:3 58:3, 16
 60:25 63:1 66:11,
 23 69:21 70:3, 4, 7
 71:17, 23 74:3
 77:5 83:25 86:20
 87:17 100:15
 102:6 107:25
 108:18 109:23
 110:1 112:21
 113:1, 23 116:6, 22
 120:7 121:22
 123:16, 20, 23
 124:14 130:9
 134:9 140:9
 145:21 153:10
 160:19
believed 16:18
 20:6 32:1, 4
 121:24 175:3
belong 53:2
benefit 33:5, 7
 115:19, 24, 25
benefited 33:10
best 69:16 94:15
 172:8 177:17
better 37:24 38:3,
 13, 20 53:25 54:14
 64:17 97:16 122:8
 128:4 138:2 149:3
 169:21
beyond 21:13
 74:24 81:19
 130:15 131:6
 142:21 168:5
Biden 174:25
big 41:12
Bill 177:7
bin 72:16
bins 72:10, 14
Birge's 18:4
bit 14:4 21:25
 26:15 27:25 31:22
 32:25 81:6 83:19
 92:13 96:9 98:8
 101:16 105:24
 108:14 120:12, 15

126:24 129:21
 138:7 142:3
 143:14 152:22
 167:15 168:9
BJay 64:6 124:14,
 18, 19 139:6, 17, 18,
 20, 25 140:1
 160:12, 17, 24
 161:10 162:10, 11,
 22 165:15, 20
 166:21 167:13
 173:19 174:2
blaming 42:25
Board 54:3 70:15
Bobby 140:6, 9, 18,
 24 161:23, 24
 162:1, 7, 9, 12, 16,
 17, 20, 25 168:23
 169:2
boiled 84:16 85:8
bondsman 140:11,
 15, 18
boots 64:11 149:6
boss 141:17
bottom 11:8 12:13
 35:4 43:7 58:3
 60:4, 6, 24 61:12
 86:21 88:1
bound 136:9
Bowdich 70:1, 9
 75:3 80:20, 25
 82:9, 13, 14, 20, 22
 83:18
box 24:25 172:6
boxes 146:6, 9
Brad 3:9 122:25
 129:25 130:3, 17
Brady 89:21 94:11
Branch 65:18 66:4,
 5 67:9 68:11
 69:12 71:11 75:5,
 9, 13 77:6, 7 79:2
 80:2, 7 83:24
 84:18 136:15, 18
 167:12
Branch's 85:10
break 3:24, 25
 44:8 45:10 83:8
 122:21, 22, 24
 129:2, 20 133:24
 158:24 168:2, 8
breakage 157:21
breaking 150:3
breath 175:11
brief 7:24 16:22
 32:13, 18, 19, 23, 25
 52:17 119:24
 164:3 177:5 179:6
briefed 18:25 23:4
 138:8

briefing 25:23 97:7
 99:13 100:8, 9, 14,
 21, 24 103:18
 138:10, 13, 14, 15
 139:24 175:5
Briefly 8:3 89:6
 96:22 149:18
briefs 31:12
bring 92:23 115:3
 119:9, 20, 23 120:8
 131:23
British 121:14
Brook 4:23
Brothers 92:18
brought 34:12
 57:4 72:8 91:19
 155:3 159:22
 169:21
brown-bag 172:20,
 22 173:5
buffer 79:23
building 145:17
bullet 18:13 115:6,
 14 116:5
bunch 120:13
Bureau 140:15
bureaucracy 157:24
bureaucrats 154:12
business 103:6
busy 102:10

 < C >
cabinet 134:13
calculation 19:11
calendar 144:16
call 14:2 23:17
 27:11 37:3, 14
 38:14, 15, 17 39:8
 42:17 51:13, 25
 52:17 53:16, 17
 65:2 90:8, 13, 16,
 17, 22, 25 91:5, 8
 93:10 95:12
 100:18 109:5
 113:16, 23 114:1, 7,
 15 129:11 137:21
 139:6, 18, 21, 25
 140:3 143:12
 144:12, 17 145:4, 5
 146:3 147:9, 13, 22
 148:6, 14 150:3
 155:16 162:19
 163:3, 5 164:5, 7,
 17 174:17 176:21
 178:8
called 17:18, 20
 18:4 19:21 27:8, 9,
 10, 11, 14 36:8
 37:7 51:23 52:20
 53:10 65:7, 17
 77:5 90:21 106:25
 109:13 112:5
 137:16 140:1, 5, 15,
 18 143:2 147:6
 151:4 166:3 176:5,
 8, 22 177:1
calling 13:4 130:14
 133:5, 6 140:9
 158:4
calls 23:22 39:3
 51:14, 16 57:9
 82:19 101:23
 130:11 133:3
 169:19
cameras 71:3
campaign 33:5, 10,
 17 91:3 95:22
 115:11 175:6
campaigns 31:12
campaign's 31:16
candidate 33:8
 45:8 115:20, 25
candidates 95:23
 160:19
capacities 8:8
 41:13
capacity 7:7 33:8
 41:21, 22 43:18
captured 98:11
car 94:5, 23
care 149:1
career 6:19 83:20
 84:17, 23 85:2
 132:11
careful 33:11
Carmack 100:17
carry 9:13
case 6:1 40:5 41:1
 78:4 96:2 105:15
 107:19, 23 136:5
 175:15
cases 31:23 32:9
 67:24 86:5
cast 19:10 42:8
 52:14 62:25 63:5
 95:25 156:16
cat 59:22
caught 162:18
cause 73:1
caused 70:16
caveat 28:2 50:10
cc 114:25
cell 37:8 90:15, 21
 139:21 176:9
certain 9:18 11:24
 20:12 32:5 52:15
 60:16 67:24 87:16
 113:19 124:10
 134:11 156:14
certainly 39:1 40:1
 42:17 44:1 50:13
 53:4 73:22 81:5
 84:25 94:10 103:2
 117:6 118:4
 131:22 132:21
 134:10 167:9
certainty 77:14
Certificate 180:1
certification 67:23
 68:2, 4, 12 73:12
 75:15, 20 128:8
certifications 108:12
certified 42:7
 52:13 62:22 63:6
 76:6 77:13, 15
 95:25
cetera 54:8 97:15
 140:20 165:25
Chad 27:2
chain 35:3 73:23
 77:21 79:4 80:5,
 21, 22 105:3, 8, 12,
 13
Chair 2:4, 12, 21
 151:19
challenges 13:18
 87:15
challenging 31:14
 87:9
chance 80:21
change 10:24
 48:23 49:8, 10
 55:25 61:21 62:14
 86:7 104:19 119:4
 121:15 132:23
 133:11 138:5
 149:9 156:21 158:2
changed 34:14
 86:6 93:23 95:19
 118:7, 16 132:14
 133:6 143:10, 22
changing 110:8, 25
channels 12:2
characterization
 54:22 126:22
 168:18
characterize 96:22
 132:16
characterizing
 115:22
charge 47:25 48:2
 64:5 65:25 66:5
 77:7 141:19
Charles 4:22
Charlet 2:22
 150:15, 25 151:3
 167:21
check 40:6 59:25
 61:20 64:1 65:13
 111:3, 4, 18
checked 156:9
Chief 2:16 3:2, 7
 8:17, 18, 19, 23
 13:2 26:24 27:3
 56:22, 25 57:5
 64:10, 11 66:12
 100:17 106:15, 22
 107:1 108:19, 22,
 24 109:23 110:15
 111:21 121:19, 24
 126:13 134:9, 12,
 20, 23 136:1
 149:23 163:10
chiefs 78:24
chime 29:22
 148:10 152:21
chimed 153:7
China 99:18
choice 154:8
choose 4:17
chose 174:3
Christine 140:6, 8,
 10, 18, 24 161:23,
 24 162:1, 7, 9, 16,
 17 168:23 169:2
chronology 34:19
CIA 110:8, 24
 121:13
Cipollone 26:25
 47:22 106:16
 117:18 146:12
 149:18 151:11
 157:8 158:21
 159:7 161:13, 19
circle 105:25 106:3
 142:21 143:4
circulate 12:22
 13:5
circulated 11:21
 12:25
circumstance 133:7
 134:1
circumstances 59:2,
 15 127:5 130:7
 135:14
cite 156:14
citing 60:11 93:1
citizen 16:6
Civil 3:15 16:3
 48:1 88:24 97:7
 101:3, 10 148:6
claim 44:25 52:12
 99:16 108:12
 109:3 110:23
 164:23
claimed 15:21, 24
 20:4, 5
claiming 16:19
 19:14
claims 22:14 41:6
 70:15 99:15 110:7,
 8 121:18
clarification 63:22
 90:7 134:17
clarify 36:23 90:4
 107:8 175:22
Clark 46:7, 8
 47:13, 16, 24 48:7,
 24 49:4, 11, 16, 17
 50:15 88:6, 12, 14,
 21 91:10, 13, 19, 20,
 24 92:3, 11 93:6
 96:17, 21 97:13, 16,
 22 98:2 100:7, 16,
 24 101:1, 3, 8, 15,
 24, 25 102:4, 14, 19
 103:1, 6, 24 106:8
 116:24 117:1, 4, 13
 118:3, 4, 9, 17, 24
 121:25 122:2, 9
 124:7 126:25
 133:23 134:15
 135:1 137:3, 17, 24
 138:12 139:12, 21
 140:9, 13 142:16,
 24 143:21, 24
 144:24, 25 145:8, 9,
 18, 20 146:8, 22
 148:23 149:1
 151:12, 21 152:2, 5,
 10, 15, 16, 23 153:3,
 11, 14, 20 154:2, 4
 155:25 156:4
 157:18 158:1, 8
 159:4, 13 163:9, 23
 171:20 172:12, 17
 173:2
Clark's 46:17, 22
 96:9 133:25 138:7
 172:2
classified 99:13, 19
cleaning 165:24
clear 14:10 24:12
 33:15 34:8 44:3
 49:11 63:17 83:20
 84:14 92:12 98:24
 103:22 104:9
 110:21 124:1, 9
 140:22 141:12
 143:9 145:9 152:6
 155:13 159:10
 163:13 165:16
 166:5 176:3
clearances 100:13
cleared 166:8
clearing 54:9
clearly 13:19 32:1
 69:15 162:18
Cleta 24:25
close 125:4 37:20
 44:5 105:19 106:1,
 4 143:8
Closed 44:22
closely 80:1 81:2
 96:24 97:2 109:17

<p>closer 37:19, 20 146:25 147:4</p> <p>closing 72:1</p> <p>Cobb 124:2</p> <p>coincidental 24:18</p> <p>colleague 83:15 85:20 96:8 98:6 136:12 150:14 167:22</p> <p>colleagues 2:20 4:22 5:22 45:11, 16 84:7 123:8 169:16 171:19</p> <p>colleague's 86:21 128:13</p> <p>college 87:10, 16 128:8</p> <p>come 36:22 45:11 65:9, 10, 22 78:3, 4, 7 110:21 117:23 118:1, 3 119:6 121:7 137:18 144:16 146:21 148:21 149:2 155:6, 8 159:20 164:13 178:17</p> <p>comes 89:7 149:12 150:8 161:16 175:11</p> <p>Comey 174:18, 19, 25</p> <p>coming 105:22 109:22 120:22</p> <p>command 73:23 79:4 80:5</p> <p>comment 72:2 74:16 121:3 127:18 131:4</p> <p>commentary 43:24</p> <p>comments 23:12 153:8 157:12</p> <p>COMMITTEE 1:2 2:16 4:16 94:16 100:20 174:18 178:19</p> <p>committees 46:12</p> <p>Committee's 2:5 3:16 4:6</p> <p>common 126:9</p> <p>communicate 12:7, 10</p> <p>communicated 27:16 69:11 117:23</p> <p>communicating 134:13</p> <p>communication 102:18 104:3</p> <p>communications 11:23 12:2, 4, 11, 18 14:12 15:19 18:9 82:9 127:4, 5</p>	<p>134:9, 22 135:9, 14 139:12 143:5 169:19 173:6 174:13 175:9, 12</p> <p>community 57:2 99:23 103:20</p> <p>company 8:23 109:13 110:4, 7, 23 112:25 140:12</p> <p>compare 132:20</p> <p>competent 94:17</p> <p>complain 42:24</p> <p>complaining 44:1 92:16 139:14</p> <p>complaint 107:15, 22 108:2 113:5 114:2</p> <p>complaints 31:4 43:16, 20 59:3, 17 60:20 81:23, 24 95:16</p> <p>complaints/reports 43:8 58:18</p> <p>complete 4:9 5:1 175:18, 24</p> <p>completed 26:2</p> <p>completely 36:19 89:17 92:12 98:16 162:18 176:3</p> <p>completeness 129:8</p> <p>component 12:5 135:12</p> <p>components 59:16 63:24 80:15</p> <p>Concept 98:24 99:8 100:25 135:16 136:16</p> <p>concern 22:11, 22 58:12 60:20 76:7 93:4 116:21 122:6 136:11 138:4</p> <p>concerned 20:19, 22 22:14 34:9 42:17 57:12 59:11 103:19 105:15</p> <p>concerns 54:18 58:10 59:3, 6, 19 66:25 73:24 81:25 82:15, 20 116:4 136:2, 8, 11 171:20</p> <p>conclude 170:22</p> <p>concluded 10:12 23:16</p> <p>concluding 169:14</p> <p>conclusion 9:5 10:9, 23 22:13 25:8 34:14 40:7 71:19 86:6 96:3</p> <p>conclusions 25:11 49:23 50:1</p>	<p>conclusory 121:11</p> <p>concur 73:7</p> <p>concurrence 73:21 79:20</p> <p>condition 146:23</p> <p>conduct 18:23 21:3 75:10 84:19 132:13 136:23 141:22</p> <p>conducted 1:18 20:19 32:5 98:17 140:20</p> <p>conducting 94:10</p> <p>conference 25:21 36:8 100:5 102:16, 20 117:7 172:21 178:11</p> <p>confidence 22:15 26:3 58:4 68:18 94:21 132:22</p> <p>confident 167:19</p> <p>confirmed 7:8 57:3 154:15 158:14</p> <p>conflict 9:19 48:21 167:11</p> <p>conflicting 48:19</p> <p>confused 162:25</p> <p>confusing 36:19 89:17</p> <p>confusion 73:20</p> <p>congratulated 178:15</p> <p>Congratulations 6:21</p> <p>Congress 5:5</p> <p>congressional 5:10</p> <p>Congressman 41:19, 20 87:7 89:6, 23 90:9, 17, 21, 24 91:12, 23 92:16 93:12 97:20 101:24 103:10, 25</p> <p>Congressmen 62:9 86:25</p> <p>conjunction 65:21 71:15 112:20, 25</p> <p>connection 2:5 31:6 53:20 88:23 99:17 113:11</p> <p>consider 22:17</p> <p>consideration 22:23 135:25</p> <p>considering 104:19</p> <p>consistent 12:20 20:15 67:9 86:4 132:2 134:6</p> <p>conspiracy 109:21</p> <p>consult 4:16 69:3 73:22</p>	<p>consultation 69:2</p> <p>consulting 65:19</p> <p>consuming 173:17</p> <p>contact 9:22 11:25 53:4 104:7 126:25 127:3 141:18 179:3, 7</p> <p>contacted 72:4 78:13 100:16 118:1</p> <p>contacting 114:9</p> <p>contacts 11:18 134:4, 20 135:2 136:20</p> <p>contain 180:2</p> <p>contained 72:16 125:24</p> <p>contains 115:2</p> <p>contemplated 12:19</p> <p>contemporaneous 38:25</p> <p>contentious 118:20</p> <p>context 15:15 35:10 108:15 139:23</p> <p>continuation 75:4, 10</p> <p>continue 142:10</p> <p>continued 173:2</p> <p>continuing 86:22 121:7 141:18</p> <p>contract 8:11</p> <p>contractor 109:20 112:24</p> <p>contrary 105:6</p> <p>contribute 60:19</p> <p>controversial 13:19</p> <p>convened 1:17 163:21 164:6</p> <p>conversation 13:1 14:5 28:10 38:4, 12 60:13 63:10 86:11 89:25 93:17 113:25 118:19 139:24 141:11 143:23 145:2, 7, 8 147:11 151:22, 24 152:20 153:6 155:5 156:2, 18 157:2, 4, 8, 15 161:21 164:22 176:4 177:5 178:10, 19 179:6</p> <p>conversations 31:21 35:21 39:20 54:16, 24 55:24 82:18 102:7 133:3 143:8 145:10 146:20 156:8, 22</p> <p>conveyed 33:2</p> <p>conveying 164:1</p> <p>convoluted 110:14</p>	<p>coordinate 78:5 80:1</p> <p>coordination 9:17 110:8</p> <p>copies 17:10 134:19</p> <p>copy 101:3</p> <p>copying 11:12</p> <p>Corey 66:1 73:4 81:10 82:9, 12 83:18</p> <p>corner 46:20, 24</p> <p>Corps 8:6, 9</p> <p>correct 28:20 35:19 47:4, 15, 17 48:15 49:2, 7 50:23, 25 58:1 61:3, 14, 25 62:4, 10 67:25 68:6 81:11 83:21 85:19, 24 86:8, 17 106:17 107:23 108:4 123:24 127:6 134:23 141:1 173:12, 20 174:4 180:2</p> <p>correctly 16:4 24:15 43:2, 11 80:12 88:9 93:3 139:4, 8, 11 168:25</p> <p>corresponding 65:6</p> <p>corrupt 62:8 86:24 87:4, 21 88:4</p> <p>Corruption 69:12 77:6 80:1, 7</p> <p>couch 149:19, 20 151:16</p> <p>Counsel 2:16, 19, 22, 25 3:3, 7, 8 4:16, 17, 18 8:10 26:18, 24, 25 30:16, 22 32:16 47:6, 12, 22, 23 48:2 83:7 101:2 105:1 106:15 122:16 134:10, 23 151:11 158:21 168:8</p> <p>counsels 30:10 31:2</p> <p>Counsel's 48:13 149:17</p> <p>count 28:11, 13 154:11</p> <p>counted 19:8</p> <p>counties 63:2, 4 96:7</p> <p>country 21:17 28:8, 21 40:17 128:17 154:24 172:9 177:17</p> <p>country's 22:15</p> <p>County 15:2, 23 16:1, 8 18:4 19:5,</p>
--	--	--	--	--

10 21:12, 13, 14
 25:17 27:19 28:5
 29:17, 21 35:2
 54:3 63:13, 15, 19,
 20, 25 64:4
County-woman
 124:2
couple 10:20 11:2,
 13 18:12 33:19
 70:22 75:7, 8 81:8
 83:14 86:12 89:4
 91:9 107:15
 116:23 139:3
 161:10 171:3
 178:22
course 5:10 33:10
 37:13 54:4, 16
 55:4, 24 70:25
 79:5, 16 93:22
 95:6 109:22
 131:22 132:13, 17
 137:19 143:11
 147:17 151:6, 10
 156:2 160:5 169:5
 170:9 171:18
 174:23 175:4
 176:2 177:18, 21
court 16:7 23:8
 32:25 107:22
 113:11 119:5, 6
 120:2, 5
courts 46:11
Court's 115:17
coverage 16:15
covered 149:6
covering 135:3
create 106:5
created 68:19
creates 73:20
credible 20:12
 112:13
crime 58:24 64:22
 77:12 78:21 79:9
crime-related 58:11
crimes 43:9 58:18
 59:4, 17 60:20
 64:20 65:1, 18
 66:4 67:9 68:5, 11
 71:11 75:5, 8, 13
 77:19 79:2 83:24
 84:2, 18 85:10
 127:10 167:12
criminal 5:11 8:18,
 19 10:3, 6, 11
 37:20 78:24
critical 19:1 25:9
criticizing 160:17
 165:3
Crossfire 174:22
 175:10, 12, 18

Cuccinelli 27:5
 28:12 30:22 34:25
 35:4 53:7, 8
Cuccinelli's 35:11
curious 14:4 27:20
 34:1 43:22 101:5
 108:15 113:12
 132:4, 10 178:23
current 68:15
currently 88:20
custody 52:23
 53:12
cycle 75:25

< D >
D.C 1:3 6:17 7:21
 137:15
DAG 7:22 24:14,
 15, 22, 24 27:1
 29:6 36:8, 10, 15,
 16 39:19 61:12
 74:5 97:2 102:9
 109:17 111:6, 12
 112:9 113:16
 114:1 117:1, 23
 119:17 144:23
 146:23 172:21
 178:11
DAG's 69:4 113:24
 144:17, 21 178:11
damage 157:10
data 63:1 79:8
 96:6 112:25
database 62:25
 63:5 96:6
date 36:14 55:25
 173:20 180:16
dated 15:18 46:17
 89:25
Dave 80:25 81:1
 82:9, 22 83:18
David 70:1, 9
Davis 4:21 6:7
day 12:25 15:13
 16:2, 10 23:10
 24:4, 19 26:1, 7, 9,
 11 28:14, 24 30:7
 34:6 37:3 53:18
 72:13 78:15 81:2,
 3 82:22 85:21, 22
 91:1, 6 93:11
 97:21 98:2 102:7
 106:8 109:12
 114:10 117:3
 121:6 128:6 130:4,
 10, 11 132:6, 15
 133:11 143:10, 22
 144:6 149:7
 161:17 173:21
 176:6

days 18:12 33:19,
 20 34:7 95:9
 149:8 161:10
 172:22
day-to-day 133:10
deadline 168:6
deal 113:22 146:13
 153:16 155:20
dealing 173:15
dealt 114:10
debriefing 164:1
decades 145:14
December 7:13 8:4
 10:16, 21 15:18, 19
 16:10 26:6, 9
 30:19 31:3 33:20
 34:2, 11, 25 46:6,
 17 51:3, 7, 13, 24,
 25 53:17 69:25
 70:8 73:5 80:20
 82:13 86:11 89:8,
 23 90:1 93:11
 96:18 106:14
 115:1 116:22
 117:1 124:25
 138:6 176:6 179:5
decide 50:10
decided 28:17 74:6
 105:19 114:14
 143:8, 21 144:25
 147:1 165:7
decipher 92:13
deciphered 43:10
deciphering 44:7
decision 46:13
 48:20 49:1, 2, 3, 9,
 20 50:7 67:5
 142:2, 12 147:19,
 22 152:14 157:5, 6
 163:18 171:23, 25
 173:11, 14
decisions 23:25
 50:14, 20 51:1
 55:10 171:11
deemed 9:13
deep 157:15
deeply 9:24
defective 19:3
defense 8:10
defensive 175:5
defer 25:20
deferential 126:18
deferring 126:19
definitely 23:14
 67:11 117:12
 132:18 171:14
delay 21:4
D'Elia 109:8, 19
 110:19 112:22, 23
 113:2

deliberations 46:14
 81:20
D'Elio 112:7
DeLisa 3:5, 6
 128:16
delivered 176:14
delta 61:12 62:21
 96:7
Demers 112:4
 148:1
DEO 65:2, 5 78:5,
 15, 22
D-E-O 65:2
DEOs 69:8
departed 70:17
Department 2:6
 3:8, 10, 11, 12 4:4
 6:13 7:6, 9 10:17,
 23 11:17 12:7, 10
 17:4 21:5 22:4, 18
 23:16 25:7 27:9,
 11 29:2 31:5, 12,
 15, 19 32:2, 7, 12,
 17, 22 33:3, 23
 34:9, 13 41:22
 42:24 43:25 48:23
 50:21 53:21 56:15
 57:3, 12 59:8, 16
 60:21 62:14 63:23
 64:18, 22 66:20, 25
 67:16, 21 68:10, 13,
 16 74:23 76:1, 11,
 15 77:11, 18 78:2,
 19 79:10 84:2, 23
 85:12 86:3, 5
 87:11, 19, 21 92:4
 93:8 95:22 96:21
 97:11 98:14, 15
 101:11, 13 103:2,
 15 104:20 105:25
 106:6 107:21
 108:1, 2 112:2
 113:10 114:9
 115:3, 23 117:15
 118:7 119:2, 3, 8
 122:11 124:6
 125:8 131:1, 23
 132:6, 19 133:13
 134:22 135:10
 136:15 137:19
 138:3 140:25
 141:13, 20 142:22
 144:16 146:24
 148:4, 24 151:25
 153:10, 11, 23
 154:14, 17, 20, 23,
 24 163:11 165:12,
 15, 18 167:13
 169:23 170:8
 172:3 174:3, 6, 9

177:17
Departments 14:15
Department's 37:18
 44:2 67:8 84:18
 89:2 95:10, 16
 98:19 105:3
 116:15 118:16
 132:12, 15 135:21
 169:12
departure 166:18,
 25
depending 78:6
 128:12
Deponent/Interviewe
e 180:1
deputies 66:12
Deputy 3:2 6:15,
 25 7:1, 3, 6, 8, 13,
 16 8:17, 18 9:3, 15,
 25 18:17 25:6
 26:25 37:21 47:23
 56:23 57:6 81:1
 97:2, 7 100:4
 106:16 145:20
 177:23 178:5
describe 7:10
 15:15 168:20
described 10:8
 12:5 25:5, 6, 18
 71:12 76:2 80:19
 140:11 147:15
 167:11 168:19
describing 14:11
 20:4 84:15, 16
 91:12
description 36:12
 46:1 164:4 171:10
designated 11:3
 14:20 34:20 36:20
 96:11
designed 11:23
 18:22 136:22
desire 126:14
desk 17:11 111:24
 126:14 151:10
 160:6
detail 6:16 25:20
 76:18 171:7
detailed 36:3
details 9:6 11:24
 15:20 95:3 99:22
 112:10
deter 68:14
determination 69:16
determine 95:5
determined 98:17
developed 80:13
 170:16
DHS 17:4 18:8, 16,
 20 22:13 23:2, 3
 25:5, 6, 8, 10, 13, 23

27:2, 6, 7 29:10
 35:13 52:22 53:2,
 20, 21 54:13 144:14
DHS's 25:11
dictating 98:19
difference 19:7, 13
 62:24 67:25 68:1
 84:17 133:1
differences 85:4
different 25:18
 44:16 65:11 70:22
 85:14 152:15
 169:19 174:8
difficult 49:9
 102:21, 22 132:20
 133:7, 8 152:4, 25
 167:10
dig 68:4
direct 60:12 86:18
 87:1 88:1 90:16
 97:24 98:1 163:9,
 10 164:2
directed 121:18
 141:3
directly 60:11 61:1
 65:10 69:11 78:4,
 7, 16 93:16 97:23
 151:19
Director 18:17
 25:6, 7, 24 37:21
 81:1 99:14 174:18
disagreed 69:15
disagreement 75:5
 85:9, 18
disagreements 81:14
disappeared 150:9
disappears 148:5
disappointed 172:5
disapprove 73:19
disaster 28:7
 157:22
disconnect 68:18
 69:4
discuss 5:19 18:15
 107:2 116:5 164:10
discussed 15:13
 18:15 34:5 46:21
 57:19 83:3 100:7
 101:18 105:17
 107:14 111:6
 118:5 135:4
 143:11 153:18, 19
 166:12 169:18, 25
 174:25 178:6
discussing 24:3
 27:20 35:2 42:16
 61:6 89:8 90:13
 92:6 132:7 133:17
 152:19 166:10
discussion 13:13
 16:22 27:21, 23

28:1 31:7 36:24
 45:13 87:14
 102:21 118:9, 11,
 12 123:6 126:24
 138:24 144:4
 145:19 147:1
 159:1 164:21
 165:11 168:7, 17,
 20 171:10 174:13
 175:25
discussions 98:2
 100:23 117:6
 131:12 144:5, 9
 152:3 155:1
 167:24 170:9
 171:18 172:19
disentangle 155:25
dismiss 32:9
dismissed 31:24
dispelled 37:25
displeasure 44:2
dispute 74:4 84:14
 85:8, 21
dissatisfied 167:6
distracts 133:10
District 6:17 7:17,
 19 8:1, 13 15:5
 18:4 64:3, 7 65:3
 69:9 71:9 72:24
 78:11, 16 94:7, 12
 161:25 162:8, 10,
 23, 24 169:13
distrust 60:16, 19
Division 8:9, 18, 19
 10:3, 6, 11 37:20
 48:1 88:25 89:1
 101:3, 10 112:6
 148:2
DNI 100:17 138:10
document 11:3
 12:14 14:19 34:17,
 20 35:3, 5, 11, 22
 57:15 60:12 67:7
 86:13 89:24
 114:19, 21 115:1
 123:17 125:9
 129:10
documents 11:13
 14:25 15:3, 13
 24:7 26:15 57:18
 67:15 89:5 120:14
 127:25
DOD 144:14 145:5
doing 9:23 31:20
 39:22, 23 43:25
 44:3, 4 55:18
 59:16, 20, 25 67:1
 68:13, 16 98:20
 105:2 108:17
 115:12 118:23

153:19 167:20
 172:8, 13
DOJ 6:14, 19 12:1
 42:25 43:7, 19
 53:2 58:17 61:21
 66:1, 7 69:21 74:1
 77:25 80:22 81:17
 82:6 88:19 107:18
 126:6, 10, 16, 18
 127:3, 4, 8 134:4
 136:19, 22 144:14
 159:3 163:21
 164:11 175:9
DOJ's 6:18
domain 34:14
Dominion 15:21, 22
 16:17 19:8 21:15
 25:18 30:10, 15
 31:4, 5, 6, 9 99:15,
 16
DONOGHUE 1:8
 2:4, 10, 11, 13 3:1
 4:14, 25 5:7, 14, 17
 6:3, 5, 12 15:6
 45:18 56:2 63:12
 70:2 82:10, 12
 83:7, 14 84:15
 96:12 122:15
 123:11, 18 124:23
 128:11, 18 137:5
 167:21 168:8
 174:12 175:19, 25
 176:3 179:19
D-o-n-o-g-h-u-e 2:11
Donoghue's 2:19
double 57:21
doubled 158:5
doubt 10:22
Doug 40:12
downside 153:19
 155:12
downstairs 178:10
downstream 80:23
draft 46:8 47:12,
 16 48:7 49:4, 16
 150:19 157:9
drafted 98:23
 101:13 102:12
dragging 69:16
 167:15
Dreiband 148:7
dressed 149:5
 151:14
drive 116:10
driven 170:11
driver 170:11
dual 11:16
due 74:14 171:21
dunk 120:1
Durbin 2:4, 12

Dustin 100:17
duties 12:16
duty 8:5, 6

 < E >
earlier 4:2 23:25
 24:4 35:14 36:1
 51:2 55:16 58:17
 70:11 71:12 75:7
 87:20 88:25 91:6
 98:2, 7 102:7
 108:20 109:12
 114:2 115:8
 123:21 126:12, 23
 131:15 136:12
 138:7 149:7 155:9,
 21 156:22 157:8
 166:10 167:4, 11
early 6:19 72:6
 115:9 155:7
ease 2:20
easily 78:15
Eastern 6:17 7:17,
 19 8:1, 13 15:4
Eastman 107:6
easy 29:17
eat 157:24
ECB 65:18 66:11
 68:11, 21 69:1, 3, 7,
 10, 11, 14 75:21
 79:18 80:8, 18, 19
 81:9 167:11
ECB's 69:13
echo 109:5
EDNY 8:16
effect 13:10 16:23
 20:7 22:19 28:5
 30:21 33:23 36:2
 37:9 40:16, 23
 41:11, 14 52:4
 69:6 91:22 114:17
 134:13 136:13
 141:7 145:13, 22
 159:25 160:22
 162:14 169:1, 5
 172:7
effective 165:8
 173:23
effectively 91:19
 172:17
effort 9:25
efforts 2:6 18:22
 75:12 127:8, 11
 130:25
either 23:22 31:8
 34:13 53:14 55:13
 69:4 80:3 86:1
 101:10 136:10
 141:10 148:13
 153:4 170:1 178:23

elaborate 27:25
 44:12 98:8
election 2:7 9:5, 9,
 10 10:12, 13, 18, 19,
 24, 25 12:23 13:19
 14:14 15:1 18:21
 20:16, 18, 19, 22, 24
 21:2, 8, 19 22:3, 4,
 15 23:18, 23 27:18
 30:3, 16 31:14, 18
 33:24, 25 34:15
 37:11 39:11 40:8
 41:3, 7 42:21
 43:15 46:11 51:10,
 12, 18, 21 53:17
 54:8, 11, 18 56:1, 3,
 7 58:11, 24 59:6,
 12 61:10, 22 62:8,
 14 64:22 65:1, 3, 4,
 8, 18, 25 66:4, 6, 10
 67:3, 9 68:4, 11, 15
 69:9 70:15, 16, 18
 71:11 73:3, 12
 75:5, 8, 13, 25 76:6
 77:8, 11, 12, 15
 78:4, 11, 15, 21
 79:2, 8, 9 83:24
 84:2, 18 85:2, 10,
 13 86:7, 8, 24 87:4
 88:4, 14 89:2
 93:13 95:7, 12
 97:24 99:14
 103:15 107:3, 13
 111:1 118:6 124:4
 127:9, 10 136:3
 149:3 153:8 156:3,
 7 163:12 165:1
 166:25 167:12
 169:22 170:2
election-related
 56:16 68:22 138:8
elections 18:23
 32:4 40:18 54:3, 6
 67:23 68:2, 4, 14
 78:9 87:17 97:10
 98:21 179:6
Elector 46:13
electoral 87:10, 15
 128:8
electronic 17:10
elevated 7:1 75:17
ellipsis 44:24
email 11:5, 7, 11
 14:22, 24 15:2, 8
 17:17 24:16, 21, 22,
 25 34:22, 24 35:3,
 11 46:6, 17, 18
 47:16 67:14 69:25
 70:5, 8, 9 71:10
 73:4 75:3 80:21
 82:14 83:15 84:15

85:6, 7 89:15, 20,
21 90:11 93:12, 18
94:3, 4 95:1 96:15,
17, 18 97:22 98:6,
10 99:9, 21 100:3
101:1, 4 102:8
120:15 122:4
124:22, 25 125:3, 4,
18 126:2, 4 129:13,
20 130:15 133:17,
18, 20, 21 134:19
147:23 169:19
emailed 164:17
emails 24:4, 15
35:16 38:24 66:22
76:2 82:14, 17, 20,
21 100:19 113:20
120:23 121:2
125:22 126:10
130:10
Embassy 110:9
Emily 3:11
employee 6:19
66:1 83:21 112:23
165:19
en 154:20
encourage 4:15
131:1
ended 6:17 105:16
111:24 126:13
175:12
ends 105:21
enforcement 12:17
64:11 135:22
136:24 174:14
engage 49:21 50:8
133:23
Engel 48:1 105:1,
7, 14 106:17
142:22 143:2
151:12 154:5
155:4 159:2, 13
enjoy 175:17
ENRD 97:4
enshrined 136:20
ensure 59:25 68:17
136:23
entered 47:20, 21
151:8 178:11
entertain 114:7
entire 113:25
148:4 155:19
entirely 116:7
124:9, 10 134:11
140:22 141:9, 16
entirety 135:20
entities 11:19 18:23
envelope 72:14
Environmental 89:1
equal 3:22

equally 92:7
equipment 72:1
Eric 48:3 148:7
error 15:25 16:17
19:11, 14, 15 20:5
21:14 22:9 28:8,
20 71:19
errors 25:14
especially 122:1
148:4
espousing 85:15
essence 90:16 98:7
115:2 126:10
essentially 29:14
41:25 51:24 84:16
103:13 118:25
139:14 147:5
148:13 152:13
176:11, 13 177:15
establish 97:17
et 54:8 97:15
140:20 165:25
evaluations 23:3
Eve 51:5
evening 93:10
147:3
event 86:1
Eventually 53:23
evidence 10:12, 18,
23 33:23 34:15
46:11 86:6, 7
93:19 99:19 119:2
121:12 138:11
156:13 160:3
170:13, 15
exact 28:3 58:8
62:11 67:19
exactly 13:8 17:23
40:15 71:5 73:14
91:17 104:10
106:25 108:18
117:22 137:12
example 77:23
examples 75:8
169:19, 24 170:14
exasperated 139:16
144:23 145:23
exception 2:18
171:20
exceptions 68:9
exchange 34:24
83:17 90:19 122:4
129:18, 23
excuse 57:23
127:10 175:21
excused 27:4
executive 59:24
136:15, 18
exfiltrate 112:25
Exhibit 11:4, 5
14:21, 22 34:22

35:8, 23 36:19, 21
37:2 45:23 46:1,
16 57:16, 17 64:16
69:20 70:4, 5 81:7
82:25 83:17 86:10
89:14, 15, 17, 18, 20,
24 96:13, 15
106:10 113:6, 7
114:20, 21 123:16,
17 124:21, 22
125:17, 18 129:11,
12, 13, 16 133:16,
18 134:18 137:4, 7
exhibits 57:20
127:24
exist 48:21 76:3
exists 136:19
exodus 159:15
expand 105:24
106:3
expect 62:7, 13
86:23 101:5
153:23 155:18
expectation 57:2
178:7
expecting 142:15
experience 7:25
93:1 132:11
expert 84:1, 11
expertise 18:20, 23
126:20
experts 18:14
explain 25:23 70:7
91:15 104:6
119:18 139:9
163:3 167:14
explained 19:3
32:11 73:6 93:11
96:20 100:9
148:17 165:20
explaining 115:2, 15
explanation 32:24
171:7
explicit 69:14
exploring 83:16
extent 9:16 49:24
84:22 85:11 95:9,
24 124:13 126:13
132:25
extremely 69:10, 13
172:5

< F >
face 112:15 123:2
face-to-face 145:2
facility 44:23 71:4
72:11, 17 73:2
110:5 124:2
fact 21:14 24:18
28:19 30:24 33:7
42:8 49:24 62:25

66:19 67:1 68:14
72:9, 15 96:3
100:24 102:24
121:23 133:9
155:3, 18
facts 22:7 119:2
fact-specific 68:8
factually 98:18
failed 175:5
failing 43:8, 20
58:17
fair 10:10 14:9
25:7 54:22 58:10
84:1 85:17 116:2,
4 126:22
fairly 77:21 96:25
103:16 121:10
126:18 138:1
fake 70:24
fall 56:25
fallout 155:14
false 5:9 70:23
156:12
familiar 11:14
121:2
familiarity 19:18, 24
far 21:13 34:9
57:12 93:22
105:18 159:6
farfetched 22:5
112:15 121:8
Farm 44:19 45:5
70:10, 15
Farm-related 70:8
farther 107:12
favor 17:14
FBI 10:11 18:16,
18 21:3 25:6
37:21 42:24 43:5
53:21 54:12 56:15
60:6, 17, 21 64:2,
18 65:6, 10, 13
69:12 71:4, 9, 14
72:22 74:1 77:3, 4,
11, 18, 24 78:4
79:5, 10, 15, 23, 25
80:3, 17, 19 86:2
92:17, 22, 23, 24
93:2, 3 111:3, 5, 9,
11 112:18 124:6,
16 125:8 126:6
127:8 129:25
130:3 131:16, 24
175:9
FBI's 80:1, 6, 23
Federal 3:15 7:4
25:22 41:1
feed 72:19
feel 94:24 153:1
171:19
feeling 34:3, 4

feelings 81:25
172:9
feels 92:23
feet 69:16 167:15
fellow 128:25
felt 19:3 20:10
38:2 44:3 93:8
95:11 100:10
140:2 171:24
field 65:6 80:3
131:16, 24
fifth 24:13 25:1
fight 146:14
Fighter 41:9, 13
figure 18:7 62:23
68:23 112:17
file 32:18, 19, 22
33:17 107:21
119:15
filed 16:5 31:13
107:18 125:1
filing 16:4 31:12,
15 32:7 33:3, 4, 5, 7
filled 145:7
final 35:7 128:1
172:21
Finally 5:18
find 17:1 18:1
63:14 64:14 78:15
102:4 111:7 112:8
145:25 146:1, 4
160:7 162:13
170:13
finding 10:20
Fine 112:11 138:21
145:15 146:18
147:25 148:18
149:14 151:15
161:15, 19 166:23
fingers 61:21
Finish 42:18 71:23
fire 56:9, 12, 14, 18
158:11, 13, 14, 15
161:4, 6, 7, 16 165:7
fired 92:22 105:13,
16, 21
firing 49:17 50:16
161:8, 14
firm 97:15
firmly 172:12
first 6:10 12:13
15:9 17:20 19:17
26:7 32:18 34:11
38:17 39:1 40:9
41:4 45:20 46:16
56:23 58:17 70:11
88:8, 13 90:2 91:4
97:3, 24 98:1
103:8 107:11
114:2 128:2 135:6
144:4 150:17, 22

151:13 155:3, 6
 160:13 162:11
 168:22
firsthand 122:10
fit 79:23
fits 34:19
five 82:24 133:1
fixated 161:3
flavor 120:20, 21
flip 19:20 126:9
flipped 94:24
floated 126:3
floor 24:13, 14
 25:1, 21 137:22
 142:25
floored 102:23
Florida 74:11, 12
 117:24
flow 152:20
flowed 130:10
 153:3
Flynn 175:1, 3
Flynn-Brown 3:1, 2
 5:24 45:17 46:3
 69:19 70:3, 6 75:2
 76:17, 23, 25 81:21
 82:5, 11, 24 123:10,
 19 124:20, 24
 125:16, 19 127:17
 128:19 167:25
 168:4, 13 171:3, 5
 179:16
focus 68:15 76:8
 133:13 151:25
focused 141:2
 156:3, 4, 20
focuses 98:23
folks 50:22
follow 3:17 105:3,
 12 131:22 177:18
followed 53:8 94:4
 102:7 108:8
 157:13 175:24
following 46:19
 86:15 87:25 92:10
 95:8 143:10, 20, 22
 163:20
follow-up 51:24
 90:18 133:16
 138:6 164:10
 169:15 171:3
follow-ups 178:22
food 80:21
foregoing 180:2
Foreign 99:14
forensic 15:21, 24
 16:4, 7 19:21 20:4
 21:12
forget 52:11 65:7
forgive 31:22

123:21 172:1
formally 33:21
formed 93:7
former 2:6 128:25
 174:18
forth 3:22 18:10
 103:10 126:11
 130:13 131:18
 142:17 143:14
 153:12
Fortune 8:23
forward 35:8 57:7
 66:13 69:17 74:9
 81:15 94:6 103:18
 125:23 141:14
forwarded 24:3
 32:14 35:12 94:18,
 25 121:4
forwarding 89:21
 126:19 133:21
forward-leaning
 76:10
forwards 35:1
 125:2
found 10:18, 23
 23:22 24:9 33:23
 112:22
founded 59:10
 95:11
four 63:1, 4 96:6
 149:8
fourth 24:14
frame 139:13
framed 29:3 31:17
 73:19
frank 171:10, 14
frankly 40:4 42:9
 50:19 106:5
 111:24 143:3
fraud 9:9, 10 10:12,
 18, 24 15:1 22:4
 23:17, 22 30:16
 33:24 34:15 41:3,
 7 43:15 44:11, 20
 51:10, 12, 18 53:17
 58:11, 24 59:13
 64:19 65:1 67:4
 77:19 78:21 79:9,
 14 86:7 93:13, 23
 119:14 127:9
 136:3 156:4
 163:12 169:22
 170:2
fraud-related 51:22
fraudulent 125:6
free 73:23 94:2
 132:24 134:18
 165:12
freely 4:16
Freeman 44:21

frequent 9:22
friction 106:5
FRIDAY 1:13
front 49:4, 5
 109:24 151:20
fronts 49:3
frustrate 67:8 75:9
 76:12
frustrated 75:13
 119:7, 18 157:16
frustrating 75:21
frustration 56:15
 71:10 118:19
 119:11 121:23
 122:3
frustrations 80:23
full 70:18 94:20
fully 30:1 105:15
Fulton 63:13, 15, 19,
 20, 24 64:4
funnel 11:23
further 84:5
 128:12 130:6
 155:8 163:11
furthermore 23:6
 69:11
future 14:12 68:14

 < G >
gamma 61:12
GBI 140:15
General 6:15, 25
 7:2, 4, 7, 8, 13, 16
 9:3, 7, 16 10:1, 17,
 22 11:22 13:7
 14:25 16:10 20:9,
 14 23:21 25:13, 22
 26:11 32:15 33:21
 35:12, 17 36:16
 37:19 47:2, 11, 24,
 25 48:2 49:14
 56:5, 6, 10, 23 57:1
 61:14 63:21 68:10
 75:6 77:20 85:14
 86:4 95:18 100:6,
 12 101:16 104:25
 105:6, 10, 11, 14
 106:17 111:17
 114:25 116:18
 117:25 118:6
 125:13 126:12
 132:1 133:12
 135:16 142:1
 145:21 149:21, 22
 151:5 152:2, 8, 10,
 16 153:21 156:1
 163:8 175:1, 3
 176:6, 17, 19
 177:12, 14, 20, 23,
 24 178:15, 23 179:1

generally 9:23
 13:21 15:11, 12
 19:1 28:4 29:3
 31:4 37:17 40:15
 55:3, 6 67:17, 20
 68:6, 7 70:14 74:7
 77:23 85:19 92:17
 119:22 120:19
 127:2 130:13
Generals 11:12
General's 8:6
 24:13 57:6 94:16
 100:5 114:6, 11
generically 99:7
geographic 64:12
Georgia 40:24
 44:10, 15, 18 52:24
 53:20 58:4, 12
 64:7 71:9 73:2
 74:5 98:23 99:1
 100:25 120:24
 121:22 122:10
 124:3, 11, 14, 15
 125:2 133:22
 138:22, 23 139:1, 5,
 14, 15 140:11, 15,
 23 141:2, 4, 5
 156:5 157:9
 161:25 162:8, 10
 165:2 167:7 169:13
getting 9:6 16:15
 31:24 44:5 76:15
 88:16 95:2 111:25
 133:9 134:25
 139:16 141:24
 148:18 150:18
 169:8
Giuliani 130:18, 20,
 22 131:2, 5, 7, 14,
 19, 22
give 4:11 7:24 9:7
 20:10 27:23 28:3
 29:1 32:16 39:7
 53:25 91:23 100:1
 101:19 103:24
 120:20 151:5
 157:3 163:2
 165:16 175:5
Given 10:8 27:19
 61:9 79:20 85:1
 112:11 171:8, 22
 178:23
gives 25:19
giving 13:16 114:13
go 2:17 3:18, 22
 11:24 20:2 21:13
 27:4, 16 35:7 42:4,
 22 45:10, 14 54:25
 55:1, 19 57:22
 60:4 62:7 63:13,
 15, 19, 20 64:13

71:4 72:11, 23
 74:8 77:24 79:15
 80:6, 8, 19 83:11
 104:2, 8 105:5
 123:4, 7 125:16
 129:9 131:24
 146:2, 13, 17
 148:18 149:9
 150:17 151:6
 152:11 158:18
 166:6, 7 167:24
 179:24
go-ahead 100:1
goal 11:25
God 41:25
goes 43:19 99:15,
 18 143:17
going 10:1 13:19
 17:6 18:1, 6 19:6
 38:1 39:9, 21
 42:10 44:15 46:16
 54:25 67:18 69:17
 80:22 81:7, 16, 18
 84:4 93:19 99:22
 100:14 102:3, 5
 103:23 105:15
 113:22 119:1, 4, 24
 122:19, 21 123:12
 127:15, 16, 18
 128:23 129:3, 9, 15
 130:13, 14 131:25
 137:16 138:22
 141:4, 19 142:9, 10,
 16 143:8, 9, 12, 16
 144:16 145:1, 14,
 25 146:1, 2, 8
 147:8, 9, 12, 16, 21
 150:14, 16 154:10,
 11, 15, 17, 18
 157:17, 21, 22, 23,
 24 158:6, 11, 15
 159:8, 11, 14 161:6,
 16 162:3 163:15,
 16, 23 164:14
 165:5 166:5, 6, 7
 167:12 169:15
 173:11, 13, 19, 21
 174:11 175:8, 14
gold 28:13
Good 2:24 3:1
 4:20 13:25 63:22
 129:3, 4 149:24
 154:23, 24 162:13
 169:6 177:9, 11
 179:10
good-byes 165:17
googled 112:19, 20
govern 11:18
government 23:5
 37:8 110:6 174:14

Governor 46:9 95:17	handful 75:17 85:22	141:11, 24 142:13	175:9 176:10, 23 177:4, 9 178:13	importantly 8:8
grab 151:15	handle 38:3 64:22 93:3 94:21	He'd 94:15	Hovakimian 56:21, 22 57:8, 11 143:3 147:6 150:20 163:21	imposed 11:22
graceful 165:25	handled 9:10 60:21 64:20 124:14, 18 131:16	held 172:12	human 28:3	impossible 61:2, 9
graduated 8:3	handpicked 154:13	he'll 139:19 162:13 169:2 177:25	hundred 52:15 79:6	impression 29:1 111:19, 25 126:13 178:24
grand 23:1	hands 16:18 81:1	help 18:22 147:5, 11	hundreds 154:22	improbable 121:16
Grassley 3:3, 7 127:21 128:3, 16 174:19	handwriting 44:7 90:3 92:14	helped 6:7	hung 52:11 163:4	improper 67:1
Grassley's 5:23 45:11, 16 123:9 128:7 169:17	handwritten 46:19, 23 89:25 90:12 137:8	helpful 37:12, 16 67:15 165:14, 23	Hunt 97:5	inaction 43:1
graveyard 159:14, 15	happen 25:3 53:5 80:2 87:18 135:15 142:17 143:9, 16 159:18	Herschmann 48:3	Hurricane 174:22 175:10, 12, 18	inadvertent 22:19
great 17:19 30:22 43:18 45:22 83:9 88:6, 17 91:20 113:22 153:16 162:1, 4, 5 163:2 169:1 177:12, 13, 24, 25 178:8	happened 18:8 36:13 71:5 73:2 87:16, 17	hey 17:20 37:9 72:5 80:9 126:10 166:21	iced 172:17	inappropriate 98:13 103:13
greater 41:22	happening 146:16 147:21	hidden 44:23	idea 13:25 31:2 46:22, 25 119:5 121:5 122:9 152:19 154:19 160:10, 21, 23 172:3 179:10	inauguration 173:17
green-light 68:21	happens 158:8 159:8	highlight 174:11, 15	identified 116:19	incident 73:14 172:20
Greg 4:21	happy 49:24 120:16 170:24	highly 25:8	identify 78:11	incidentally 14:3
ground 64:11	hard 122:16, 20 155:25 168:2 172:9	Hill 87:8	IG 92:21	included 86:16 127:24, 25 128:9
grounds 32:10	hardware 18:21	historically 11:18	illegal 49:22 50:9, 12, 19 63:14 64:15 88:4	including 3:16 23:14 73:8 85:15 99:16 136:19 141:21
Group 15:17 19:2, 18 25:10 164:9, 10	harmed 32:6 33:14 119:14 153:10	History 158:4	imagine 11:13 86:4 101:20 106:16 112:13 131:12, 19 141:9	incomplete 63:1
guess 41:1 50:22 57:16 79:3 93:1 100:20 145:24 146:3 158:17 174:16	head 83:24 84:17 88:24, 25 122:17 136:14, 18 140:15 157:16 170:18	hit 168:5	immediate 67:14 76:7	incorporate 174:16
guidance 68:8	headed 149:18	hoc 77:21	immediacy 38:10 92:10 104:6 137:19 146:7 148:14 154:3 173:25	increasing 118:19
guidelines 3:18	headlines 16:21	HofR 92:19	impacted 10:13 41:3 95:6 160:18	increasingly 120:21
guy 91:20, 21 111:8, 18 112:9 114:13, 16 145:14, 22 160:12 169:6	headquartered 10:3	hold 105:20 106:1, 4 143:9	impetus 167:3	indicate 46:10 60:15 88:11 104:2, 21 130:16
guys 28:6 29:5, 12 31:20 33:12 39:12 41:25 42:16 55:11 69:5, 21 77:17, 18 86:15 109:3 120:2 126:10, 24 129:2 148:11 156:23 173:16	heads 17:21 18:5 145:24	holding 160:16	implied 69:7	indicated 15:25 20:7 74:25 86:25 132:4 163:7 166:11 168:9
guy's 111:16	heads-up 175:5	home 130:10 137:15	implications 21:13, 22 28:19 30:3 33:18	indicating 12:15 74:23
< H >	hear 25:11 42:1 43:13, 16, 17 45:20 49:6 92:7 97:19 142:15 151:1 162:4 173:8 177:6	Homeland 17:4	immediately 38:10 92:10 104:6 137:19 146:7 148:14 154:3 173:25	indication 167:2
half 151:7 155:9 171:16	heard 16:14 19:19 20:16 21:5 39:18 40:4, 6 42:9, 11 44:25 48:25 53:1 88:13, 23 91:1, 4 109:9 114:16 160:20 168:24 169:1	hope 57:2 148:2	implicate 136:6	indications 159:5
hallway 25:1	hearing 22:8 42:2 103:8, 9 124:3, 15 139:2 174:18	hour 3:20, 25 38:15 44:5 45:9 58:17 70:11 81:8 83:1, 8, 16 94:4 123:12 170:22	implicated 14:15 136:8, 11	individual 7:5 9:24 19:22 27:2 55:23 72:20 100:17 109:9 130:1, 17 138:23, 25 140:10, 13, 14, 17, 21
halt 74:1	hearings 40:22	hours 48:25 71:17 94:5 151:7, 8 152:18 154:22 161:14 164:7 171:15, 16	inform 23:12 147:11 163:23 164:20 166:15	indicating 12:15 74:23
hand 19:4, 6, 9, 14 23:6 26:2 28:11, 13, 15, 22 29:16, 18 30:6 49:14 85:13, 14 123:13 128:23	heated 103:5, 11, 16	House 11:17 12:1, 6, 10 14:15 24:7 26:10, 18, 24, 25 27:9, 12, 14, 16 29:20 47:22, 23 48:3 92:19 100:20 104:7 106:8, 15, 21 108:16 114:4 117:2, 23 118:1 121:24 126:25 127:3, 4 132:8 133:10 134:4, 8, 10, 20, 23 135:10 136:1 141:18 144:7 146:3, 14, 17, 22 147:11 148:19, 22 149:2, 6, 16, 17 151:11 158:21 159:5 163:10 169:20 170:2, 6, 20	information 41:2 52:22 54:14 63:5 93:19 99:24 100:10 105:18 110:3, 21 111:14,	individuals 25:11 40:14 76:16 107:9 115:19 124:8 134:21
handed 151:19			important 12:16, 17 20:11 65:15 97:10, 12 155:10, 22	infamous 174:23

20 126:20 138:16
148:15 164:2
174:20 175:1
informed 142:19
153:22
informing 55:23
166:18
initial 114:3, 4
Initially 66:7
100:20 135:11
141:3
initiated 117:21
inject 85:12
input 100:11
insanity 120:16
121:3, 17 129:20, 22
insight 12:3
insistent 168:22
Inspector 57:1
install 104:14
installed 159:4
installing 118:9
instance 12:13
22:24 29:22 40:20
82:23 86:2 92:17
95:17 105:10
134:2 170:10
instances 28:4
73:17 85:22 86:2
169:23, 25 170:19
instruct 49:21 50:8
instructing 119:23
instructions 50:11
74:20
insult 131:4, 5
intake 64:19 77:20,
25 131:17
integrity 5:21
65:16, 17, 24 73:6,
10 75:6, 14 76:4, 9
77:1 79:13, 17
80:18, 23 82:2, 15
intelligence 57:2
99:14, 23 103:20
121:14
intended 49:12
173:23
intending 98:25
intent 71:23
interact 81:13
173:2
interaction 172:19
interactions 55:4
61:5 172:16
interest 115:7
177:17
interested 140:16
178:25
interesting 147:10
interests 119:16

interface 53:21
interfaced 77:3
interfacing 80:17
Interference 99:15
Interior 144:14
interject 164:16
internal 105:3
Internet 24:20
86:15 99:17
interpret 41:18
43:24 169:9
interpretation
62:15 108:4 116:2
interpreted 43:22
interrupting 51:19
168:1
intervening 34:10
39:3
INTERVIEW 1:8,
17 2:3, 4 5:3, 12,
19 92:22 128:10,
22 135:5 136:13
141:22
interviewed 71:14,
18
interviewing 23:1
interviews 3:17
71:15 72:23 73:8
167:18
intimated 159:2
introduce 2:18
4:18 45:23 120:17
127:17 129:10
introduction 3:5
investigate 30:10
56:16 59:17 175:7
investigated 124:5
125:8 126:6
investigating 9:20
127:9 167:15
investigation 2:5
4:7 5:10, 21 9:7
80:9 92:24 93:1, 2,
9 122:10 169:10
174:21
investigations 9:8,
13, 16, 23, 25 10:4
12:19 21:3, 4
66:11 68:22 69:17
77:12 84:20 94:10
98:17 140:16
141:22 149:4
166:24
Investigative 3:2, 7,
16 68:21 73:7, 11
74:1 75:9, 14
79:19 85:11 86:3
95:3
investigators 79:6
invited 172:21

invoke 42:23
invoked 31:2
invoking 133:25
involve 2:6 63:21
118:13
involved 9:24 10:4,
7 23:8 28:1 65:19
79:14 92:5 93:9
98:3 103:8 110:24
118:12, 14 121:25
138:2 140:8 145:9
involvement 110:7
involving 31:5
83:17 89:5
iPhone 94:23
irregularities 46:11
67:22
irrespective 4:5
22:5 43:23 115:21
irresponsible 103:13
isolated 73:14
issue 29:21 32:19
53:3 75:24 76:5
78:10 95:21, 22
107:13 108:19
116:12, 16, 24
118:6 126:5, 8
152:5 179:11
issued 21:1 23:1
66:10 128:6
issues 10:5 31:5
34:5 40:17 51:22
68:5, 19 83:3, 15
126:1 138:8 149:3
Italian 110:23
122:7
Italy 110:5, 6
112:24 113:3
121:14
Italygate 109:14, 19,
21 120:23
items 96:19
its 16:7 22:7
59:16 61:21 63:24
108:3 112:15
135:20 151:5

< J >
Jackling 4:23
JAG 128:25
January 6:18 7:20
8:4, 12 46:21 47:8,
18 50:6, 24 51:6
100:18, 23 104:18
106:4 117:5, 8
125:22 127:22
128:2, 5 129:18
130:8 133:20
137:1, 12 144:15
145:6 171:6 173:3,

8, 15, 20 174:24
jeans 149:6
Jeff 10:1 32:14
47:24 48:24 49:11,
17 88:6, 21 91:10,
13, 19, 20, 24 92:3,
11 93:5 97:12, 13,
16 100:7 101:24,
25 102:4, 9, 19, 23
103:1, 5 105:13, 16,
17 117:12 121:24
122:2, 9 124:7
133:23, 25 134:14,
25 137:17 139:12,
16, 21 140:9, 13
142:11 144:23
145:9, 17, 19, 20
146:8, 22 149:1
151:11, 20 152:1, 2,
5, 10, 15 153:3, 11,
14, 20, 25 154:1
155:25 157:18
158:1, 8 159:13
162:21 163:23
165:11, 14, 21
166:5 167:9
171:20 172:2, 12,
16 173:2 176:18
177:19 178:6, 8
179:13
Jeffrey 46:7 47:24
116:24 118:17, 24
143:21
Jim 41:4, 8, 12, 20
43:17
job 21:7 39:22, 23
55:17, 18 59:20, 23,
24 133:4 167:20
169:12 177:25
jobs 118:23
Jody 97:5
Joe 2:22 150:23
John 107:5 112:4,
6, 11 114:12 148:1
Johnson 129:25
130:3, 17
joined 38:14, 16
151:22 171:16
joked 151:17
Jordan 41:4, 8, 12,
20 43:17
Josh 3:2 83:6
132:5 135:7
136:12 170:24
179:15
Josh's 129:1
Judge 8:5, 11
judges 31:24 32:9
judgment 102:25
126:20

JUDICIARY 1:2
2:5, 16 174:17
July 7:21 56:24
jump 14:18 113:4
114:18 116:14, 22
128:21 133:15
153:4 175:15
jumped 159:10
jumping 26:5, 9
jurisdiction 9:12
16:25 107:14, 22
113:5 115:18
jurisdictions 65:1
67:23
jury 23:1
Justice 2:6 3:10,
11, 13 4:4 6:13
10:3, 17 53:21
56:14 57:11 59:16
60:21 62:13 63:23
64:17, 22 65:16
69:1 73:16 77:11,
18 78:1, 19 79:10
119:8 124:6 125:7
131:23 136:15
154:22 172:4

< K >

Kate 4:22
Keefe 74:19
keep 9:25 59:24
69:17 84:22
105:19 106:1, 4
122:21 129:3
139:11 143:8
165:15, 18, 23
keeping 21:11
42:15 130:12
Ken 27:5 28:12
30:21, 23, 24 34:25
35:11 53:7, 8, 14
101:2, 6 113:16
kept 44:24 72:10
114:9 119:12 158:2
kind 19:11 33:14
34:18 35:13 39:7
55:21 75:9 78:25
91:20 120:20
132:16 135:3, 23
145:24 152:18
153:18 157:13
163:19 172:15
kinds 41:2 95:25
Kira 3:12
Klug 4:22
Klukowski 101:2, 6
knew 23:5 24:10
37:17, 21, 23 55:14
77:9 88:12 91:24
94:14, 16 97:3, 16

100:23 102:24
103:25
know 4:1 14:3
16:20 17:5, 20, 25
18:5 21:9 26:3
28:6, 14, 23 29:6, 8,
12, 18, 25 30:14
34:6 36:22 37:24
38:6, 24 39:17
40:20 41:11, 14, 23
42:6, 13, 19 46:4
47:3 51:21 52:5
53:4, 14 54:12, 20
55:9, 17, 19 57:24
63:23 64:2 67:6
73:19 74:14 76:8
77:23 78:1, 20
79:9, 12 83:23
92:17 93:2 96:20
97:1, 19 98:6, 22
101:6 102:3 103:1,
20 105:20, 22, 24
108:6 109:2, 3, 15
111:7, 18 112:8
114:14, 15, 16
117:2 118:4
119:24 120:1, 18
121:4 122:15
124:12 125:20
126:14 130:2, 4, 6,
21 132:24 133:2,
10 134:2, 9 136:12
137:23 138:24
142:2, 10, 11 143:7,
11, 15 147:7, 8, 9,
10, 17 148:12
149:2 150:15
152:24 153:4, 8
156:9 157:14
160:3, 22 161:22
163:14, 16 165:14,
21 166:2 172:7
173:2, 4, 14, 22
174:21 175:12
179:3, 10
knowingly 5:9
knowledge 22:16
23:9 38:5, 18
90:23 95:2 110:24
known 10:15 97:14
101:25 145:14
knows 35:6 53:7
131:23, 25
Kohl 113:16
Kurt 113:9, 17, 19,
23 114:7

< L >

labeled 35:22
57:19 69:24 129:10

lack 44:2 169:21
landed 117:25
language 12:15
86:21
large 20:23 116:1
largely 14:11 49:7
Largest 140:11
Larry 74:19
lasted 151:7
171:15, 16
late 51:25 69:20,
21 106:3 176:8
law 5:4 7:4 8:3
12:17 64:11 97:15
135:21 136:23
174:14
laws 50:2
lawsuit 16:5 115:16
lawsuits 31:13
lawyer 48:3, 15
177:24
lawyers 48:17
50:22 79:4
laying 109:14
layman 32:3
layout 24:12
lead 65:3, 7
leader 119:3
154:16
leaders 60:7 163:21
leadership 48:23
49:8, 10 60:18
80:22 84:19 88:19
104:19 106:6
118:7, 16 119:1
132:12 138:3, 5
148:4 152:1, 5
154:14 155:19
156:21 159:3, 16
164:11
leading 99:18
159:14 167:4
lead-up 150:18
leak 67:6, 7, 14
leaked 66:24 67:6
learn 143:20
learned 130:16
176:12
leave 29:15 39:23
49:23 57:3 62:8
70:17 86:24 87:5,
6 142:7 154:8
159:3
leaves 162:11
177:23
leaving 8:14 17:7
34:7 148:8 162:22
177:7 178:3
led 134:15
left 8:22 32:15
34:8 36:17 66:25

71:19 72:4 76:1
82:25 97:5 120:12
137:19 142:14, 25
143:18 149:22
151:21 154:12
166:9, 19 178:9
left-hand 139:5
legal 31:12 32:16
33:3 47:6, 12 48:2,
13 49:23 50:1, 11
95:22 104:25
115:11, 23
legislative 46:12
legislature 95:20
165:2
legislatures 46:12
legitimate 43:8, 20
58:18, 20 59:3, 17
60:20 85:4 136:10
legitimizing 22:19
length 31:8 39:9
171:8
lengthy 132:11
Leonardo 110:4, 13
112:20, 24
letter 16:12 46:8,
19, 20 47:13, 16
48:7, 23 49:4, 10,
12, 13, 16 50:15
61:23 66:23 96:9
98:23 99:3, 8, 10
100:7, 25 101:3, 14
103:21, 23 104:22
106:9 109:13, 15
110:7, 20 118:3, 5
138:24 139:2
140:25 141:3, 6, 14,
19 150:19 152:3, 5,
8, 11 156:1, 5, 6
157:9 158:22
172:2 173:22, 25
176:14 177:12
level 26:3 57:11
132:19
Levi 13:1 14:5
L-e-v-i 13:1
Lieutenant 175:1, 3
67:12
likelihood 142:23
Likes 91:10 92:11
limit 135:13 136:7
limitations 11:18,
22 135:8, 9 136:22
limited 35:5 41:14,
15, 21 43:18 74:7
82:23 96:25 98:25
127:4
limits 12:6, 9
line 8:16 13:10
24:15 36:11 38:5,

7, 13 55:1 86:14
92:10 96:18 105:5
126:1 128:20
157:13
lines 13:23 33:16
91:9 109:2 155:2
159:6
list 125:23
Listen 165:21
listened 48:17
listening 43:16
literally 60:11
litigation 8:23 16:5
19:5 23:8 97:7
litigator 8:11
little 21:25 26:15
31:22 32:25 81:6
83:19 84:16 92:13
96:8 103:5, 11
107:12 120:12
126:24 139:5, 16
142:3 143:14
144:19 152:22
167:15 168:9
local 18:22 40:25
located 110:9
location 123:25
124:4
Loeb 3:11
long 38:14, 15
83:23 97:13 102:1
123:3 163:25 179:4
longer 56:25 76:7
long-standing 12:24
look 17:4 18:6, 9
22:4, 18 29:2, 10
30:16 31:5 32:16
34:4 53:15 58:3
61:18 62:17 64:14
71:5 93:22 100:12
103:17 108:17
110:15 111:13
112:18 120:5, 6, 13
122:2 125:5
126:11 134:7
143:15 145:13
146:15 149:11
169:23 170:3
looked 23:13, 21
64:3 71:9 72:22
94:22 96:1, 5
121:5, 9 133:2
139:16, 17, 18, 19
157:18 158:7
160:1, 2, 11 161:4
167:17 169:12
170:4, 12 172:11
looking 25:15
37:21, 22 38:24
55:10 80:9 94:19
96:6 108:11, 23

109:1 112:9
114:12 124:17
170:8
looks 71:20 72:3
88:1
loop 25:4
looped 51:14
Loretta 8:20
lose 61:2 153:14,
17 155:12
lot 16:1, 15 23:13
25:19 37:11 59:5
64:4 72:5 81:4
87:14 91:10, 20
92:11 93:18, 19
97:10 111:25 123:2
loudly 153:2
luck 149:24
lunch 123:3 168:8
172:20, 22 173:5
lured 71:1
Lynch 8:20

< M >

machine 45:7 99:16
machines 15:21
16:1, 7, 17 18:24
21:15 28:8 70:20
magistrate 8:11
magnified 45:3
Main 10:3 65:16
73:16
maintain 11:17
maintained 11:18
60:16
major 37:23 42:20
135:25
majority 2:15, 23,
25 3:20 11:3
14:20 34:21 35:22
36:20 39:15 55:14
57:15, 17, 18, 19, 22
69:23, 24 89:10, 13,
16 96:11 106:9
113:6 114:19
129:10, 16 133:15
137:3
making 9:17, 18
34:6 68:16 123:2
152:14 153:3
179:22
Mall 149:7
manifested 85:21
manner 5:1
manual 69:1
mark 11:4 14:20
29:22 89:13 96:13
106:10 107:5, 11
109:12 111:12
117:17 118:13
120:15, 22 121:4

125:1, 6, 22 131:8 132:13 133:21 marked 11:6 14:23 34:23 35:24 37:2 46:2 70:5 89:15, 19 96:15 106:10 113:7 114:22 123:18 124:22 125:18 129:13 133:19 137:4 marks 86:16 Martin 107:11 M-a-r-t-i-n 107:11 mass 153:23 154:20 157:22 Mastriano 40:12, 22 41:12 match 133:22 matched 63:6 materially 5:9 materials 93:13 94:6 Mathis 107:5 Matt 17:20 18:3 matter 25:13 28:5, 18 29:17 30:4 33:9 53:20 64:21 71:6 72:11 73:17 74:15, 17, 25 78:9 79:16 119:3 133:2, 3, 4 155:18 mattered 23:18 matters 27:18 51:10 65:4, 8, 22 75:1 88:14 97:7 107:3 170:6 McClain 2:24, 25 Meadows 29:22 109:12 111:12 117:17 118:13 120:15, 22 121:5 125:1, 2, 4, 22 126:9, 18 131:8 132:13 133:21 134:6 mean 20:14 21:17 29:24 32:19 79:14, 16 87:3 92:1 112:4 119:22 131:11 138:3 152:24 159:9 164:11 169:9 177:19 meaning 44:18 45:2 102:4 137:15 153:25 157:9 means 32:5 86:17 177:12 meant 32:20 64:15 91:15 146:12	measure 13:7 14:11 meddling 103:15 media 16:16 71:20 72:2 meet 51:9 57:12 129:25 130:3 131:1, 5, 13, 19 137:17, 23 145:12, 14 146:22 meeting 25:6 26:10, 14, 16, 17, 19, 20, 22 27:3, 7, 8, 9, 21 29:4, 21 30:13, 14, 17, 20, 25 31:3 33:19 38:22 47:8, 18, 19, 20 48:8, 14 49:7 50:6 51:4, 7 52:20 89:8 101:15, 20 103:24 104:11, 13, 17, 24 106:7, 14, 24 108:15 109:10, 16, 18, 22 114:4, 12, 14 116:25 117:2, 4, 8, 11, 13, 14, 15, 20, 21 118:3 119:6 137:2, 13, 22 138:6, 9 139:22 142:7, 13 143:24 144:2, 6, 12, 13, 19 145:18 147:2 150:6, 11, 16, 19, 24 151:4, 5, 7 159:19 163:7, 20, 21, 22, 25 164:4 166:11 171:6, 9 172:15 173:3, 8 174:24 177:10, 11 179:5 meetings 26:10, 14 29:4 39:3 57:8 61:9 97:3 115:10 116:23 117:6 118:8 133:4 169:20 member 132:12 134:13 members 174:14 memo 11:25 21:1 66:10, 18 67:10, 13, 14, 18, 19 68:2 76:9, 12, 13, 19 81:3, 24 86:4 memos 11:23 mention 41:16 76:11 92:8 mentioned 51:3 52:5, 8 53:20 54:24 66:5 76:16, 17 77:2 89:7 91:5 101:15, 24 108:20 126:23 156:7 159:24 170:14	173:19 175:11 176:5 mentioning 92:3 mentions 150:20 merit 95:20 114:6 merits 32:10 85:5 meshing 122:5 messaging 160:17 met 51:11 100:5 145:16, 17 Mexico 125:25 126:5, 8 Michael 24:6 Michigan 15:2, 4, 24 16:14 17:12, 15 21:15 26:8 mid 7:20 middle 123:23 mid-July 6:16 midst 20:20 military 8:10 121:15 122:7 128:15 military-grade 110:24 Milley 149:22 million 62:23, 25 mind 37:13 44:4 84:22 139:11 158:2 minds 153:2 minimize 11:25 135:20 minimum 78:22 minorities 160:19 minority 3:21 45:23 57:20 84:7 123:15 124:21 125:17 160:19 minute 2:19 20:3 92:2 107:16 113:5 120:20 150:9, 15 163:6 minutes 24:17, 25 37:10 38:9 53:9 82:25 102:19 123:1 132:5 135:7 137:20 147:22 150:1, 4 157:7 171:17 misconduct 68:14 misdated 90:5 misidentification 113:21 missing 63:1 misspelled 111:10 112:21 misspelling 110:1 misunderstood 49:3 67:13 Mitchell 125:1	Mizelle 27:2 Molly 24:6 moment 176:9 moments 162:17 Monday 117:5 142:2, 10, 15 143:20 161:12 164:13 166:21 month 14:19 months 15:12 20:15 56:24 Moran 114:12 morning 2:24 3:1, 4 4:20 51:23 102:3 161:11, 12 164:13 166:4, 21 177:2 motivations 34:6 move 46:15 63:9 81:7 82:25 84:12 86:10 103:17 123:15, 24 137:1 164:15 moved 72:17 77:21 138:20 173:16 moves 77:25 moving 92:15 141:14 mud 149:6 multiple 70:25 murder 157:9 murder-suicide 158:23 < N > nail 138:15 name 2:8, 10, 15 3:2 4:20 53:11 76:11 78:12 88:23 97:20 103:9 107:11 109:25 110:1, 19 111:9, 10, 16 112:7, 12, 19, 21 115:18 133:25 176:20 180:11 named 19:22 27:2 48:3 66:1, 7 100:17 109:8 names 150:20 nasty 123:2 National 99:14 112:6 148:2 nationwide 30:3 Natural 89:1 nature 38:17 152:20 158:22 nd 8:8 necessarily 20:6, 11 38:1 65:20 116:15 need 3:25 20:9, 17 21:7, 9, 22 53:3	59:25 60:4 73:21 78:17 85:1 123:1, 3 125:10 126:11 127:18 128:21 141:6 142:2 146:17 147:5, 19 148:3, 7 158:24 175:15 177:12 needed 13:15 21:21 78:5 93:9 163:1 needs 105:22 nerve 145:22 net 99:17 networks 45:2, 3 never 19:19 57:7 91:1 103:23 115:10 132:18 141:19 160:13, 20 165:6 173:22 never-Trumper 160:8, 12, 25 161:2 164:23 165:5 168:19 New 4:21 6:17 8:14 20:20 51:5 121:6 125:25 126:5, 8 170:11 news 16:1 26:7 150:2, 3 165:6 Nicole 2:25 night 52:5, 8, 16, 19 53:9 61:10 69:20, 21 71:21, 24 72:3 90:10 101:23 102:2 nights 109:6 nodded 149:24 nominated 57:1 158:13 nomination 57:7 nonconcurrence 73:10 74:1 79:20 nonconcurrences 73:15, 25 nonpublic 23:12 Northern 64:3, 7 71:8 72:24 162:8, 22, 23 169:12 nose 103:6 notation 36:7 40:10 42:25 43:5, 7 44:9 87:25 90:14 91:12 92:10 93:21 95:1 107:6, 8, 14 111:2 137:10 138:10 139:5 140:5 141:8 notations 139:3 note 4:3 30:24 58:19 60:10 95:14
--	---	--	--	--

99:8 112:3
notebook 109:24
noted 4:2 20:5
 75:7 140:2 149:5
notes 14:2, 3, 6, 8
 35:23 36:3, 5 37:1
 39:6 40:5, 9 42:10,
 13, 23 43:4, 6, 11
 44:10 46:23 58:1,
 16, 20 61:1 62:15
 63:10, 11 86:11
 89:7, 18, 25 90:2, 5,
 12 91:7, 10, 18
 106:10, 12, 13, 14
 107:6, 12 108:5, 11
 109:7, 25 110:4
 113:7, 13, 14
 118:21 124:2
 137:4, 8 138:9
 139:4
notice 1:17 179:22
noticed 90:12
notification 80:6, 8,
 13
notifications 80:5,
 14
notion 33:2
November 8:15, 21
 11:12 14:13 66:10
 67:9 76:9, 12, 19
 134:19
NSD 148:3
number 11:7 15:12
 20:23 21:6 27:6
 32:11 36:21 51:16
 62:21 63:5, 6
 75:16 89:14, 20
 90:15, 21 96:14
 106:10 107:1
 108:12 114:10
 115:2 116:11, 19
 117:6 134:2, 18
 139:21 141:15, 20
 169:18 171:9
numbered 35:3
 43:6 86:14
numbers 36:19
 75:12
numerous 45:1

< O >
oath 5:4
Obama 174:20, 24
 175:4
object 76:15, 20
 81:18 84:4, 9
objection 81:17
 82:3
obligation 88:3
observers 70:17
 71:1, 19 72:4

obvious 138:1
 157:7
obviously 9:12
 11:25 13:4, 17
 15:11 16:16, 19
 17:16 22:8 26:3,
 21, 24 28:2 29:25
 31:6 33:6 38:22
 40:19 67:6 76:5
 78:24 87:10 88:20
 101:23 108:20
 125:11 133:2, 7, 9
 134:17 144:21
 151:21 152:3
 164:21 168:11
 170:8
occasions 20:17
 45:1 134:3
occur 12:12 68:1
occurred 75:20
ODAG 57:5
odds 98:16
ODNI 99:18, 23
 100:2 138:8, 13, 14
offer 29:21 55:2
 121:12 142:4
 145:1 166:6 176:23
offered 142:1, 9, 20
offguard 162:18
offhand 170:5
office 8:14 9:15, 20
 10:6, 11 14:25
 16:13 17:7 24:13,
 14 26:16, 19, 20, 23
 32:15, 16 47:6, 12,
 21 48:2, 13 51:12
 52:20 54:13 57:6
 64:1, 3 65:2, 4, 6,
 11, 13 69:2, 4, 9
 71:8 73:23 74:5, 8
 78:8, 12, 23 80:3, 4,
 8 99:13 101:16
 102:13 104:5, 10,
 12, 25 106:22, 23
 112:5 113:24
 114:6, 24 116:17
 117:11, 14 124:14
 125:15 131:16, 17,
 24, 25 143:1
 144:17, 18, 21
 145:16, 18 146:5
 147:2, 15 149:12,
 17, 19, 21, 23
 150:13, 16, 24
 151:9 158:20
 163:20 164:4, 6, 8
 165:11, 16, 24
 166:5, 8, 10 167:24
 168:18 172:6
 173:7 174:24

176:11 177:3
 178:9, 13 179:5
Officer 65:3 78:11
officers 64:11 69:9
 110:9
Offices 9:11 21:2
 43:5 64:24 65:22
 78:10 80:5
official 6:14 41:2
 64:5
officially 6:19
 129:10
officials 10:2 40:17
 41:1 67:21 70:15,
 16, 18 72:5 105:25
 142:22 169:20
 175:9
Oh 117:12 160:4,
 11 161:1
Okay 36:18 45:20
 49:15 51:6 52:10
 53:6 57:15 58:15
 60:4, 15 62:6 63:8
 69:19 75:19 76:17
 82:19, 24 91:15
 122:19 123:4, 20
 124:20 125:16, 22
 126:9 155:24
 157:3 158:17
 159:1 164:9, 15
 166:23 167:2
 173:24 174:11
OLC 32:21 46:21
 47:5 105:8, 11
 108:7 116:8 120:6
old 54:9, 11
Olsen 113:9, 17, 19,
 24 114:7, 9 119:25
Olsens 107:18
Once 38:14 63:4
 84:3 154:16 163:7
 172:18 173:11, 24
one-ballot 19:7
one-on-one 145:8
one-page 18:12
 25:19 35:10
one-pager 35:1
 114:24 115:1, 14
ones 23:14 33:14
ongoing 74:15, 25
 75:1, 24
open 77:11 109:24
 171:10, 14
opening 72:13 80:9
operate 67:8
operated 132:19
operates 119:2
 122:12 135:13
operating 68:3
Operations 15:17
 19:2, 18 136:24

operator 101:9
 177:4
opine 59:9
opined 32:21
opinion 84:17 85:4
opinions 108:7
opportunity 3:21
 174:2
oppose 60:7
opposed 33:4
 46:20 47:15 48:25
 66:18 115:25
 121:18 152:17
 179:1
opposition 82:4
option 152:9
orally 141:20
order 5:20 22:2
 173:14
ordered 19:4 23:7
ordinarily 92:5
 168:21
ordinary 132:16
organic 152:21, 24
original 107:14, 22
 113:5 115:4, 17
originate 80:3
OSG 32:21 108:7
 115:14 116:5, 8
 120:5
ought 175:10
outcome 10:13, 19,
 24 20:18, 24 23:18
 33:24 61:21 62:14
 68:18 73:2 86:8
 93:23 95:12
 103:15 116:10
 119:4 138:18 164:8
outreach 14:14
 97:25 98:1 120:21
 132:7, 13, 14 136:4
 140:8, 24
outset 94:8 139:22
 147:18
outside 5:20 23:4
 52:24 76:21 81:20
 84:4 149:11, 13, 19
 169:20 170:2
Oval 26:16, 19, 20,
 23 47:21 51:12
 52:19 104:5, 10, 12
 117:11, 14 145:18
 147:2 149:12, 19,
 21, 23 150:12, 16,
 24 151:9 158:20
 163:20 164:4, 7
 165:11 166:10
 167:24 168:18
 172:6 173:7
 174:24 179:5

overall 30:16 39:8
 136:7
overlap 31:21
overlapping 24:24
override 73:16
overriding 73:18
Oversight 2:16
overt 73:7 85:10
 86:3
overtly 22:25
overturn 2:7 56:2,
 6
overturning 31:14
overview 7:24 9:7
 151:5
owns 140:12

< P >
p.m 52:20 71:24
 89:23 96:18
 149:16 168:2, 6
PA 40:11, 12 61:18
pact 157:10 158:23
PADAG 97:2
page 11:8 12:14
 19:20 35:7 40:9
 41:5 43:4, 6 44:9
 46:16 57:23 69:14
 86:13, 22, 23 87:24
 88:1 107:13 112:3
 115:6 123:23
 131:7 141:8
pages 180:2
Pak 64:6 124:18,
 19 139:6, 10, 17, 25
 140:1 159:20
 160:12, 17 162:10,
 22 164:17 166:11,
 14 167:6 168:18
 173:19 174:2
Pak's 124:14
 139:20 167:2
pan 156:13
pandemic 20:20
panic 106:6
paper 18:11 23:3
 160:6, 11, 16
paraphrase 67:18
paraphrasing 85:23
parenthesis 40:11
part 16:3 22:17
 37:22 46:8 58:10,
 12 59:24 71:20
 73:6 87:6, 20
 94:18 104:14
 109:20 118:11
 121:11 125:23
 144:5 157:14
 164:20 167:2
participant 144:2

<p>participants 29:20 108:16 117:16 151:9</p> <p>participate 106:19 148:24</p> <p>particular 9:4, 6 12:25 32:13 44:25 86:2 95:3 96:4 99:20 108:25 115:19, 20, 24 116:5 120:22 132:6 133:25 135:19 136:2 164:9</p> <p>particularly 103:11 136:4 142:19 163:25</p> <p>parties 115:7</p> <p>Partly 141:8</p> <p>partners 25:22 109:14 110:12</p> <p>party 139:13</p> <p>Party's 160:18</p> <p>pass 111:23 125:14</p> <p>passed 17:11 90:20 112:1</p> <p>passing 41:8, 17 121:19 170:14</p> <p>passionately 21:6</p> <p>Pat 26:25 47:22, 23 57:5 106:16 117:18 143:3, 5 146:11, 12 147:6, 13, 22 148:16 149:18 151:10, 11 157:8 159:7, 10 161:13, 19</p> <p>patch 36:12 37:6, 13</p> <p>patched 38:8 39:2</p> <p>Patrick 56:21, 22 106:16 117:17</p> <p>Pat's 147:15</p> <p>payroll 165:23</p> <p>PC 106:15</p> <p>PCB 77:5</p> <p>pending 12:18</p> <p>Pennsylvania 40:19, 21, 23 42:3, 7 52:12 61:19 62:18 63:3 89:6 93:14, 20, 24 94:7, 12, 20 95:13 107:13, 23 108:10 109:3 133:1 156:15 170:12</p> <p>people 13:10, 22, 24 20:17, 24 21:8 22:3, 14, 20 31:18, 19 32:6, 8 33:4, 12, 13 39:12 40:1 41:11 42:25 53:25</p>	<p>58:4 67:12 68:17 79:6 80:4 88:3, 6, 16 90:16 105:4, 7 115:13 118:22, 23 119:13, 14, 16, 25 123:2 135:10 140:21 146:21 148:10 152:21 153:2, 4, 9 154:12, 13, 22 162:22 167:14 171:9</p> <p>perceive 50:12</p> <p>percent 15:25 16:16 19:14 20:5 21:14 22:8 28:7, 20 52:15</p> <p>perception 66:25</p> <p>perform 74:20</p> <p>performance 12:16 167:7</p> <p>period 9:2, 4 10:9 13:18, 20 34:10 38:8 82:22 85:1 132:3 161:3</p> <p>permeate 82:1</p> <p>permitted 74:7, 8 86:3</p> <p>Perry 40:10, 21 41:12, 19 43:18 89:6, 23 90:9, 18, 21, 24 91:13 92:8, 11, 16 93:12 97:20 101:24 103:25</p> <p>Perry's 41:1</p> <p>person 51:9 78:16 84:23 105:13</p> <p>personal 97:13 103:3 143:6</p> <p>personally 22:16 33:5 63:20 97:25 167:6 168:10 170:1</p> <p>personnel 7:4 12:1 18:18 76:12 78:20 154:21</p> <p>perspective 12:17</p> <p>pertained 156:5</p> <p>pertaining 84:8 93:13</p> <p>Pesaro 110:5</p> <p>Peter 2:10</p> <p>petition 125:1</p> <p>Philbin 27:1 47:23 106:16 117:17 149:18 151:11</p> <p>phone 13:5 37:8, 9, 10, 12 51:13, 14, 16 52:3, 17 57:9 82:19 90:15, 21 113:25 133:3 139:21 140:12 147:14 162:16, 18,</p>	<p>19 163:5 169:19 176:9 177:4 178:12</p> <p>phones 172:7</p> <p>photo 117:14</p> <p>phrase 120:16</p> <p>phrased 29:3 31:17</p> <p>physical 24:12</p> <p>physically 104:12</p> <p>picked 54:9 178:12</p> <p>piece 160:6, 11, 15</p> <p>pieces 70:22</p> <p>Pilger 66:8, 9 68:20 76:18 81:11, 23 82:1, 2 83:19 84:5, 17</p> <p>P-i-l-g-e-r 66:8</p> <p>Pilger's 67:5</p> <p>PIN 65:18 73:6, 22 74:4 75:5, 8, 21 76:24 80:19 81:9 82:20 83:21 85:24</p> <p>pipeline 79:18, 21</p> <p>pitfalls 171:11</p> <p>Pittsburgh 94:11</p> <p>place 15:19 24:19 102:10 103:8 104:15 105:4 106:8, 21 113:24 114:2 118:10 137:13 138:10 147:2 148:3 160:14 166:14</p> <p>placed 38:18</p> <p>places 8:7 12:9 24:20 135:8</p> <p>placing 72:14</p> <p>plane 117:25</p> <p>planned 166:12 174:3</p> <p>plans 166:18 178:3</p> <p>played 60:22</p> <p>playing 7:11</p> <p>please 2:8, 17 4:1, 18 5:2, 18</p> <p>plenty 133:13</p> <p>point 5:23, 25 6:24 8:22 11:2 13:2 14:14 15:12 16:21 17:3 22:11 30:1 33:1, 19 38:13 40:13 42:22 49:9 50:1 63:18 66:13 74:9, 23 76:22 79:13 83:25 91:2 98:22 100:6 104:5 105:24 106:2 107:25 108:7, 20 109:9 116:6, 9 118:18 119:11, 19, 22 122:1, 3 128:11 129:24 132:10</p>	<p>134:3, 14 136:12 139:20 142:18 143:11, 18 145:9 146:5 152:4, 12, 21 153:3, 14, 24 155:10, 21, 25 158:1 159:1, 13 163:13 173:18 178:18</p> <p>pointing 158:8 163:14</p> <p>points 18:13 115:2 116:10 140:23 141:21</p> <p>policies 11:14, 16 12:22, 24 13:6, 11 14:16 134:20 135:2 136:10</p> <p>policy 11:13 12:6, 9 75:4 76:10 85:8, 9, 17 104:7 126:25 127:2, 3 134:4, 6, 7 135:4, 13, 19 136:6, 20 141:18</p> <p>political 33:8 84:18, 24 85:2 87:8 135:21 136:7</p> <p>politicizes 136:24</p> <p>Polk 4:21 6:7</p> <p>pool 72:2</p> <p>poor 67:11</p> <p>popped 62:2</p> <p>portfolio 97:8 103:7</p> <p>portion 109:7 116:3 129:22 135:6, 8, 19 136:6</p> <p>position 6:14, 16 7:1, 10, 20 21:23, 24 22:1 23:24 38:21 53:25 66:9, 21 67:3 68:20, 24 83:24 85:10 88:19 105:9 142:1, 9, 20 152:6 167:10 177:24</p> <p>possibility 30:15 118:9</p> <p>possible 28:19 39:24 80:25 81:5 85:11 119:13 120:10 131:8, 10</p> <p>possibly 88:19</p> <p>post 174:9</p> <p>post-certification 75:23</p> <p>postelection 10:9</p> <p>post-election 13:17</p> <p>potential 4:5 32:25 49:8 73:11 85:12 115:23 138:5</p>	<p>potentially 20:22 59:3 60:5 68:5 76:19</p> <p>POTUS 24:8 36:8 46:21 91:7</p> <p>power 68:24</p> <p>PP 106:15</p> <p>practical 33:9</p> <p>practice 68:10 125:13 126:9</p> <p>pre 75:23</p> <p>preamble 6:2</p> <p>precaution 13:15</p> <p>precautionary 13:7</p> <p>preceded 144:6</p> <p>precise 51:20 123:22</p> <p>precisely 77:4</p> <p>preclude 127:3</p> <p>prefer 122:22</p> <p>preliminary 80:12</p> <p>preparation 78:9 144:15</p> <p>preparations 145:6</p> <p>prepare 6:8</p> <p>prepared 176:21, 22</p> <p>prescribes 12:6</p> <p>present 43:14</p> <p>presented 29:11 46:11 54:23 66:19</p> <p>presenting 55:11</p> <p>preserve 5:21</p> <p>President 2:6 16:12 26:24 27:24 28:4, 18 29:7, 11 30:9, 21, 23 31:11, 23 32:1, 11 33:1, 11 34:4, 13 35:21 36:11 37:10 38:2, 7, 12, 18 39:9, 15, 22, 25 40:16, 25 41:5, 10, 20 42:10, 19 43:14, 23 45:4 46:9 47:11, 21 48:9, 11, 12, 25 49:15 50:14 51:4, 8, 9, 15, 17 52:4 53:10, 11, 17 54:17 55:3, 9, 23 56:9, 12, 14, 18 57:9, 13 58:6, 23, 25 59:2, 7, 15 60:9, 16, 25 61:5, 18, 24 62:12, 13, 24 63:11 64:10 86:12 87:3, 9, 20 88:11, 16, 18 90:14, 15, 19, 20 91:5 92:3 97:20 101:24 103:9 104:4, 14, 18, 21 105:7 109:5, 6 113:11 115:9, 22</p>
---	--	---	---	--

117:16, 24 118:13, 15, 21, 25 119:7, 18 127:12 132:14 136:2, 9 141:25 142:5, 9, 10, 20 144:25 145:3 150:6, 10 151:10, 14, 20, 25 152:7, 14, 17 153:1, 7, 22, 24 154:8 155:11 156:3 157:4, 16 158:2, 3, 5 159:18, 22 160:1, 17, 22 161:6, 15, 22 162:9, 20, 21 163:1, 7, 14 164:23 165:13 166:6 168:20, 25 169:21 170:2 171:8, 19 173:3, 24 174:13, 20 176:1, 5, 8, 14, 15, 22 177:5, 8, 15 178:1, 14, 25 179:4, 7, 12 presidential 2:7 21:19 98:21 103:15 110:25 Presidentially 158:13 President's 12:16 24:16 40:13 49:20 50:2, 7, 25 58:19 59:10, 23 60:19 62:6 118:19 119:11 136:14, 17 157:6 press 66:24 78:10 125:2 128:2, 6 pressed 129:8 pressure 34:3 presumably 19:22 141:13 144:8 151:8 155:4 176:18 presume 61:24 pretty 21:6 36:3 42:19 49:11 78:15 98:1 102:14 111:17 112:15 121:8 144:23 164:3 previous 87:17 96:9 156:8 previously 33:22 84:24 166:11 primarily 9:11 26:6 64:24 65:12 94:9 primary 16:25 Principal 6:15, 25 7:16, 22 9:3 principles 136:20 printed 24:25	prior 7:15, 25 67:22 68:2, 24 73:12 75:14 93:1 97:22 114:10 prison 113:3 private 16:6 113:9 115:7 privilege 4:6 privy 143:6 pro 46:9 proactive 85:1 probably 26:2 32:14 52:1 79:3 81:2 82:22 94:4 111:24 117:3 120:18 123:12 137:20 164:4 166:16 problem 16:18 21:9, 17 22:10 28:21 40:7 41:12 42:20 69:7 problems 41:3 42:21 procedural 116:20 Procedure 3:15 64:18 68:3 procedures 20:20 54:4, 5 95:19 proceed 3:19 69:6, 10, 13 75:14 81:15 proceeded 175:6 PROCEEDINGS 2:1 process 22:17 25:4 37:25 64:19 77:17, 20, 22 78:1 79:19 80:18 87:8 150:18 produced 70:18, 24 100:19 117:15 professional 7:25 96:22 97:13 102:24 143:7 professionalism 6:6 179:20 progress 28:23 progressed 28:10 Prohibition 115:6 promoted 8:17 prompted 106:24 Proof 98:24 99:8 100:25 proper 12:2 78:2 properly 32:5 50:4 67:8 95:10 prophylactic 14:11 proposal 102:14 103:12 107:20 proposed 73:8 103:2 104:22 113:11	proposing 98:12, 14 99:3 102:25 103:14 prosecuting 68:13 prosecution 5:11 prosecutions 12:19 prosecutor 8:10 132:11 prosecutorial 81:19 prospect 30:9 31:11, 15 135:20 142:23 protect 119:15 protection 110:6 protocol 64:18 77:20 provide 4:5 5:9, 16 18:10, 11 22:2, 21 73:10 90:15 provided 18:12 19:1 23:3 48:6, 8 50:21 62:24 74:20 82:6 100:21 110:2, 3 111:14 113:20 138:16 139:20 174:20 providing 40:2 55:22 110:16 proximity 27:19 prudent 105:19 153:5 public 22:18 23:9 33:22 34:14 65:16, 17, 24 66:21 69:12 73:6, 10 75:6, 13 76:3, 9 77:1, 5 79:13, 17 80:1, 7, 18, 23 81:23 82:1, 15 112:22 113:1 115:25 127:23 159:4 170:7 publicly 10:17 59:1 67:12 78:12 127:22 pull 34:19 35:21 46:4 69:19, 23 87:24 89:9 96:10 113:6 134:18 149:13 pulled 25:5 133:9 pure 120:16 121:3, 17 129:20, 22 purportedly 21:12 113:10 160:16 purpose 105:2 135:24 136:7 163:22 purposes 168:11 pursuant 1:17 12:25 13:12 16:6 17:16 19:5 69:1	165:10 177:22 pursue 67:21 95:23 pursuing 87:8 push 127:8 pushed 101:20 put 35:10 43:21 67:11 75:16 76:24 78:12 88:6, 17 91:17 118:24 146:6 147:20 148:11 152:2 153:11, 14 154:1 163:24 165:8 169:2 177:5 Putting 31:1 88:19 146:9 168:22 < Q > qualified 153:20 quarter 144:20 question 4:12 23:17, 23 31:1 32:20 50:3 59:15, 19 60:13 73:1 75:19 86:21 95:12 109:1 132:5 136:13 138:18 149:12 150:8 158:9 164:16 169:14 173:10 questioning 3:19 6:10 74:15 123:13 126:23 128:13, 20 170:23 174:19 questions 3:20, 21, 23 4:8 5:1, 2, 4, 16 6:3 11:3 20:21 44:6 81:9 82:7 83:15 84:5, 7, 8, 9 86:12 89:4 107:15 120:14 128:12 141:5 150:8, 15, 24 168:5, 10 169:16 171:4 175:19 180:3 quick 44:6, 7 45:10 83:8 114:18 129:2 178:22 quickly 21:20 34:17 61:20 66:24 86:10 94:24 147:19 155:24 quiet 144:21 quite 15:11 129:7 155:2 159:6 quotation 86:16 quotations 88:2 quote 58:7, 22 60:8 62:11 86:18 87:1, 22 88:2 129:25 160:15, 16, 20 164:24 169:6	quotes 28:3 60:11, 12 88:5 91:18 quoting 61:1 < R > races 58:5, 13 rack 45:8 radar 111:8 Raffensperger 150:2 Ragsdale 3:6 raise 30:9 31:11, 15 41:5 42:10 52:9 73:23 132:24 raised 20:21 27:24 28:4 30:13, 15, 19 52:16 66:24 93:3 97:20 108:19 109:24 114:4 116:5, 9, 13, 20 132:25 raises 42:14 136:2 raising 30:18 40:13, 17 140:23 ramifications 155:14 Ramsland 19:22, 25 ran 25:1 70:20 113:1 rang 52:3 177:4 rate 15:25 16:17 19:11, 14, 15 20:6 21:14 22:9 28:8, 21 rattling 44:16 reach 79:15 100:1 139:9 reached 45:9 97:23 104:24 113:10 reaching 141:21 react 138:19 141:14 reaction 98:4 99:20 120:25 129:21 147:12 148:17 172:2 read 12:8 30:1 85:7 87:23 94:22 98:11 105:1, 25 107:7 108:11 142:22 160:15 180:2 reading 43:2 61:3 62:10 88:8 94:23 139:4, 8, 11 ready 148:18 reaffirmed 10:20 130:19, 21 real 34:17 68:17 122:3 reality 115:18 157:21 realized 42:10 112:21
--	--	--	--	--

really 13:16 16:16 21:14 28:24 29:24 30:7 59:9 60:23 73:17 75:24 86:10 91:21 100:11 102:23 121:12 135:20 142:16 144:22 145:11 155:12, 19, 24 156:23 177:16	128:1, 10 139:23 174:11 175:10, 22, 24 179:25 recorded 180:4 recorder 28:3 records 54:5 82:6 recount 19:4, 7, 9, 14 23:7 26:2 28:15, 22 29:16, 18 30:6 recruit 160:18 redounded 33:7 refer 61:6 reference 41:4, 8 46:6, 16 61:12, 13 88:13, 21 104:3, 16, 18 107:5, 20 108:10 119:25 134:14 140:10, 19 174:16 referenced 46:18 47:8 58:16 references 70:9 referencing 67:18 referrals 121:7 referred 36:15 70:10 126:15 170:19 referring 35:17 87:12 88:18 120:15 refers 47:1, 5 reflect 127:19 reflected 62:25 85:6 91:18 106:9 110:4 118:21 refusal 131:4 refused 131:13 175:4 refusing 120:3 131:18 refute 112:17 regard 13:18 17:7 18:21 22:24 23:11 37:23 44:17 58:20 98:20 100:9 121:21 122:8 126:19 163:11 regarding 59:3 97:24 127:22 regardless 127:16 registered 61:19 62:18 regular 97:6 131:22 177:18, 20 reiterate 55:17 reiterated 33:22 58:23 rejected 46:18, 22 47:12 49:16, 17 172:2, 3	rejecting 50:15, 16 rejoinder 41:18 relate 49:24 74:25 124:10, 11 related 15:1 34:2 49:20 50:7 52:21 54:11 58:25 67:15 68:5 95:9 114:19 121:3 125:25 127:9 164:21 relates 44:15 relating 48:7 51:10, 18 56:15 59:6 64:4 66:10 73:11 82:6, 15, 20 105:18 121:21 173:9 relation 51:12 52:18 88:14 100:20 110:5 131:3 144:14 relationship 75:21 79:16 96:23 97:14, 17 103:3 143:7 172:16 relatively 155:7 relayed 124:7 relaying 40:2 110:20 release 78:10 125:2 128:3, 6 relevant 46:10 76:7 100:10 140:2 reliability 22:13 56:1 relied 23:6 116:7 relieved 30:5 164:12 reluctant 69:10, 13 remain 6:18 103:7 174:6 remained 7:20 8:14, 24 57:5 66:11 remaining 120:20 remember 13:3, 8, 16, 21 15:12 24:15 26:19 27:15 29:10 30:24 38:23 40:15 53:2 54:15 71:14 73:14 75:24 77:4, 9 80:11 91:17, 18 104:17, 23 106:25 112:10 117:7, 22 126:4, 7, 8 130:5, 15, 23 131:3, 6 135:16, 17 137:12 157:12 remind 7:17 13:22 reminded 141:17 remove 105:9, 12 152:1	rendered 49:20 50:6, 8 repeated 75:10 132:13, 14 136:4 repeatedly 45:4, 7 70:21 72:19 119:17 152:7 156:11 163:14 169:11 replace 152:1 156:25 163:8 replaced 142:24 replacing 49:5, 17 report 15:18, 20, 24 16:8, 14, 19, 20, 24 17:5, 7, 9, 21, 23 18:7, 9, 11, 15 19:1, 2, 13, 17, 23 20:4 23:11 24:18, 21 25:8, 10, 14, 19 26:4, 7 28:6, 16, 19 29:8, 25 38:1 69:5 78:16 146:21 reported 22:9 25:13 59:1 69:3 72:25 97:1 156:16 reporting 112:22 113:1 138:12 140:16 reports 17:12 42:1 59:4, 17 60:20 64:20 77:19 111:25 represent 31:19 33:12 119:13 representation 171:13 Representatives 92:20 represented 168:2 representing 115:6 represents 32:8 reprimanded 141:20 Republican 87:7 160:18 request 23:2 98:4 99:25 100:8 130:7 131:9 134:5 138:7 170:3 requested 2:4 15:8 138:16 required 5:4 research 116:7 reserve 128:13, 21 175:14 resign 66:20 67:5 146:7 147:10 148:2, 15 154:3, 6, 10, 11, 20, 21 155:4, 5, 19 159:10 166:13 167:4 173:19	resignation 16:11 53:18 66:19 67:7 68:25 150:19 161:11, 16 165:8 166:12, 22 173:22, 25 174:3, 4, 5 176:7, 13 resignations 153:23 157:22 resigned 66:14, 17 resigning 26:12 154:22 161:14 resolve 71:6 resolved 63:7 resort 115:17 Resources 89:1 respect 9:8 26:20 47:18 49:19 50:5 51:21 53:19 54:18 55:1, 4 58:11 64:9, 19 65:24 66:4 73:25 74:14 77:1, 17 78:19 81:14, 22, 24 82:8, 12, 13 86:1 126:25 127:2 171:6 174:21 175:2, 10 respectively 79:11 respond 35:4 43:8, 20 58:17 99:25 responded 61:24 102:8, 11 120:9 122:5 148:13 172:10 responding 156:24 response 28:25 46:17 62:6 85:20 86:20 98:6 100:4 101:17 102:11 118:24 121:17 132:4, 7 134:16 141:10 responses 4:9, 11 responsibilities 7:12 89:3 responsibility 9:13 16:25 41:15 79:1 136:14 responsible 64:25 78:20 94:9 97:9 136:21 rest 62:8 86:24 87:5, 6 107:7 151:18 161:20 restate 50:3 70:12 result 20:25 24:18 57:4 139:25 results 31:14 56:3, 7 61:7 retention 54:5
--	--	---	---	---

<p>retired 6:19 retread 172:1 retreading 123:21 return 83:3 135:1 163:6 returned 117:24 176:10 177:9 revealed 19:7 review 15:21, 24 16:4, 7 20:5 125:10, 24 reviewed 71:16 113:20 125:8 revisiting 175:18 Rice 174:25 Rich 15:6 63:12, 19 162:21 163:2 RICHARD 1:8 2:4, 10 66:7 76:18 81:11, 23 83:19 rid 118:22 riddled 25:14 ride 143:16 right 7:2 11:19, 20 12:24 17:13, 25 22:7, 25 26:17 28:2 30:2 33:8 34:16 35:18 36:14, 22 43:3, 22 46:19, 24 47:14 48:16, 18 49:6, 18 50:18 51:23 58:2 60:10, 14 61:11, 15 62:1, 11, 16 68:7 78:23 85:7 86:9 87:1, 2 92:24 94:10 97:5 106:18 107:24 108:6 109:3, 24 112:5 118:10 120:2 123:22 125:16 127:7 129:19 134:14 135:17 136:10 138:20 139:11 140:19 141:2 144:1 147:19 148:8, 23 150:10 151:20 153:1 155:10 156:15 157:17 160:4 166:1 170:22 172:13 174:10 rights 119:15 148:7 ringing 178:12 risks 171:11 175:3 road 166:4 role 6:12 7:11 8:24 9:5, 8, 17 10:8, 10 22:3 55:7 65:19 91:3 92:4</p>	<p>96:20 98:15, 19 136:18 168:23 roles 69:2 roll 6:18 rolled 38:11 63:4 Rome 110:10 room 25:21, 24 29:25 30:23 48:18, 24 50:22 54:7 100:5 102:17, 20 117:7 118:14 152:13, 17, 18 153:3, 7 168:9 172:21 178:11 Rosen 10:1 11:12 24:4, 6, 15, 22 27:1 29:7 32:14 34:3 35:17 36:10, 15 37:5, 19 38:19 39:19 46:7 47:24 48:6 49:5, 17, 20, 21 50:6, 8, 16 51:13 56:5, 6, 10, 23 57:4 61:14, 17 62:17 63:12, 15, 18 74:5, 20 79:23 85:23 87:4 90:13 96:17 97:13, 16 100:6 101:20, 25 102:3, 10, 23 103:17 105:13, 16, 17 109:13 114:25 117:18 118:10 119:17 125:3, 22 129:19, 23 130:2, 16, 19, 21 131:1, 13 133:20 134:1 137:2, 16 139:12 141:10, 25 142:11, 21, 23 143:6, 24 145:19 146:23 149:1 151:11, 20 152:1, 22 154:1 163:8, 15 165:11, 14, 21 167:6, 9 172:24 176:18 178:6 179:2, 13 Rosen's 24:14, 25 99:12 101:16 104:15 120:22 roughly 37:2 round 6:10 96:9 120:12 122:13 129:6 170:22, 25 rounds 3:19 routine 14:7 72:11 78:8 166:17, 25 routinely 69:7 RPD 111:2 Ruby 44:21</p>	<p>Rudy 130:18, 22 131:7, 19, 22 rule 115:20 Rules 3:15 run 53:21 54:19 70:25 111:9, 16 162:7, 12, 23 running 45:3, 7 70:19 81:6 162:10 Russell 19:22 Russia 174:21 < S > sake 89:10 129:8 Sally 174:25 sanctity 58:12 Sara 2:15 51:2 57:19 58:16 83:5 123:10, 13 126:23 127:23 128:23 150:25 164:15 167:22 175:16, 21 Sarah 167:25 SAs 60:7 sat 102:20 143:1 149:19 150:1 151:19 172:23 satellites 110:24 121:15 122:7 satisfied 28:25 Saturday 137:15 142:8 saw 45:3 97:6 100:19 123:24 124:3 149:21, 23 150:21, 22 172:18 177:8 saying 13:21 28:11, 12 31:23 33:16 36:7 39:17, 21 40:25 42:25 43:7, 13 59:20 60:9 61:8 86:14, 15 91:13 104:23 111:2 118:15 119:12 120:9 122:1 130:14 131:21 133:24 138:10 139:6 140:5, 14 155:11 157:8, 13 158:2 says 15:5 40:11 44:10, 11, 21, 22 46:8, 20 58:3 60:8, 25 73:5 91:7 92:11, 18 107:10, 17 108:11 113:16 115:6, 14, 16 123:23 125:4, 23 133:23 140:11 144:25</p>	<p>scale 10:18 23:17 93:23 scan 25:2 scandal 92:19, 20 scenario 131:19 Schedule 165:19 scheduled 144:12, 18 scheme 79:24 112:25 113:1 Schneider 17:20 school 8:3 SCIF 137:22 scope 4:6 21:9 35:5 76:21, 24 81:19, 20 82:4, 6, 10 84:4, 6, 11 95:10, 16 Scott 40:10, 21 41:1, 12, 19 43:17 92:7, 11 94:11, 14, 18 95:1, 8 96:1, 5 scrambling 94:14 se 127:24 seat 105:16, 22 151:15 seated 151:9 second 39:5 49:5 81:8 83:1 128:5 129:15 135:12, 19 136:6 secretary 17:9 24:16, 23 25:2 52:13 62:22 63:6 95:18 section 8:17, 18 65:16, 17, 24, 25 66:12 73:6, 10 79:14, 18 80:18, 24 82:16 Security 15:17 17:4 19:2, 18 20:21 100:13 112:6 126:1, 5 148:2 see 4:9 15:5 17:17 19:21 29:18 30:7 41:9 42:5, 13 46:20 49:15 50:19 63:14 71:10 72:12 80:17 81:16 95:1 100:14 103:19 105:4 109:25 110:3 111:18 117:14 122:13, 16 131:7 134:7 141:4 143:16 145:17 173:16 174:8 seeing 55:25 seen 14:3 16:14, 20, 21 17:23 28:6</p>	<p>42:1 55:25 93:23 101:5 109:18 150:19 SENATE 1:1 6:6 7:8 58:5, 13 124:3, 15 139:2 154:15 158:13 174:17 Senate-confirmed 7:5 Senator 3:3, 7 5:23 40:11, 21 45:11, 16 123:9 127:21 128:3, 16 169:16 174:19 send 14:1 17:14 46:22 49:12, 13 82:14 93:18, 20 94:1, 13 99:3 139:2 140:25 152:8 166:21 sending 47:12, 16 50:15 93:15 97:22 122:9 156:1 sends 15:3 106:8 126:20 senior 84:23 101:2 163:21 164:11 sense 20:10 22:1 27:13, 23 34:1 37:5, 15, 24 93:15 98:22 99:2 101:8, 19 103:24 131:11, 12 157:3 178:23 179:12 sensitive 23:19 sent 11:11 13:12, 24 14:1, 13 15:8 24:6, 11, 17, 20 25:2 26:7 46:8 49:11 69:21 89:21 90:10 101:1, 3, 17 102:12, 13 107:21 109:13 110:6 114:25 120:15 125:1 134:19 154:14 sentence 55:2 60:6, 25 separate 49:9 93:6 152:4 September 174:15, 17 series 35:16 42:20 146:20 serious 30:2 seriously 39:13 171:20 serve 146:8 153:20 177:13 178:5, 25 served 6:15 8:7, 8,</p>
--	---	--	---	--

<p>21 128:15 service 128:17 servicing 8:12 165:2 set 90:12 93:12 147:13 161:19 seven 8:6 shaking 122:16 shapes 30:8 shared 84:25 108:8 sharing 108:3 111:19 shaved 149:8 she'd 164:16 shook 145:24 157:16 short 3:24 26:17 51:25 81:6 96:6 108:9 146:10 161:3 163:5 171:2 173:14 179:22 short-circuit 120:14 shorter 122:21 shortly 14:13 21:1 28:12 77:15 87:24 100:3 108:1 117:25 144:24 149:23 164:16 168:8 177:3 shot 146:16 show 44:24 117:16 showed 19:9 45:6 72:18 146:24 172:23 showing 19:14 102:11 shows 29:19 44:11, 20 111:8 shred 124:3 shredded 52:23 54:2, 10 124:11 shredding 54:4 123:25 shrugged 141:15 shut 72:6 sic 107:5 side 38:4 69:4 79:5 80:6, 8 85:24 112:3 134:8 139:5 168:11 169:15 170:23 175:19 sides 48:25 85:5 152:15 signature 19:21 63:13, 24 122:4, 6 133:22 signatures 122:11 significant 23:14, 15 116:20 155:15 signifies 107:9</p>	<p>similar 39:10 40:24 41:5, 24 77:2 107:18 similarly 159:5 simply 66:20 89:1 102:12 155:18 single 21:12 sir 29:14 39:22 46:23 52:9 53:1 55:13, 17, 20 57:24 64:8, 21 69:25 76:8 83:2 145:11 153:16 154:3, 10 158:10 179:17 sit 26:17 31:10 54:1 74:4 75:22 77:10, 14 124:10 125:12 126:7 130:5 149:11, 13 151:15, 17 170:5, 18 sitting 9:15 25:23 43:15 113:3 162:21 situation 20:23 34:9 105:1 106:1 138:2 142:14 147:15 154:21 155:23 sixth 25:21 137:22 142:25 sketch 39:7 slam 120:1 small 75:16 smart 99:17 snap 61:21 so-called 109:14 software 8:23 15:22 16:8 18:21 21:16 25:16, 17, 18 Solicitor 32:15 105:10, 11 114:5, 24 116:17 solo 101:9 somebody 111:4 113:18 somewhat 132:17 158:22 179:22 sorry 13:6 42:18 62:2 104:2, 8 117:10 129:15 130:23 154:5 158:24 sort 9:20 13:7 18:13 22:6 27:25 30:24 37:25 38:3 39:13, 16 42:15 44:16 49:14 65:3 66:23 70:23 71:25 80:12 90:18 94:14 97:17 99:6 100:21 101:9 103:17 118:24 120:19</p>	<p>122:5, 10 130:11 131:15 132:10, 12 138:5, 9, 20 141:15, 20 143:3, 23 145:23 151:22 152:11 153:3, 6 157:1 158:5 159:3 163:13 164:1, 10 167:10 169:10 172:21 sorts 12:11 92:5 115:22 134:21 135:14 sounded 162:25 171:8 sounds 14:10 22:20 26:21 36:10 39:1 43:14 79:17 85:8, 15 108:2 source 24:22 Southern 161:25 162:10 sovereign 115:15, 17, 18 speak 5:19 21:5 55:6 60:23 75:1 122:25 153:2 Speaker 46:9 113:25 speaking 31:4 55:3 63:17, 18 67:17, 20 77:24 127:3 speaks 98:7, 10 special 24:7 30:10, 15, 22 31:2 52:22 specific 13:16 24:5 43:23 53:11 55:9, 10 75:12 76:11, 15 82:2, 23 111:15 120:7 125:9 156:3, 19 157:12 167:3 170:18 specifically 5:8 20:5 27:15 30:12, 14 31:2, 7, 10 38:20 41:23 42:23 52:7 53:16 57:23 75:22 76:8, 11 78:1 79:18 82:17 85:7 104:17 111:14 115:12 117:4, 9, 10 118:2, 5, 15 121:3, 17 125:12 130:23 134:15 137:25 142:6 156:5 specifics 13:3 54:1 130:15 131:6 speculate 114:15 speculating 131:10</p>	<p>spelled 112:7 spent 8:6 164:7 split 75:23 spoke 14:6 81:2 82:22 83:19 102:2 140:14 144:23 149:17 spoken 90:24 138:23 spot 147:20 148:11 spouse 128:25 stability 148:4 staff 2:21 3:20, 21 5:23 6:6 13:2, 25 26:24 27:3 45:12, 16 56:22, 25 57:5, 6 78:5 100:18 106:15 107:1 108:19, 22, 24 109:24 110:15 111:21 114:12 121:19, 24 123:9 134:9, 12, 20, 24 136:1 149:24 159:5 163:10 169:17 staffers 178:19 Staff's 106:22 126:14 standard 28:13 68:3 131:15 standing 31:25 32:2, 8, 9, 13, 18, 20, 22 61:19 107:18 108:3 115:16 116:12 119:9 120:5, 7 148:9 standpoint 79:8 start 2:20 83:8 89:7 90:2 91:7 129:6 started 7:19 27:3 42:9 starters 36:4 113:14 starting 7:18 42:18 86:21 starts 99:11 136:5 state 2:8 16:5, 7 18:22 19:5 23:8 40:21, 22 44:19 45:5 46:10 52:14 62:22 63:7 70:8, 10, 15 71:15 72:4 74:10 95:18, 20 stated 75:3 152:6 statement 33:22 34:2, 11 44:13 60:15 64:9 99:11 118:24 128:7 158:22</p>	<p>statements 5:9, 12 23:9 127:21 STATES 1:1 8:13 21:16 32:5 44:16 65:4 87:16 98:19 99:2, 5 116:11 119:8 121:15 128:15 139:4 161:24 stationery 110:7 statistical 61:6 statistically 61:2, 9 status 168:4 statute 5:11 177:22 stay 61:11 145:20 148:3 159:11 165:12, 22, 23 174:3 178:1 stayed 166:19 Steal 91:3 stemmed 72:9 stenographer 4:10 36:2 step 99:8 137:11 152:18 155:24 157:20 162:11 stepped 33:21 66:9, 20 161:13 steps 68:22 75:9 79:19 80:12 85:11 86:3 95:3 177:24 Steve 48:1 105:7, 14, 15, 16, 20, 21, 22 143:2 151:12 154:4, 5, 6, 7, 9 159:13 Steven 105:1 106:17 sticking 84:6 103:6 stilted 153:1 stolen 31:18 39:11 153:9 stood 61:10 158:19 Stop 91:3 122:16, 20 stopping 146:16 stored 54:8 story 110:14, 22 121:13 strange 92:1, 2 122:1 strictly 122:20 strike 6:23 112:13 strong 26:3 158:22 strongly 159:17 struck 98:13 121:10 structured 152:22 stuff 93:18, 25 121:25 157:23</p>
---	---	--	--	--

160:1 165:17 stunned 98:5, 8 subject 5:10 96:18 126:1 163:6 submissions 108:9 submit 173:21 submits 173:24 submitted 16:11 53:18 66:23 176:6 submitting 161:11 176:12 subpoenas 23:1 subsequent 29:4 30:14, 17, 25 67:6, 7 89:5 104:17 121:21 subsequently 18:8 subsidiary 110:11, 12 substance 58:9 substantial 67:21 substantiated 95:5 substantive 122:6 succession 105:4 sufficient 10:12, 24 33:24 86:7 95:6 suggest 122:19 suggesting 41:21 suggestion 99:9 suggestions 101:21 suicide 157:10 suit 115:19 suitcase 70:18 72:8 sum 58:8 summarize 35:1 summary 18:13 25:19 35:13 50:25 60:9 summer 174:20 summoned 100:4 101:15 Sunday 117:5 143:23 144:13, 22 supervise 136:15 supervised 9:16 supervising 136:22 supervision 12:3 supervisor 136:18 supervisors 78:24 supplement 175:23 support 18:22 25:25 31:12 40:7 60:7 112:17 supported 156:13 160:3 170:13, 15 supports 28:16 suppose 45:7 114:15 122:7 126:15 129:1 141:2 153:25	supposed 69:3 110:22 133:1, 22 150:6 supposedly 16:9 139:15 Supreme 32:25 107:22 113:11 115:17 119:5, 6 Sure 4:20 8:2 9:17, 18 12:1 13:9, 10 15:16 16:23 20:17 29:5, 12 31:6 33:18 34:18 35:6 44:14 50:4 52:11, 25 53:5, 6 59:7 67:2 68:16 92:14 98:1, 12 104:10 109:18 110:15, 22 111:17 128:24 131:10 135:2, 3 139:23 143:2 151:2 164:3 168:15, 24 172:25 173:1 surprise 131:18 160:7, 8 surprised 88:20, 22 92:7 97:19 103:2 177:1 surveillance 140:20, 24 Susan 174:25 suspicion 72:15 Swan 4:22 swing 99:5 121:15 sworn 46:11 systems 16:17 19:8 30:11 < T > table 44:24 172:23 tabulating 72:7 tabulation 67:22 70:20 tabulations 71:23 110:25 tainted 59:13 take 3:24, 25 14:2 17:4 18:6 32:16 33:1 44:7 45:10 56:2, 6 64:13 83:7 86:3 87:3 90:18 108:24 112:16 115:11, 15 122:21, 22, 24 123:12 132:6, 10 137:11 144:25 147:2 153:25 155:24 157:20 159:3 163:11 165:24 166:14	taken 14:8 22:7 95:4 131:4, 5 132:9 takes 106:8 talk 102:4 103:21 107:18 122:18, 22 130:19, 22 138:22 152:25 talked 95:8 108:14 124:8 129:20 134:2 138:7 145:5 146:11, 12, 15 155:17 161:10 talking 26:5, 6 28:18 31:3, 8 35:13 37:11 39:16 44:24 79:6 99:6, 7 100:6 115:8 120:18 121:2 129:19 135:12 140:21 143:1 150:2 160:10, 21, 23 173:1 175:8 talks 81:19 tampering 138:11 tandem 136:19 tape 28:3 44:11, 18 45:3 taxpayer 60:2 team 6:7 125:5, 24 154:14 175:2, 6 Technically 7:3, 9 television 45:3 tell 12:8 25:9 28:13 88:3, 6, 16 100:2 118:22, 23 119:2 120:1 130:7 131:21 139:19 143:12 147:21, 23 155:16, 17 165:4 166:5 178:11, 18 telling 93:8 103:5 108:22 119:15, 21 148:8 152:19 tells 145:3 temp 46:9 tenor 39:8 tens 63:14 64:14 tension 76:2, 3 tenure 6:24 9:5 10:10 term 7:6, 10 57:7 169:21 termed 18:10 terms 43:13, 19 84:11 149:3 155:11 175:19 terrible 152:19 Terrific 11:10 129:5 testified 124:3	testimony 4:5 51:2 123:21 124:15 Texas 107:23 text 92:12 thank 2:13 3:3, 14 4:24 5:25 6:5, 8 7:23 9:1 19:16 45:18 48:4 55:21 61:16 62:5 63:8 64:8 65:23 66:3 78:18 83:2, 9 90:6 123:10, 11 128:14, 16, 18 129:4 150:25 167:21 168:13 170:21 175:19 177:15 178:21 179:17, 19, 21 thankfully 23:24 Thanks 32:24 53:15 83:6 125:6 129:1 then-Acting 101:16 then-Attorney 10:16 then-Chief 114:11 theories 44:17 theory 109:14, 21 thereof 46:12 Theresa 14:24 17:8, 9, 11 24:10, 17 35:8 thermostat 99:17 thing 9:20, 21 14:7 17:12 18:5 30:7 33:14 38:1 39:14 55:21 78:25 92:24 94:20, 22 146:14 159:11 168:25 things 8:11 9:18 15:20 17:1, 6 20:25 23:18, 21 25:15 29:4, 11 31:23 32:7 33:11 38:24 39:10 41:3 42:11, 14 43:21 44:3 54:23 65:14 68:13 72:1 78:8 79:5 81:15 82:18 92:5 93:3, 19 95:10, 15, 24 96:1 97:8, 10 98:14 107:1 109:21 113:20 120:23 122:5 125:14 126:13, 19 131:16, 23 132:24, 25 133:13 138:14, 21 139:1, 15, 17, 19 141:4, 16, 23 143:22 146:6, 9 153:13 156:11, 12, 17 158:4 160:3 162:4 167:16 169:1 170:4, 12, 14, 17 thing's 18:6 think 10:20 12:5 13:24 16:3 17:3, 8, 9, 18, 23 18:12 20:13 22:6, 11 23:11 24:14 25:5, 8, 19 26:1 27:17 29:6 30:5, 21, 25 32:14 33:9, 20 34:7 35:7 36:19 40:11, 22, 23 44:11 49:25 51:2 52:14 54:12, 22, 25 59:7, 18 64:10, 15, 16 67:19 69:5, 23, 24 70:10 71:22, 24 72:11 74:15 75:17 76:21, 23 81:19 82:4, 5 83:16 84:3, 10 85:6, 20 87:12, 14 91:4, 19 92:3 93:7 95:16 97:4, 12, 14 98:24 99:23 100:14 101:12 102:2, 13 103:19 104:4 107:4, 10 108:22 109:2 110:20 111:11, 16, 23, 24 114:2, 12 116:4 117:24 120:18 121:9, 23 123:1 126:12, 14, 22 128:20 129:4, 9, 11 131:3, 11, 21 133:5, 16, 24 134:12, 14, 18 135:4, 11, 25 136:8, 9, 11 137:7, 25 140:10 142:3, 11 143:3, 15 144:4 145:17 146:2, 17 147:6, 7 148:7 149:16, 22 150:3, 22 154:18 155:12 159:7, 10, 24 160:25 162:12 164:12 166:2, 3 167:9, 10, 13, 14 169:14 170:21 171:17 172:18 173:4, 5 175:18, 23 176:4, 23, 25 177:13, 16 179:7 thinking 86:17 176:15 Thinks 91:10 123:24
--	---	--	---

<p>third 43:6 44:9 86:13 115:5 152:9</p> <p>thought 13:25 22:17 37:15 38:13 42:18 44:1 71:25 90:6 93:6 101:13 103:12 106:5 132:21 155:22 172:8 179:9, 11</p> <p>thousands 63:14 64:15</p> <p>thread 73:4</p> <p>three 28:15 38:9 51:11 94:12, 13, 15 100:5 102:20 151:8 164:6, 7 171:15</p> <p>three-wayed 37:14</p> <p>threshold 64:21</p> <p>thumbnail 39:7</p> <p>time 3:4, 22, 25 7:25 8:16 9:4 10:9 11:21 12:22 13:15, 20 14:13 19:25 22:8 23:2 27:5, 13, 17, 19 29:1, 21 34:11 35:16 36:11 37:3 38:8 45:18 46:10 50:12 55:1, 14 59:1, 8 64:6, 16 67:16 68:17 74:3, 6, 9, 18 76:1 77:9 79:4 81:6 82:22 83:2, 20 84:23 85:1, 16 86:18 87:13 89:10 91:4 92:15 96:21 97:5, 11 98:11 99:4 101:8 102:1 105:5 106:23 113:2, 22 114:3 120:11, 12 122:13 123:3, 11, 14 125:10 128:13, 14, 19, 21 129:8 130:25 132:3, 22 133:14 138:4 139:13 143:1 145:4 146:10, 25 147:8 149:10 150:21, 22 155:19 161:4 165:16, 24 172:17 173:6 175:2, 14 178:24 179:4, 17</p> <p>times 21:6 32:12 51:10, 11 58:23 61:8 70:25 73:9, 13 75:8, 12, 17 81:3 98:12 114:11</p> <p>timing 147:1</p>	<p>titled 98:24 128:3, 7</p> <p>titles 57:12</p> <p>today 2:13 4:17 6:8 45:19 54:1 55:1 76:2 77:10 83:4 123:12 128:14 169:18, 25 179:17</p> <p>today's 5:16</p> <p>told 18:3 24:7 29:9 38:10 42:6 72:18 87:3 92:23 93:21 102:15 103:12, 13 104:5, 11 109:6 111:6 118:1 119:7 121:13 130:4 139:7, 9, 21 141:21 142:2 145:19 146:7 156:12 164:21, 22, 24 165:10 169:11 173:22 178:9, 14</p> <p>tomorrow 161:11, 17 164:13 165:8, 14, 22 177:1</p> <p>tone 42:15</p> <p>tonight 143:16 176:25</p> <p>top 16:23 18:1 20:9, 11 36:7 40:10 46:19, 24 107:6 113:16 138:9 170:18 172:3</p> <p>topic 27:21</p> <p>topics 4:6</p> <p>totally 67:12</p> <p>touches 157:11</p> <p>traditional 21:5</p> <p>trail 99:18</p> <p>train 93:6</p> <p>transcribed 2:3 3:17 86:18</p> <p>transcript 180:3</p> <p>transition 6:16 7:14 107:3 175:2, 6</p> <p>transitioned 174:8</p> <p>translating 60:5</p> <p>transmittal 98:25 99:9</p> <p>traveling 90:9</p> <p>trial 79:4</p> <p>tried 59:19 119:17 151:15 158:1</p> <p>trips 13:9</p> <p>truck 53:12 54:2, 9 124:11 170:11</p> <p>truckful 52:22</p> <p>truckload 170:11</p>	<p>trucks 123:24 124:4</p> <p>true 21:21, 22 22:10 28:14 54:21 156:16</p> <p>truly 54:17</p> <p>Trump 47:11 48:11, 12, 15 49:16 56:9, 12, 14 162:21 163:7 171:8, 19 172:2 173:3 175:2, 6</p> <p>Trump's 2:7 127:8</p> <p>trust 20:18, 24 21:8</p> <p>trusted 102:25</p> <p>trusts 107:7, 10</p> <p>truthful 5:1, 16</p> <p>truthfully 5:5</p> <p>try 18:6 39:23 112:16</p> <p>trying 21:24 22:21 38:11 41:11 67:14, 16 68:23 111:23 122:20 136:7</p> <p>T-shirt 149:8</p> <p>Tuesday 166:16</p> <p>tumultuous 13:20</p> <p>turn 3:5 45:12, 15 53:24 57:15, 22 58:15 60:24 62:20 83:5 96:10 106:7 123:8 124:20 150:14, 23 167:22 170:24</p> <p>turned 141:25 150:9 154:4, 5</p> <p>Turning 9:2 18:20 24:2 37:1</p> <p>tweet 145:25</p> <p>two 11:19 15:3, 9 18:18 20:3 22:12 24:2, 3, 16, 25 26:1, 8, 14 27:6 28:15, 24 30:7 33:20 34:7 40:14 44:6 49:3 50:20 80:15 81:13 94:4 96:19 107:9 109:6 117:9 120:20 122:5 124:8 127:25 128:6, 9 135:3 144:3, 5 151:7 163:15 165:16 168:10 171:16</p> <p>types 126:10 134:8 175:11</p> <p>typical 80:13</p> <p>typically 3:24 36:16 43:14 80:2</p> <p>< U ></p>	<p>U.S 7:17, 19 8:1, 5, 20, 24 9:11, 17, 22 10:5, 11 15:3, 9, 14 16:23 17:15, 18 21:2 24:3, 23 25:2 26:8 37:19 43:5 54:13 56:18 64:1, 2, 6, 24 65:2, 11, 12, 21 69:2, 9 71:8 72:24 73:21, 22 74:5, 8, 17 78:7, 9, 11, 23, 24 80:3, 7 84:25 89:21 94:6, 8, 11, 12, 15, 19, 20 95:3, 4 107:17 108:3 110:8, 12, 25 124:19 125:14 131:17, 24 139:9 140:8 154:19 159:19 160:9, 24 161:18 162:1, 5 164:17 165:3, 13, 17, 22 166:17, 25 167:7 168:17, 21 174:6</p> <p>ultimate 49:20 50:7, 14 51:1</p> <p>ultimately 8:19 18:11 19:4 40:6 71:13 114:14 143:15 157:4</p> <p>unable 5:16</p> <p>unacceptable 141:9, 13, 16</p> <p>unaware 132:25</p> <p>unbelievable 28:7</p> <p>unbelievably 121:16</p> <p>unclear 26:15</p> <p>uncover 86:5</p> <p>undermine 22:15</p> <p>undermines 28:16</p> <p>undermining 76:19</p> <p>underneath 61:23</p> <p>understand 4:13 5:2, 3, 6, 13 18:19 20:13 21:21, 24 24:10 32:2 34:18 35:5 39:22 60:12 61:20 64:17 65:15 97:12 99:7 101:2, 22 109:20 110:17 119:10 128:15 129:7 137:2 147:24 158:9 161:10 162:3 177:9 179:9</p> <p>understanding 11:22 12:20 13:14 22:12 88:15 91:24 140:7 144:8, 11 155:13, 22</p>	<p>understood 27:17 33:6, 18 59:11 78:18 81:3 85:3, 5 87:7 88:18 98:12 99:4 102:18 126:17 135:18 139:23 168:7</p> <p>underway 28:11, 23 29:17 30:6 47:20 97:8</p> <p>undue 135:21 136:7</p> <p>unduly 136:23</p> <p>unfolded 27:24 101:20</p> <p>unfolding 142:18</p> <p>Unfortunately 75:4</p> <p>unhappy 179:13</p> <p>unique 85:2</p> <p>unit 65:17 75:6, 14 76:4, 9 77:1, 2, 4 82:2</p> <p>UNITED 1:1 8:13 21:16 65:4 116:11 119:8 128:15 139:4 161:24</p> <p>units 64:21 74:2</p> <p>universe 134:24</p> <p>unreasonable 59:2, 14, 18</p> <p>unrelated 99:10</p> <p>unreliable 25:14</p> <p>unrestricted 4:5</p> <p>unusual 132:17, 18, 21 134:12 138:1 166:20</p> <p>unwarranted 67:12</p> <p>updated 130:12</p> <p>upset 102:14</p> <p>up-to-date 10:1</p> <p>urgency 46:10</p> <p>urgent 96:19</p> <p>USAerospace 109:13 110:11</p> <p>USC 5:11</p> <p>use 7:6, 9 22:15 58:19 75:9 85:8, 9</p> <p>useful 38:3</p> <p>usual 54:4</p> <p>uttered 55:2</p> <p>< V ></p> <p>validity 54:18</p> <p>variety 8:7 65:9 78:3 116:10</p> <p>various 17:6 20:25 24:20 40:1 42:4, 14 43:14 44:17 93:13 118:5 120:23 144:13 169:20</p>
---	---	--	--	--

vast 39:15 55:14
97:8
vehicle 90:9 92:15
vehicles 149:15
vein 41:5 44:6
59:14 108:25
verbal 4:11
verification 63:13,
24 122:5, 6
versa 12:11
version 25:16, 18
99:3
versus 45:8 85:2
107:23
vets 77:24
vetted 160:24
vetting 64:18 78:20
vice 12:11
victim 33:13
video 71:3 72:12,
18, 25 121:4, 5, 9,
10, 18 129:21, 24
130:1, 18 167:18
videotape 44:18
45:5 71:17 72:23
view 42:20 43:25
45:5 59:10 60:22
68:12 69:18 84:25
85:14 107:10
108:3 114:3, 5
115:23 116:12, 15,
17 119:8 132:17
146:13
viewed 33:2 84:1
129:21 134:1
views 17:5 18:11
29:21 32:17 98:11
108:8
violate 115:20
141:18
violated 104:7
127:1
violation 50:2
visit 149:5
volume 58:24
voluntarily 2:14
4:3 179:23
vote 61:6 67:22
110:7, 25
voter 58:24 64:19
77:19 78:20 79:9,
14 122:4, 6, 11
voters 61:19 62:18
108:13
votes 19:10 42:5, 7,
12 45:8 62:22, 25
63:5, 6 64:15
87:10, 16 95:25
121:15 133:2
156:15

Voting 15:21, 22
16:17 21:15, 16
30:11 67:22 95:19

< W >
wait 29:18 30:7
68:3, 11 81:7 83:1
walk 39:5 120:2, 4
149:22, 24 154:15
174:2, 4, 5
walked 149:25
150:5, 12 158:19
172:5 173:7
walking 149:7
wall 121:11 146:6,
9
Walton 2:24, 25
want 3:3 4:3, 25
29:5, 12 33:16
34:17 39:5 54:19
57:20 59:22 60:10
69:19 81:8 82:25
94:13 102:16
103:18 106:1
113:4 115:5, 10
119:1, 14 122:25
123:22 127:19
128:14 129:22
135:1 137:17, 23
145:9, 11 147:20
148:11, 20, 21
149:2 150:10
151:1 152:25
155:19 157:14
161:4 162:7
173:25 174:15, 16
176:24 177:13
178:17 179:17
wanted 17:4 21:20
33:15 34:8 36:11
37:5 41:21 49:11
52:10, 25 54:20
63:20 64:10 67:2
68:1 83:14 87:21
106:4 107:2 112:1
119:20 138:15
150:17 155:13
165:7 168:24
171:21 175:22, 24
wants 36:8 145:2,
8 149:2 165:21
166:7
warehouse 140:20
warehouses 54:8
WASHINGTON
1:3 4:23 56:23
80:15 90:10 130:9
wasn 95:21
watch 72:12
watching 150:2

Watson 14:24 17:8
24:11 35:8
way 3:23 8:12
11:24 24:10, 24
25:3 29:3, 11
36:18 43:13 54:20,
22 55:6 61:22
69:6 72:17 73:19
75:17 80:13 81:22
84:19 86:15 97:23
98:23 112:7
115:15 118:18
120:22 122:11
126:4, 21 131:15
133:6 134:19
136:24 140:24
146:8 148:9
149:25 151:14
159:8, 20 164:13
166:4 172:13
ways 65:9, 12 78:3
Wednesday 166:16
week 12:23 147:16
166:16
weeks 10:20
162:24 165:16
weight 79:20
171:21
Weinsheimer 3:9
74:22 76:14, 20
81:18 82:3, 8 84:3,
10
welcome 168:12
well 6:7, 22 10:2
18:16 20:16 22:22
25:9 28:11 29:6
30:6 32:4 33:12
39:18 48:13 50:3
51:18 55:21 59:5,
10 66:17 69:5, 22
76:5 78:22 84:6
88:8 93:25 95:11
98:10 100:12
103:1, 17 111:25
115:10 116:19
117:8 124:16
128:6 130:8 134:1
135:13, 20 136:6,
14 137:10 141:25
142:25 145:11, 24
146:2 147:7 148:7
153:13 154:9
155:11 158:15
161:9 165:4 169:6
176:9, 13, 21 177:19
well-versed 39:25
went 7:21 8:5, 22
12:2 15:14 24:22,
23 26:18 35:12
42:12 49:14 57:7
72:7 77:20 96:25

97:5, 17 102:13
107:3 109:16
120:11 130:16
137:21 142:25
143:14 146:5
148:16 149:15, 17,
21 150:12 152:18
153:7, 18 155:1
166:8 168:20
171:7, 17 172:6
176:10, 11, 13
177:3 178:9, 10, 13,
16
We're 18:1, 6 29:7,
14 39:17, 22, 23
55:15, 17, 18, 20
59:20 67:18 80:9
84:3 105:21
108:22, 23 119:1
123:21 128:20
129:4 135:3
156:24 157:17, 21,
24 158:6 161:13
164:13 168:1, 5
175:8
Western 15:5 94:7,
12
we've 14:3 23:13,
21 26:5 31:3
37:10 74:23 93:18,
19 99:6 101:17
107:13 108:14
120:5, 6 139:16, 19
160:1 169:18
whatsoever 87:11,
19
wheeled 72:10, 16
White 11:17 12:1,
6, 10 14:15 18:10
24:6 26:10, 18, 24,
25 27:9, 12, 14, 16
29:20 47:22, 23
48:3 104:7 106:8,
15, 21 108:16
114:4 117:2, 23
118:1 121:24
126:25 127:3, 4
132:8 133:10
134:4, 8, 10, 20, 23
135:10 136:1
141:18 144:7
146:3, 14, 17, 22
147:11 148:18, 21
149:2, 6, 16, 17
151:11 158:21
159:5 163:10
169:20 170:1, 6, 20
175:9 176:10, 23
177:4, 9 178:12
widely 21:16

widen 142:21
wide-ranging 151:24
widespread 10:18,
24 33:24 34:15
86:7
wiggle 168:9
wildly 98:13 103:13
willing 93:22
157:19, 20 162:23
willingness 2:14
104:14
withholding 175:1
witness 2:8 49:24
74:24 127:24
141:21 180:11
witnesses 4:15 5:8
23:1 71:13, 18
72:24 141:22
won 11:24
wondering 39:6
75:11 79:22 92:13
96:21 98:8 168:1
word 44:21 58:20
64:13 75:10 173:4,
5
worded 33:11
159:17
wording 33:16
words 34:10 41:6,
19 43:23 58:8
85:9 119:20 135:9
136:21 173:10
work 8:22 25:12
27:4 32:12 37:18
61:22 65:13, 20
72:5 79:16 127:10
133:11 158:18
164:14
worked 54:13 71:9
72:20 76:12 80:16
81:2 96:23 97:2,
15 109:17 124:2
140:21
workers 45:6 72:13
working 6:22
101:9, 13 108:21
112:4 130:18
worse 121:17
worth 157:21
wrapping 71:25
Wray 18:17 25:24
write 47:3
written 4:11 108:7,
9
wrong 25:15 68:1
77:6 98:18 123:24
wrongdoing 125:5
wrote 41:9 46:25
58:7 61:4 87:22
88:6 92:18 109:25

< X >

XVIII 8:9

< Y >

Yates 174:25**Yeah** 17:13 33:9
82:21 90:8 108:22
113:23 116:9, 19,
20 125:12 136:8
151:14, 15 160:11
164:25 167:5, 17
173:16, 17**year** 6:20**years** 8:6, 14 51:5,
17 83:25 97:15**Year's** 121:6**yelled** 162:15**yesterday** 150:22**York** 4:22 6:17

8:14 170:12

YouTube 121:9

130:1, 17

< Z >

Zdeb 2:3, 12, 15
3:8, 14 4:15, 25
5:8, 15, 18 6:1, 9,
11 11:6 14:18, 23
34:23 35:25 36:18,
25 45:9, 14 83:6,
11, 13 84:6, 12, 13
89:13, 16, 19 96:8,
13, 16 106:7, 11
113:4, 8 114:18, 23
122:13, 19 123:4, 7
128:24 129:5, 14,
17 133:15, 19
137:1, 6 150:23
167:23 168:3, 7, 15,
16 170:21 175:17
176:2 178:20
179:15, 21**Zoom** 1:18 2:17

4:23 5:20